

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Certified copy of portion of proceedings; Meeting on July 14, 2026

RESOLUTION NO. 26-

**RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT
CERTIFYING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND
APPROVING THE GREEN DIAMOND RESOURCE COMPANY ZONE RECLASSIFICATION
APPLICATION; APN 303-012-022-000; CASE NUMBER PLN-2025-19448**

WHEREAS, Green Diamond Resource Company submitted an application and evidence in support of approving a Zone Reclassification (ZR) to rezone approximately 100 acres from Agriculture General (AG-B-5(5)) and Timberland Production (TPZ) to Residential One Family (R-1) and Agriculture Exclusive (AE) with a Qualifying (Q) combining zone. The underlying General Plan designations are Residential Low Density (RL1-7) and Open Space (OS). The proposed Residential One Family zone and Agriculture Exclusive with a Qualifying combining zone are both consistent with the underlying land use designations of Residential Low Density and Open Space, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a duly-noticed public hearing on May 21, 2026 and reviewed and considered the application for the Zone Reclassification and recommended that the Board of Supervisors approve the action; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing on July 14, 2026, and reviewed, considered, and discussed the application for the Zone Reclassification

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors that the following findings are hereby made:

FINDINGS FOR ZONE RECLASSIFICATION			
1.	FINDING		A Zone Reclassification to bring the zoning of the subject parcel into consistency with the land use designations (Residential Low Density and Open Space) applied to the property. The applicant is requesting a zone reclassification from Agriculture General (AG-B-5(5)) and Timberland Production (TPZ) to Residential One Family (R-1) and Agriculture Exclusive (AE) with a Qualifying (Q) combining zone. Approximately 58.8 acres will be reclassified as R-1 and

			approximately 40 acres will be classified as AE-Q. Pursuant to state law the reclassification of areas out of TPZ will be effective ten years after the date of approval.
	EVIDENCE	a)	Project File: PLN-2025-19448
2.	FINDING		The action is statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) per Section 15183 (Projects Consistent with a Community Plan or Zoning).
	EVIDENCE	a)	Section 15183 mandates projects that are consistent with the development density established by an existing General Plan for which an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) shall not require additional environmental review, except as might be necessary to determine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are particular to the project or site.
		b)	There are no environmental effects peculiar to the project.
		c)	There are no significant environmental effects that were not analyzed as significant effects in the General Plan EIR.
		d)	There are no potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR prepared for the General Plan update.
		e)	There are no previously identified significant effects which as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, or are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the General Plan EIR.
		f)	The Zone Reclassification is consistent with the General Plan and the EIR that was prepared for the General Plan update.
3.	FINDING		The proposed Zone Reclassification is in the public interest.
	EVIDENCE	a)	The proposed amendment would be in the public interest by allowing future residential development on the proposed residentially zoned property. The proposed zone reclassification is also in the public interest because it would make the zoning of the property consistent with the underlying land use designation.
4.	FINDING		The amendment is consistent with the County General Plan.

	EVIDENCE	a)	The General Plan land use designation for the subject property is Open Space (OS) and Residential Low Density, one to seven units per acre (RL1-7). The proposed zones would follow the associated general plan boundaries and are consistent with the underlying land use designations per Table 4-H (Zoning Consistency Matrix-Inland) of the 2017 General Plan.
		b)	The proposed zone reclassification would allow increased opportunities for housing development in lands planned for residential development and encourage timber ecosystem services within the areas designated Open Space, consistent with the Guiding Principles of the General Plan.
5.	FINDING		If the amendment requires a Local Coastal Plan Amendment, the amendment is in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.
	EVIDENCE	a)	The subject property is not within the coastal zone, therefore a Local Coastal Plan Amendment is not required.
6.	FINDING		The proposed amendment does not reduce the residential density for any parcel below that utilized by the Department of Housing and Community Development in determining compliance with housing element law.
	EVIDENCE	a)	The proposed zone reclassification does not reduce the residential density for any parcel below that utilized by the Department of Housing and Community Development in determining compliance with housing element law. The parcel is not included in the 2019 Housing Element Inventory, and the proposed zone reclassification would allow increased opportunities for housing development.

IT IS FURTHER PROCLAIMED AND ORDERED based upon the above findings and evidence, the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors does hereby:

1. Approve the Green Diamond Zone Reclassification as recommended by the Planning and Building Department and Planning Commission, Project Case No PLN-2025-19448; and
2. Adopts the Ordinance included herein as Attachment 2 rezoning land in the Eureka area to R-1 and AE-Q.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board is directed to provide notice of this decision to all interested parties.

Dated: _____

Supervisor Mike Wilson, Chair
Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Adopted on motion by Supervisor _____, second by Supervisor _____ and
the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors:

NAYS: Supervisors:

ABSENT: Supervisors:

ABSTAIN: Supervisors:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Humboldt

I, Kaliegh Maffei, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, County of Humboldt, State of California, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true, and correct copy of the original made in the above-entitled matter by said Board of Supervisors at a meeting held in Eureka, California as the same now appears of record in my Office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
my hand and affixed the Seal of said Board of
Supervisors.

KAYLIEGH MAFFEI

Deputy Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Humboldt, State of California