

Project	Weighted Rank Order		Final	
	Rank	Type	Funding Request	Award
City of Fortuna -Palco Mill Site	1	Planning	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00
Mattole Resoration Council	2	Planning	\$ 30,225.00	\$ 17,500.00
Cooperation Humbolt/Wiyot Tribe	3	Implementation	\$ 64,800.00	\$ 32,500.00
Humboldt Skatepark Collective	4	Implementation	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 25,000.00
Redwood Region Economic Development Commission	5	Planning	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -
City of Fortuna - Riverwalk	6	Planning	\$ 14,000.00	\$ -
Building Lives by Building Structures	7	Planning	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -
Fortuna Senior Center	8	Implementation	\$ 75,000.00	\$ -

	Fully fund	partial fund	no funding	Current motion supports		
				A	B	C
	5	1	0	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00
	2	2	2	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 17,500.00
	0	5	1	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 32,500.00
	1	3	2	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00
	0	2	4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	0	0	6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	0	1	5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	0	2	4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total remaining				\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00
				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Project	Rank
City of Fortuna -Palco Mill Site	1
Mattole Resoration Council	2
Cooperation Humbolt/Wiyot Tribe	3
Humboldt Skatepark Collective	4
Redwood Region Economic Development Commission	5
City of Fortuna - Riverwalk	6
Building Lives by Building Structures	7
Fortuna Senior Center	8

Total remaining \$ 150,000.00
\$ -

Correlation Coefficient Martix	
	Rank
Rank	1
Average Score	-0.697049917
St.Dev	-0.168052848
Total Score	-0.697049917
Average Rank	0.867186902

This matrix show how strongly the factors include correlate with one another. The range of Correlation Coefficients range from +1 to -1, +1 being the strongest correlation, -1 being the weakest. As can be seen here there is a direct correlation between the average of individual members rankings with the weighed rank order. However, their is little correlation between rank rankings and the individual or total scores attributed to each proposal. This can be explained by the the unique perspectives and scoring of individual board members.

Staff Methodology for Ranking Projects Utilizing Headwaters Fund Board Member Scores

- Individual Members Submit their scores to staff
- Staff Rank order each members scoring, returning the average if two projects score the same.
- Projects are provided weighted score based upon the individual rankings.
 - 8 projects mean 8 points will be assigned to the highest ranked project of any board members individual scoring, with 1 point going to the lowest ranked.
- Weighted scores are tallied and each project is than rank ordered according to the total weighed score attributed to the project.
 - This provides an equivalent impact from each board member on the overall ranking of projects, regardless of scoring methodology.
- Standard Deviation (St.Dev) of individual scores on projects are included to show the variance of Headwaters Fund Board scoring.