STAFF ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE REQUIRED FINDINGS

Required Findings: To approve this project, the Hearing Officer shall determine that the applicants have submitted evidence in support of making all of the following required findings.

1. The application is complete. The following table identifies the evidence which supports the finding that the applicant has submitted the information which is required by Section 325.5-6(a) of the Humboldt County Code.

| Application Requirements | Submitted | Not Submitted |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| Completed and Signed Application Form | ✓ | |
| Copies of Present Owners Deeds | ✓ | |
| Preliminary Title Report | ✓ | |
| Copy of the Creation Documents for the parcels | ✓ | |
| 6 Copies of a Lot Line Adjustment Plot Plan | ✓ | |
| Required County Fees | ✓ | |
| A Written Statement Explaining the Reasons For the | ✓ | |
| Adjustment | | |

2. Consistency with the Subdivision Map Act. The following table identifies the evidence which supports the finding that the parcels to be adjusted are found to be in compliance with the Subdivision Map Act which is required by Section 325.5-6(b) of the Humboldt County Code (See also Sections 4 and 5, General Plan Conformance per SB 497).

| Parcel | Creation Document | Legal Status |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 102-092-004 | Land Patent to Viola Dorothy | One legal, separate parcel. |
| | Russ McBride recorded in Volume | |
| | 703 Official Records, Page 488 on | |
| | September 17, 1962. | |
| 102-151-001 | Land Patent No. 1039912 to Ralso | One legal separate parcel. |
| | M. White on August 18, 1930. | |

Based upon requirements of the County Lot Line Adjustment Ordinance, and due to requirements in the Subdivision Map Act, a Notice of Lot Line Adjustment must be recorded for each resultant parcel.

3. Zoning Compliance and Development Standards. The following table identifies the evidence which supports finding that the proposed development is in conformance with all applicable policies and standards in the Humboldt County Zoning Regulations and that the lot line adjustment neither causes non-conformance nor increases the severity of pre-existing nonconformity with zoning and building ordinances.

| Zoning | Summary of Applicable | Evidence That Supports the Zoning |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Section | Requirement | Finding |
| §314-7.1 | AE & TPZ: Principally permitted | The lot line adjustment will adjust two |
| (HCC) | uses include growing and | parcels resulting in two parcels of |
| Agriculture | harvesting of timber and general | approximately 310 acres and 161 acres. |
| Exclusive (AE) | agriculture. | A Joint Timber Management Plan |
| §314-7.4 | | (JTMP) is required due to one of the |
| (HCC) | | resultant parcels containing less than |
| Timberland | | 160 acres of Timberland Production |
| Production | | Zone (TPZ). The smaller parcel is |
| Zone (TPZ) | | developed and the larger is |
| | | undeveloped. Both parcels are utilized |
| | | for agriculture/timber production. The |
| | | LLA will adjust the boundary to |
| | | acquire fee ownership of an access |
| | | road. |
| Development St | | |
| Minimum | AE-B-5(160): 160 acres | Only one of the resultant parcels will |
| Parcel Size | TPZ: 160 acres (40 acres with a | contain less than 160 acres, however, |
| | Joint Timber Management Plan) | both parcels will continue to contain a |
| | | substandard amount of AE-B-5(160). |
| | | The LLA will not cause a non- |
| | | conformance or increase the severity of |
| | | pre-existing nonconformity. A Joint |
| | | Timber Management Plan per |
| | | California Government Code (CGC) |
| | | Section 51119.5 was prepared to |
| | | demonstrate that the resultant parcels |
| | | are suitable for continued timber |
| | | production as permitted under zoning. |
| Maximum | None specified | No new development is proposed as |
| Building | | part of this lot line adjustment. |
| Height | | |
| Minimum Yard | Front: 30 feet | No new development is proposed. |
| Setbacks: | | Existing development meets this |
| | Rear: 30 feet | standard. |
| SRA Standards | | |
| | Side: 30 feet | |

| Maximum | Residences and the associated | No new development is proposed. |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ground | accessory structures and uses shall | Existing development meets this |
| Coverage | not exceed two (2) acres per parcel | standard. |

4. General Plan Consistency. The following table identifies the evidence, which supports finding that the proposed development is in conformance with all applicable policies and standards of the Humboldt County General Plan and pursuant to the provisions of SB 497 (Sher) effective January 1, 2002.

| Plan Section | Summary of Applicable Goal, | Evidence Which Supports Making the |
|----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | Policy or Standard | General Plan Conformance Finding |
| Land Use | Timber (T): Lands primarily | No new development is proposed as part |
| Chapter 4 | suitable for the growing, | of this lot line adjustment. The purpose |
| | harvesting and production of | of the lot line adjustment is to adjust the |
| Land Use | timber. Prairie and grazing lands | boundary to acquire fee ownership of an |
| Designations | may be intermixed. Density range | access road. The LLA will also result in |
| Section 4.8 | is 40 -160 acres/unit. | the creation of a parcel that contains less |
| | | than 160 acres of lands zoned TPZ. The |
| | | parcels are currently utilized for the |
| | | growing and harvesting of timber and |
| | | the LLA will not result in a net |
| | | reduction of the area of TPZ available |
| | | for forest management. |
| Conservation | Goals and policies contained in | The proposed project is located within |
| and Open Space | this Chapter relate to an Open | the Open Space Plan because the project |
| Chapter 10 | Space and Conservation Program | site is planned Timber and is zoned both |
| | that is complimentary to other | Agriculture Exclusive and Timberland |
| Open Space | agencies' plans and that preserves | Production Zone. The project can be |
| Section 10.2 | the county's unique open spaces | found consistent with the Open Space |
| | (CO-G1,CO-G3) | Action Program because the proposed |
| | | project is consistent with the allowable |
| | Related policies: CO-P1, | uses of the Land Use Designations. The |
| | Conservation and Open Space | project does not propose any changes to |
| | Program; CO-P8, Development | the current uses of grazing and timber |
| | Review, CO-S1. Identification of | production and is consistent with the use |
| | Local Open Space Plan, and CO- | of Open Space land for managed |
| | S2. Identification of the Open | production of resources. |
| | Space Action Program | |

| Conservation | Goals and policies contained in | The South Fork Bear River, as well as |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| and Open Space | this Chapter relate to mapped | several tributaries to it flow through the |
| Chapter 10 | sensitive habitat areas where | subject properties. No development is |
| | policies are applied to protect fish | proposed, and existing development |
| Biological | and wildlife and facilitate the | maintains the required setbacks to these |
| Resources | recovery of endangered species | watercourses. Therefore, no impacts to |
| Section 10.3 | (BR-G1, Threatened and | these resources is anticipated as a result |
| | Endangered Species, BR-G2, | of the Lot Line Adjustment. |
| | Sensitive and Critical Habitat, BR- | , |
| | G3, Benefits of Biological | |
| | Resources) | |
| | , | |
| | Related policies: BR-P1. | |
| | Compatible Land Uses, BR-P5. | |
| | Streamside Management Areas. | |
| Conservation | Goals and policies contained in this | The project was referred to the |
| and Open Space | Chapter relate to the protection and | Northwest Information Center (NWIC) |
| Chapter 10 | enhancement of significant cultural | and the Bear River Band of the |
| 1 | resources, providing heritage, | Rohnerville Rancheria. The NWIC has |
| Cultural | historic, scientific, educational, | recommended a cultural resource study |
| Resources | social and economic values to | and consultation with the local Tribes. |
| Section 10.6 | benefit present and future | The Bear River Band of the Rohnerville |
| | generations (CU-G1, Protection and | Rancheria indicated that they did not |
| | Enhancement of Significant | have any specific concerns as no ground |
| | Cultural Resources) | disturbing activities were proposed, and |
| | , | the project can be viewed as having no |
| | Related policies: CU-P1. | likelihood of impacting cultural |
| | Identification and Protection, CU- | resources. |
| | P2. Native American Tribal | • |
| | Consultation] | |


| | 1 | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Water | Goals and policies contained in | The South Fork Bear River, as well as |
| Resources | this Chapter relate to coordinated | several tributaries to it flow through the |
| Chapter 11 | watershed planning and land use | subject properties. No development is |
| | decision making to advance | proposed as part of this Lot Line |
| Stormwater | management priorities (WR-G3, | Adjustment, however, any future |
| Drainage | WR-G4, WR-G5); watershed | development will be required to comply |
| | conservation and restoration | with erosion and sediment control |
| | efforts aimed at de-listing water | requirements associated with future |
| | bodies and watersheds which are | building permits. |
| | restored to meet all beneficial uses, | |
| | including water use, salmon and | |
| | steelhead recovery plans, | |
| | recreational activities, and the | |
| | economy (WR-G1, WR-G2, WR- | |
| | G7, WR-G8, WR-G9); and | |
| | | |
| | Related policies: WR-P10. Erosion | |
| | and Sediment Discharge; WR-P42. | |
| | Erosion and Sediment Control | |
| | Measures. | |
| Safety Element | Goals and policies contained in | The parcels are located within an area of |
| Chapter 14 | this Chapter relate to communities | moderate to high slope instability and |
| | that are designed and built to | outside of any Alquist-Priolo Fault |
| Geologic and | minimize the potential for loss of | Hazard Area. The parcels are currently |
| Seismic | life and property resulting from | utilized for grazing and timber |
| | natural and manmade hazards; and | production. |
| | to prevent unnecessary exposure | |
| | to areas of geologic instability, | |
| | floodplains, tsunami run-up areas, | |
| | high risk wildland fire areas, and | |
| | airport areas planned and | |
| | conditioned to prevent | |
| | unnecessary exposure of people | |
| | and property to risks of damage or | |
| | injury (S-G1, S-G2) | |
| | | |
| | Related policies: S-P11. Site | |
| | Suitability, S-P7. Structural | |
| | Hazards. | |


| ~ ~ 71 | | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Safety Element | Goals and policies contained in this | The project site is not within a mapped |
| Chapter 14 | Chapter relate to the use of natural | flood hazard area, dam or levee |
| Flooding | drainage channels and watersheds that are managed to minimize peak flows in order to reduce the severity and frequency of flooding. (S-G3) | inundation area and, at approximately 8 miles distance from the coast and approximately 2,000 feet above mean sea level, is outside the areas subject to tsunami run-up. No development is proposed as part of this LLA. |
| | Related policies include: S-P12, | |
| | Federal Flood Insurance Program; | |
| | S-P13, Flood Plains; S-P15, | |
| | Construction Within Special | |
| | Flood Hazard Areas. | |
| Safety Element | Goals and policies of this Chapter | The subject property is located within |
| Chapter 14 | encourage development designed | the State Fire Responsibility Area where |
| | to reduce the risk of structural and | the State of California has the primary |
| Fire Hazards | wildland fires supported by fire | responsibility for the prevention and |
| 1110 11002001 000 | protection services that minimize | suppression of wildland fires. CAL |
| | the potential risk of wildfire. | FIRE comments recommended |
| | F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | compliance with the requirements of the |
| | | County's Fire Safe Regulations. The |
| | Related policies: S-P19, | Humboldt County Fire Safe Ordinance |
| | Conformance with State | (Section 3111-1 et seq.) establishes |
| | Responsibility Areas (SRA) Fire | development standards for minimizing |
| | Safe Regulations. | wildfire danger in state responsibility |
| | | designated areas. No development is |
| | | proposed with this Lot Line Adjustment. |
| | | |


5. Potential for Environmental Impact. The following table identifies the evidence, which supports the finding that the proposed development will not adversely impact the environment.

| Code Section | Summary of Applicable | Evidence that Supports the Required |
|--|---|---|
| | Requirements | Finding |
| §312-17.1 | The proposed development will not adversely impact the environment, and will not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare and will not be materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity. | All reviewing referral agencies have approved or conditionally approved the proposed project. The project will not result in changes in land use or density, and will not create a new parcel. |
| §15061(b)(3) and §15305(a), CEQA | Categorically exempt from State environmental review. | The LLA does not result in a change in land use or density, and is intended to adjust the boundary to acquire fee ownership of an access road. Therefore, the project is exempt pursuant to Sections 15061(b)(3) and 15305(a) of the CEQA Guidelines. 15061(b)(3) applies to projects that can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment and 15305(a) applies to minor lot line adjustments not resulting in the creation of any new parcel. |

Referral Agency Comments and Recommendations: All reviewing referral agencies responded with no comment or with a recommendation of approval.







AERIAL MAP

PROPOSED CASANOVA LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT & JOINT TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN **BEAR RIVER AREA** LLA-18-002/JTMP-18-001

APN: 102-151-001, 102-092-004 T01N R01W S31;T1N R2W S36 HB&M (Taylor Peak)

Project Area =



This map is intended for display purposes and should not be used for precise measurement or navigation. Data has not been completely checked for accuracy.



0.25

■Miles

