

Thomas Edrington, Strategic Consultant

3318 Harrison Ave. Unit B Eureka, CA 95503 humboldtnomad@gmail.com

February 4th, 2020

Humboldt County Planning Commission Humboldt County Courthouse 825 5th Street Eureka CA, 95501

Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Rocci and Laura Costa's application for a conditional use permit to cultivate cannabis. I am a cannabis business strategist, freelance cannabis compliance officer, and general Humboldt cannabis policy wonk. I have been involved in cannabis activism my entire adult life, and was deeply involved in the movement toward regulation in Humboldt County.

It was during my service in that capacity performing neighborhood meetings and community rallies that I first met the Costas, who were interested in being able to operate legitimately but concerned that regulations would favor large industrial grows over family farms. They were not alone in this concern. I've been working since then to support small family farms like the Costas. Making these farms the backbone of Humboldt's cannabis industry is broadly recognized as the most sustainable economic and environmental strategy, and is the stated goal of the Board of Supervisors.

After continuing to get to know the Costas, I have had the opportunity to witness the depth of their commitment to this community. I have seen their values in action, and been given a window into the history of cannabis activism in California through Laura Costa's deep roots in the movement. They give time, energy, resources, and make personal sacrifices for causes that are important to them, including extensive work with veterans. They have a deep love of family, both blood and found, and a respect for the land they inhabit. They actively work to improve the lives of the people around them without expectation of favor or reward. I believe them to be

exactly the sort of farmers Humboldt county's officials had in mind as the CMMLUOs were being crafted.

I've reviewed all available documentation, and I see no flaws in the Costas' plan. Dry farming provides the least possible impact to nearby watersheds. Solar power not only limits the carbon footprint of the site, but increases the emergency preparedness and resilience of the area. The farm's cultivation schedule and layout will minimize odor, and they've even taken into account prevailing wind conditions. The state of the road is of concern, but the County's recommendations in place to improve it will benefit the entire neighborhood.

I've also reviewed the community concerns regarding the parcel. None of them are unique. These are the exact complaints we expected when we were campaigning for regulations, and the CMMLUO ordinances were written to make sure that the process of compliance naturally improves conditions in the surrounding community. A regulated cannabis farm in a neighborhood provides resources for road associations (or other less official neighborhood agreements) to improve outdated infrastructure. A permitted farm's security plan guarantees the site doesn't fall prey to squatters or trespass grows that will antagonize residents. Regular environmental scrutiny, more intense than any other agricultural product, ends the incentive to resort to practices that damage the land and threaten watersheds. Simply put, since the intent of the regulatory process is that nuisance elements of pre-regulation cultivation will end on permitted farms, the most effective way to address these concerns is to approve the Costas' permit.

Humboldt County has, up to this point, not fulfilled its promise to prioritize and support small family cannabis farms in the permitting process. This arises from a host of factors outside the control of the County or the Planning Commission, including financial and social barriers to entry, shifting state processes, and the slow pace of building trust with cannabis farmers. But the Costas have, at great cost to themselves, surmounted all these obstacles so that they can openly practice their craft and support their community. They are exactly the sort of cannabis farmer that the County has hoped to bring out of the shadows. It would be a shame to fail to support this small family farm after so much work by everyone involved.

I stand in support of the Costas. Granting their permit will improve Humboldt County. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

THIA

Thomas Edrington

To whom it concerns,

I am writing this to express my opposition to the proposed cannabis cultivation site owned by the Costa family located on Warren Creek Road.

My parents live on this road at the house where I was raised from the age of 5. I no longer live there but I love to visit with my son who is 7 now, and am always pleased at how little the neighborhood has changed in over 30 years. My memories from childhood are of a beautiful, idyllic dirt road with friendly neighbors and very little traffic. As a 12 year old I could walk home from the bus stop alone with no fear of any danger, even from a passing car. Such a peaceful rural neighborhood is a very rare occurrence, even for Humboldt County.

I also remember accessing the river bar by walking or driving through the Weburg property, which they graciously allowed us to do. I am told the Costas would like to eliminate this river access, denying the entire neighborhood a unique privilege that I and my siblings cherished in our youth. Trouble began once the Costas purchased the property adjacent to the Weburgs'. Over the years there have been many nuisances that I have heard of. They allowed people to squat on the land which in turn brought a criminal element, potential hard drug use, and two incidences where buildings exploded and then caught fire. I'm also told that my brother was threatened with a baseball bat while trying to access the river bar via the Weburg property.

In addition to the aforementioned, I am concerned with the impact a cannabis farm will have on the neighborhood regarding the use of the road itself. I work in the cannabis industry and can say from personal experience that it is unlike other types of farming. Warren Creek Farm, though quite large in physical size, has never had any negative impact on the road because they require few employees and do not produce heavy vehicle traffic. This is typical of a traditional vegetable farm. In my experience with cannabis farming, there are significantly more supplies brought to the location on a regular basis, more workers who all drive to the farm, and more visitors in general who are not familiar with the navigation of the road. I can also tell you from experience that many in the cannabis industry drive large trucks at excessive speed with little to no regard for the community they are impacting.

I do not need to delve too deeply into the negative impact that the required changes to the road would have on various property owners but I can say that any major modifications will be harmful to the nature of the neighborhood that was a very influential part of my upbringing. I am also aware that there have been some serious fabrications and inaccurate information presented by the engineers hired on behalf of the Costas.

Based on these observations, and the general consensus between myself and all I have spoken to on this subject, I highly recommend against the approval of this project. I am not against cannabis cultivation, but they have chosen the wrong location. Time and money would be better spent elsewhere and not in a place that would be harmed so much by the existence of this farm.

Thank you for your time.

Jeffrey Kinzer jdkinzer@gmail.com

di





March 4, 2020

Elizabeth Moreno Cannabis Planner Humboldt County Planning Department 3015 H Street Eureka, CA

Subject Rocci Costa Grow Permit

Elizabeth the following is a signed petition opposing the Costa permit. The letter was signed by forty one residents living on the unpaved section of Warren Creek Road and the feeder roads Blackberry Lane and Cedar Hill. We would like our petition added to the packet for the Planning Commissioners.

Thank you, Nipo Mike Zeppegno

1088 Warner Creek Road 130 Blackberry Lane 1102 WARRIEN CREEK ROAD. Suc 1100 Warpen Creek Rom 180 Blackberg Land 640 Celar Hill lane 1116 Warnen Creek Ld The following signatures are of neighbors on the unpaved portion of Warren Creek Road, Cedar Hill Lane and Blackberry 1116 Warren Creek Ref 2 Lane opposing the application of Rocci Costa for a commercial marijuana cultivation operation. Application Number: 545 Ceder Hill Lu 545 Cedar Hill Lu. And income and 536 (Brochuc you rectanitie 1143 WARRED Ceft 280 Cedar Address 535 Ca 3 'Kuh PLN-12176-CUP, on property known as 1734 Warren Creek Road. i COC LOL 1 Signature huma ANA R 6227 JAHES C McCURTAIN Leretta Mechatian ROBIN KINZER Suzanne R. Burke DAULY KINZEr L-JUNE HORRIGAN Fussell R. Burke Thomas Smith Jusan Smith MICHAEL FIELDS NA いてきょう Kathleen Lae Printed name NARM C Nar X o

1200 WATER CHER ROAD 1236 WARRY CREEKIGG D I the warren creek Da The following signatures are of neighbors on the unpaved portion of Warren Creek Road, Cedar Hill Lane and Blackberry 1746 women Creek Rd. 320 Ceder Hill Cane 1200 Douvan Creek Road 1342 1715 RAXIN WICHIMIEVUILLE Lane opposing the application of Rocci Costa for a commercial marijuana cultivation operation. Application Number: 160 Blackberry Izne 1712 Warren Chessel 1712 Warren Ciceta Road PLN-12176-CUP, on property known as 1734 Warren Creek Road. il will Schundt Bend I Wirk Patricia Church will d. a my charle - Un andika Signature Donald H Weburg LYLE SChmidt Myrna S. Jeburg Patricia Ellert Abler William L. Abler WM PJ. AYTON Pick Steele Varcile Laney Jason Simas GAJE AJTON Printed name

Cedar Hill Lane and Blackberry ttion. Application Number:	Les sou user cele RL. Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cl 25521 Arcata Cal Rd Arcata Cal Rd Arcata Cal Rd Arcata Cal Rd Arcata 1322 Davien Creek Rd Arcata 1322 Warren Creek Rd Arcata 1322 Warren Caele Rd Arcata 1322 Warren Caele Rd Arcata 1740 warren Creek Rd Arcata 1740 warren Creek Rd Arcata 1740 warren Creek Rd Arcata 1740 warren Creek Rd Arcata
The following signatures are of neighbors on the unpaved portion of Warren Creek Road, Cedar Hill Lane and Blackberry Lane opposing the application of Rocci Costa for a commercial marijuana cultivation operation. Application Number PLN-12176-CUP, on property known as 1734 Warren Creek Road.	Signature Fren Koluns Fren Koluns Arcata A
The following signatures are of neighbors on the unpaved portion of Lane opposing the application of Rocci Costa for a commercial mar PLN-12176-CUP, on property known as 1734 Warren Creek Road.	Fraik Lovio Fraik Lovio Teny Wingenbach Manne Collins Mines Collins Forest Jumper Public Stamper Oldone Stamper Oldone Stamper Oldone Stamper Mike Zeppegne Min Mike Zeppegne Min

Ĩ.

а.

536 Warren Creek Rd. 1740 Marren Creek Rd. 280 Creen Buil Ln. The following signatures are of neighbors on the unpaved portion of Warren Creek Road, Cedar Hill Lane and Blackberry 536 Warren Cruek Rd. Lane opposing the application of Rocci Costa for a commercial marijuana cultivation operation. Application Number: Address PLN-12176-CUP, on property known as 1734 Warren Creek Road. MARCIA DAVIS Signature Printed name Breck S.



HUMBOLDT BAY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

828 SEVENTH STREET, PO Box 95 • EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95502-0095 OFFICE 707-443-5018 ESSEX 707-822-2918 FAX 707-443-5731 707-822-8245 EMAIL <u>OFFICE@HBMWD.COM</u> Website: <u>www.hbmwd.com</u>

BOARD OF DIRECTORS SHERI WOO, PRESIDENT NEAL LATT, VICE-PRESIDENT J. BRUCE RUPP, SECRETARY-TREASURER MICHELLE FULLER, DIRECTOR

GENERAL MANAGER JOHN FRIEDENBACH

February 6, 2020

Humboldt County Planning Commission 3015 H Street Eureka, CA 95501

RE: PLN-12176-CUP

Gentlemen:

Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District (District) submits this letter to express concerns regarding Conditional Use Permit PLN-12176-CUP submitted by Rocci Costa (Applicant). There are a number of impacts from these projects that need to be considered including water quality and impacts on protected species. Many of our concerns have been addressed by conditions set on the applicant by the Humboldt County Planning Department staff. We appreciate the efforts by County staff in these regards.

Our District supports those project conditions. The questions we have are: 1) who will be monitoring compliance with those conditions; and 2) if breaches occur what enforcement actions will be taken?

One area that does not seem to be addressed in the conditions regarding the traffic and proposed new turnouts along Warren Creek Road is the fact that our District has a major water transmission line under that road and we strongly recommend that the Applicant be required to contact our District prior to any proposed road improvements along Warren Creek or West End Roads in connection with their project implementation.

Regrettably, due to a scheduling conflict, the District will not be able to attend the hearing tonight. We respectfully request that our comments be entered into the public record for this agenda item 5. We appreciate the Commission's consideration of our public comments.

Respectfully hudular!

John Friedenbach General Manager