Biological Reconnaissance Assessment

APN 214-111-006 & 214-112-006

July 2019

Prepared For:

I and I Ranch

Shane Gomes

Prepared By:



661 G Street Arcata, California 95521 707-633-8321 | motherearthengineering.com

Table of Contents

Exec	cutive Summary
1.	Introduction
1.1	Purpose and Need
1.2	2 Project Description
2.	Regulatory Background
2.1	1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
2.2	2 Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
2.	3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife4
2.4	4 Humboldt County-Streamside Management Area5
2.	5 Additional Laws and Policies
3.	Environmental Setting
3.1	1 Project Location
3.2	2 Soil, Topography, Hydrology
4.	Methods
4.	1 Limitations7
5.	Results and Discussion7
5.1	1 Vegetation7
5.2	2 Wetlands and SMA areas
5.3	3 Northern Spotted Owl
5.4	4 CNDDB, Special Status Species and other Database Results
6.0	Conclusion and Discussion

Appendices Appendix A: Maps Appendix B: Field Photos Appendix C: Database Results



Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide a preliminary reconnaissance assessment of the biological resources affected by commercial cannabis cultivation for I and I Ranch, LLC located at 18645 Dyerville Loop Road, Philipsville of Humboldt County, California (APN 214-111-006 & 214-112-006). At APN: 214-112-006, I and I Ranch is seeking a special permit for 43,560 square feet of commercial cannabis cultivation under Humboldt County's Commercial Cannabis Land Use Ordinance. At APN: 214-111-006, I and I Ranch seeks to cultivate 23,520 square feet of commercial cannabis cultivation.

Jurisdictional resources considered for this report include wetlands and non-wetland "waters of the U.S." regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE); "waters of the State" regulated by the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB); and the bed, bank, and channel of all lakes, rivers, and/or streams (and associated riparian vegetation), as regulated by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). "Streamside Management Areas" (SMAs) [section 3432(5) of the Humboldt County 1984 General Plan] are defined in the Humboldt County General Plan (Page G-8) and include, a natural resource area along both sides of streams containing the channel and adjacent land.

Mother Earth Engineering staff visited the site on 17 July 2019 to determine the extent of project impacts, assess potential habitat for sensitive species and develop guidelines and strategies for mitigation measures. Additional consultation with agency staff including USACE, NCRWQCB, CDFW, Humboldt County and US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFW) will continue throughout the life of the project.

The property is characterized as a mosaic of open oak woodlands and grasslands with stands of conifers at the ridge of Dyerville Loop Road. Project sites were historically used as cattle ranching. The project areas are sloped between 3-30% at approximately 1,800 to 2,500 feet above sea level. The property shows documented observations of Peregrine falcons and Howell's montia within the property study area. However, no direct observations were made within the property study area during the site evaluation.

In general, the site was generally well maintained and established. Road traffic, noise, dust and visual impacts were at a minimum. Solid waste pollution or other discharge into terrestrial habitats and further aquatic habitats were not observed. All greenhouses are enclosed by tarp past dusk and no rodenticides are in use. The impacts of the proposed expansion of cultivation sites at PA-1 and PA-2 are minimal. Both sites are flat, stable areas that were historically used for cattle grazing. No trees would be removed at either site. On the day of assessment, the vegetation at both sites contained typical grassland, nonnative disturbance species such as *Plantago lanceolata* (English plantain) and *Elymus caput-medusae* (medusa head).

Due to historic land use disturbance, lack of forested habitat, and current species composition, it is unlikely that expansion at these sites would negatively affect listed, sensitive species. Current cultivation activities are established and have a low probability of negatively affecting the species. Areas of proposed cultivation and pond sites were examined for habitat of sensitive plant and wildlife species. No sensitive species were observed, and proposal sites are unlikely to negatively affect sensitive species or habitat. Additional consultation with agency staff including the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Humboldt County and US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFW) will continue throughout the project application.



1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Need

This document was prepared to provide preliminary assessment of the biological resources under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), the Regional Water Quality Board (RWQCB), and the Humboldt County Streamside Management Area guidance (SMA) for the 232-acre property owned by Shane Gomes of I and I Ranch. The purpose of this assessment is to provide an evaluation of biological resources on site and assess any potential project impacts to biological resources, specifically rare or endangered species within project sites.

1.2 Project Description

At APN: 214-112-006, I and I Ranch is seeking a special permit for 43,560 square feet of commercial cannabis cultivation under Humboldt County's Commercial Cannabis Land Use Ordinance. At APN: 214-111-006, I and I Ranch seeks to cultivate 23,520 square feet of commercial cannabis cultivation. The Applicant proposes to build a pond at two potential sites: one on APN: 214-111-006 and one on APN: 214-112-006. The study boundary includes areas of direct and indirect impacts surrounding existing and proposed cultivation and proposed pond sites (*Appendix A, Figure 1*).

2. Regulatory Background

2.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

The USACE Regulatory Branch regulates activities that may discharge dredged or fill materials into "waters of the U.S." under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. This permitting authority applies to all "waters of the U.S." where the material (1) replaces any portion of a "waters of the U.S." with dry land or (2) changes the bottom elevation of any portion of any "waters of the U.S.". These fill materials include sand, rock, clay, construction debris, wood chips, and materials used to create any structure or infrastructure in these waters. The selection of disposal sites for dredged or fill material is done in accordance with guidelines specified in Section 404(b)(1) of the CWA, which were developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

2.2 Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)

The RWQCB is the primary agency responsible for protecting water quality in California through the regulation of discharges to surface waters under the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter-Cologne Act). The RWQCB's jurisdiction extends to all "waters of the State" and to all "waters of the U.S.," including wetlands (isolated and non-isolated).

Section 401 of the CWA provides the RWQCB with the authority to regulate, through a Water Quality Certification, any proposed, federally permitted activity that may affect water quality. Among such activities are discharges of dredged or fill material permitted by the USACE pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA. Section 401 requires the RWQCB to provide certification that there is reasonable assurance an activity with the potential for discharge into navigable waters will not violate water quality standards. Water Quality Certification must be based on findings that the proposed discharge will comply with water quality standards, which contain numeric and narrative objectives found in each of the nine RWQCBs' Basin Plans.

2.3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife

The CDFW has jurisdictional authority over wetland resources associated with rivers, streams, and lakes pursuant to the California Fish and Game Code (§§1600–1616). Activities of state and local agencies, as well as



public utilities that are project proponents, are regulated by the CDFW under Section 1602 of the California Fish and Game Code.

Because the CDFW includes streamside habitats under its jurisdiction that, under the federal definition, may not qualify as wetlands on a project site, its jurisdiction may be broader than that of the USACE. Riparian forests in California often lie outside the plain of ordinary high water regulated under Section 404 of the CWA, and often do not have all three parameters (wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils) sufficiently present to be regulated as a wetland.

However, riparian forests are frequently included within CDFW regulatory jurisdiction under Section 1602 of the California Fish and Game Code.

The CDFW jurisdictional limits are not as clearly defined by regulation as those of the USACE. While they closely resemble the limits described by USACE regulations, they include riparian habitat supported by a river, stream, or lake regardless of the presence or absence of hydric and saturated soils conditions. In general, the CDFW extends jurisdiction from the top of a stream bank or to the outer limits of the adjacent riparian vegetation (outer drip line), whichever is greater. Notification is generally required for any project that will take place within or near a river, stream, lake, or their tributaries. This includes rivers or streams that flow at least periodically or permanently through a bed or channel with banks that support fish and other aquatic plant and/or wildlife species. It also includes watercourses that have a surface or subsurface flow that support or have supported riparian vegetation.

2.4 Humboldt County-Streamside Management Area

"Streamside Management Areas" (SMAs) [Section 3432(5) of the Humboldt County 1984 General Plan] are defined in the Humboldt County General Plan (Page G-8) and include a natural resource area along both sides of streams containing the channel and adjacent land. Updates to the SMA guidance for cannabis activities are defined in the Environmental Impact Assessment Biological Resources Section¹.

Project applicants proposing development activities within a SMA or wetland areas are required to include a site-specific biological report prepared consistent with these regulations. The written report prepared by a qualified biologist is subsequently referred to CDFW for review and comment. If required, after agency review of the preliminary habitat assessment, protocol level surveys will be completed per recommendations by the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) amendments to the Humboldt County Code Regulating Commercial Cannabis Activities².

2.5 Additional Laws and Policies

In addition to the above-mentioned policies, numerous other policies exist to protect wetlands, waters and biological resources including the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), California Endangered Species Act (CESA) and the Z'berg-Nejedly Forest Practice Act.

² Final Environmental Impact Report: Amendments to the Humboldt County Code Regulating Commercial Cannabis Activities. Prepared by Ascent Environmental. Accessed via https://humboldtgov.org/DocumentCenter/View/62689/Humboldt-County-Cannabis-Program-Final-EIR60mb-PDF. Accessed [July 2019]



¹ <u>https://humboldtgov.org/DocumentCenter/View/58840/Section-311-Biological-Resources-Revised-DEIRPDF</u>

3. Environmental Setting

3.1 Project Location

The project area is located off Dyerville Loop Road in the Phillipsville area (S8, T3S, R4E) of Humboldt County, California (*Appendix A, Figure 1*). The project is located on two (2) parcels, APN: 214-111-006 and APN 214-112-006, that sums to 232 acres within the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) Miranda 7.5-minute quadrangle map. The parcel is zoned Agricultural Grazing (AG). Elevation is approximately 2,000 to 2,500 feet (*Appendix A, Figure 2*).

3.2 Soil, Topography, Hydrology

The soil complex of the project areas on this parcel is composed primarily of Dryfield-Yorknorth-Witherell complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes (667) and Yorknorth-Witherell complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes (662). These complexes consist of very deep, well drained soils formed in colluvium and residuum derived from chloritic schist, sandstone and other sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. Dryfield soils contain less than 35 percent clay in the control section and occur on linear to slightly convex positions. Witherell soils have fractured bedrock above 50 centimeters and are on convex positions. These soils typically occur on upper mountain side slopes and are used for livestock grazing. These soils have a xeric soil moisture regime and are not considered to be hydric³.

The property is situated at the top of ridge where headwaters of watercourses drain into tributaries tributary to the South Fork Eel River to the southwest and Eel River to the north and east. The project areas are on gently sloped sites of less than 15% slope generally at the top of an open ridge. The property is located in the Lower South Fork Eel River watershed and the Butte Creek-South Fork Eel River subwatershed⁴. The area is mapped as possessing moderate levels of instability in the Humboldt County GIS database. The property was historically used for cattle grazing and project areas can be characterized as predominately annual grassland and mixed montane hardwood habitat types.

4. Methods

On 17 July 2019, Mother Earth Engineering staff conducted a site visit to survey current and remediated cultivation areas to evaluate potential habitat and record observed, biological resources. The study area, represented as the survey boundary in green dashes, includes areas of direct and indirect impact of current cultivation and proposed expansion areas and potential habitat for special status plant and wildlife species. The orange polygon represents the existing cultivation area and the green polygons represent the two (2) proposed cultivation sites (*Appendix A, Figure 1*).

Approximately three (3) field hours were spent conducting a habitat assessment for listed species and species of concern. The study area was scanned for rare plants and wildlife signs including tracks, scat, tree habitat (cavities, nests scrapes or accumulated vegetation). Full floristic surveys were not conducted at this time. The entire parcel was not surveyed.



³ Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at the following link: <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/.</u> Accessed [July 2019]

⁴ Caltrans Water Quality Planning Tool available at: <u>http://svctenvims.dot.ca.gov/wqpt/wqpt.aspx</u>.

Before field visits occurred, the site was remotely evaluated for potential habitat value to protected, endangered, threatened, rare, and sensitive species by Geographic Information Systems (GIS), the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) RareFind and BIOS, and the California Native Plant Society Rare Plant Inventory (CNPS). The localized CNDDB 9-Quad area of Fort Seward was queried to generate occurrences of special-status animal species (Table 1). Within one (1) mile of property project areas, occurrences of *Montia howellii* (Howell's montia) has been observed and the potential for *Falco peregrinus anatum* (peregrine falcon) may occur (*Appendix A, Figure 2*).

4.1 Limitations

All plant species growing within the study area may not have been observed due to varying flowering phenologies and life forms, such as bulbs, biennials, and annuals. Other potentially dominant species within vegetation communities on site may be present during other times of the year. Some of the plant species identified in this report are tentative due to the absence of morphological characters, resulting from immature reproductive structures or seasonal desiccation, which is required to make species-level determinations.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Vegetation

The property is characterized as a mosaic of gently rolling annual grasslands and mixed montane hardwoodconifer (*Appendix A, Figure 4*). The tree layer of this property was dominated primarily of *Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *menziesii* (Douglas fir) with some stands of *Arbutus menziesii* (pacific madrone), *Quercus garryana* (Oregon white oak), *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* (tanoak) and *Umbellularia californica* (California bay).

Pond Site 1 and Proposed Cultivation Area 1: The site on APN: 214-112-006 was previously used for cattle ranching and is composed of typical disturbance species. First pond site at (PO-1) is characterized as a depression in an open grassland with stands of *Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *menziesii* (Douglas fir). The area is composed of annual and perennial forbs and grass species but is dominated by nonnative, introduced annual grasses. Observed species include *Cirsium vulgare* (spear thistle), *Poa pratensis* (Kentucky bluegrass), *Bromus diandrus* (ripgut brome), *Vulpia myuros* (foxtail fescue) *Bromus hordeaceus* (soft brome), *Vicia ssp.* (vetch), *Elymus caput-medusae* (medusahead), *Mentha pulegium* (pennyroyal), *Plantago lanceolata* (English plaintain), *Rumex acetosella* (red sorrel), *Hypericum perforatum* (Klamath weed), *Cynosurus echinatus* (dogtail grass), *Polypogon sp.* (rabbits foot grass), *Trifolium sp.* (clover), *Hypochaeris radicata* (rough cat's-ear), *Brodiaea elegans* (harvest brodiaea) and *Holcus lanatus* (common velvet grass). A small depression was observed to contain *Juncus sp.* (rush) and *Luzula sp.* (woodrush).

Downslope of the PO-1 is the headwaters of an ephemeral watercourse. The open grassland habitat turns into a shady, montane hardwood habitat type with *Toxicodendron diversilobum* (poison oak), *Chlorogalum pomeridianum* (wavyleaf soap plant), *Mentha pulegium* (pennyroyal), *Fragaria vesca* (wild strawberry) and *Rumex crispus* (curly dock).

The proposed cultivation area at PA-1 is a flat, open grassland area with slopes less than 15%. The area was dominated with *Plantago lanceolata* (English plaintain), and *Rumex acetesolla* (red sorrel), both nonnative and invasive species. No sensitive species were observed here. The second proposed cultivation site (PA-2) is approximately 350 feet north of PA-1 and is a highly altered and disturbed, flat area that was cleared out by previous owners. No sensitive species were observed here.



Biological Reconnaissance Assessment - I and I Ranch

The existing cultivation site CA-1 on APN: 214-111-006 is an established site with no sensitive species observed. Proposed pond site 2 (PO-2) is characterized as a depression in a mixed grassland area with conifer encroachment. Relatively young Douglas firs and tanoak to be removed. Scan of trees did not yield in any nest observations. Few invasive *Cytisus scoparius* (Scotch broom) are to be removed. This site eventually drains into a more forested area with *Toxicodendron diversilobum* (poison oak), *Rubus leucodermis* (whitebark raspberry), *Mentha pulegium* (pennyroyal), *Polypogon sp.* (rabbits foot grass), *Trifolium sp.* (clover), *Hypochaeris radicata* (rough cat's-ear), *Dryopteris sp.* (wood ferns), *Cirsium sp.* (thistle) and *Clinopodium douglasii* (yerba buena).

5.2 Wetlands and SMA areas

Only the areas surrounding cultivation and current project impacts in the parcel were surveyed. A preliminary scoping of the property using Web Soil survey and NWI GIS layers showed that soils on the property are not hydric. Existing project areas and proposed expansion areas did not contain any indications of hydrology, hydric soils or hydrophytic vegetation that would support a wetland. An ephemeral depression was observed in a slight dip in the PO-1 area. All existing and proposed project sites are outside SMA setbacks.

5.3 Northern Spotted Owl

One positive occurrence of *Strix occidentalis caurina* (Northern Spotted Owl) was observed within one mile of project areas in the CNDDB BIOS database. The observation occurred in April of 1999 and is predicted to be associated with the activity center, HUM0958. The activity center HUM0958 was established in 2000 and is approximately 4,400 feet west of existing cultivation area. On site investigation did not yield in a positive sighting or evidence of NSO habitation in the area. Generally, the NSO prefers forests with high, multilayered, multispecies canopy closure with large conifer overstory trees, large snags, large logs, and trees with deformities like broken tops to nest and roost in⁵. The forests surrounding the cleared area was a dense, young forest less than 40 years of age. Given the study area is primarily in annual grassland habitat type, it is an unlikely habitat for the Northern Spotted Owl. However, a protocol level survey was not conducted.

5.4 CNDDB, Special Status Species and other Database Results

The CNDDB BIOS and RareFind, as well as California Native Plant Society (CNPS) databases, were scoped both before and after the field visit to search for reference sites or known occurrences in or around the project area. Scoping results for the nine (9) USGS 7.5 min quads surrounding Miranda are included in Appendix C of this report. Other literature and databases used for consultation to evaluate potential unique biological communities and special-status species include but not limited to:

- USDA's Ecoregion Classification system
- California's Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program (VegCamp)
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC)
- National Marine Fisheries Service California Species List Tool (NOAA 2019)
- CalFlora database
- CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California online inventory (CNPS)
- CDFW CNDDB/Spotted Owl Viewer online database
- The Jepson Manual, Vascular Plants of California Second Edition (Baldwin et al. 2012)
- NRCS Websoil Survey



⁵ Spotted Owl Species account https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=10406

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment - I and I Ranch

• *A Manual of California Vegetation* Second Edition (Sawyer et al. 2009)

The following special status wildlife species have the potential to occur in the study boundary⁶⁷. Species such as *Falco peregrinus anatum* (peregrine falcon) and *Montia howelli* (Howell's montia) have been observed within property boundaries. Impacts to special status animals are evaluated in this section based on their likelihood to occur in the area due to habitat needs and natural life history.

Mammals

Special-status wildlife species such as *Pekania pennanti* (west coast fisher), *Martes caurina humboldtensis* (Humboldt marten), *Arborimus pomo* (Sonoma tree vole) and *Lasiurus blossevilli* (western red bat) requires forests and canopy for suitable habitat. Project areas on the property are all historic cattle ranching, altered grassland areas with no suitable habitat for forest wildlife species. At the day of the assessment, no species or evidence of special status wildlife species were observed.

Birds

Falco peregrinus anatum (American peregrine falcon)

The American peregrine falcon is a fully protected species by the State of California. They are the largest falcon over most of the continent with long, pointed wings, and a long tail. They can be observed throughout North America but most commonly along coasts. They perch and nest on water towers, cliffs, and other human made structures. Nest consists of a scrape or a ledge in an open site. Due to their widespread habitat suitability and distribution, there is potential for habitat near and within study boundaries. However, current cultivation activities and proposed sites do not take place within potential nesting habitat and have a low probability of negatively affecting the species. No large rock outcrops were observed in the surrounding area to support nesting habitat. Should further development resulting in disturbance become necessary, Mitigation Measure 3.4-1d of the CCLUO MMRP should be implemented.

Fish

No perennial or fish bearing water courses flow through the subject property. The nearest river is the South Fork Eel River approximately 2.5 miles west and southwest of the property project areas. The South Fork Eel River is known to host *Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus pop. 36* (summer-run North California Coast steelhead) and *Entosphenus tridentatus* (Pacific Lamprey). These species are a California Species of Special Concern and Federally Threatened. Declines in fish populations have been linked to habitat degradation from poor timber harvest practices, mining operations, excessive sport harvesting, road construction and increased sedimentation from poor land management practices. Suitable habitat for state and federally listed anadromous salmonids is likely present within the flowing waters of the South Fork Eel River. The Applicant is currently enrolled with the North Coast Regional Water Board's Cannabis Discharge Waiver Program and will implement sediment and erosion control measures to prevent sediment discharge to nearby watercourses.

Reptiles and Amphibians

No perennial water courses flow through the subject property. There is no riparian habitat near or within the existing cultivation site or proposed sites of the study boundary. Due to the lack of a developed riparian zone, it

⁷ California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory or Rare or Endangered Plants (Accessed via



⁶ California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) Rarefind and Bios Commercial Subscription (Accessed via http:// https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/data/cnddb/maps-and-data)

http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/advanced.html)

is unlikely that the existing activities and proposed expansion sites will negatively impact sensitive and listed aquatic and/or riparian-related species. Species requiring colder, permanent water (foothill yellow-legged frog, red-legged frog, southern torrent salamander, pacific tailed frog) are expected in the more permanent tributaries to the South Fork Eel River. The Applicant is currently enrolled with the North Coast Regional Water Board's Cannabis Discharge Waiver Program and will implement sediment and erosion control measures to prevent sediment discharge to nearby watercourses.

Plants

Montia howellii (Howelli's montia)

M. howellii is small, low mat-forming annual herb in the Montiaceae family. It has the California Rare Plant Rank of 2B.2 and is state listed S2 for imperiled. *M. howellii* is found in vernally wet, mesic sites and often in compacted soils. Threats to population include logging, road construction and maintenance, vehicles, and competition. The CNDDB lists an occurrence of *Montia howellii* recorded in 2005 near the southeastern portion of APN 214-112-006. On site investigation of the study area did not yield to any positive observations of this species. The areas of existing and proposed cultivation and pond sites are situated in drier, disturbed grasslands with little habitat for *M. howellii*. It is unlikely that the current and proposed activities will negatively impact *M. howellii*.

6.0 Conclusion and Discussion

Mother Earth Engineering staff conducted a preliminary biological habitat assessment on July 17, 2019 for potential listed species and species of concern at subject property APN 214-111-006 and 214-112-006. Parcel and project areas were scoped using the CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) and California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Rare Plant Inventory to determine the extent of project impacts, assess potential habitat for sensitive species and develop guidelines and strategies for mitigation measures, as necessary.

In general, the site was generally well maintained and established. Road traffic, noise, dust and visual impacts were at a minimum. Solid waste pollution or other discharge into terrestrial habitats and further aquatic habitats were not observed. All greenhouses are enclosed by tarp past dusk and no rat poison are in use. The impacts of the proposed expansion of cultivation sites at PA-1 and PA-2 are minimal. Both sites are flat, stable areas that were historically used for cattle grazing. No trees would be removed at either site. At the day of assessment, the vegetation at both sites contained typical grassland, nonnative disturbance species such as *Plantago lanceolata* (English plantain) and *Elymus caput-medusae* (medusa head). Due to historic land use disturbance, lack of forest habitat, and current species composition, it is unlikely that expansion at these sites would negatively affect listed, sensitive species.

The proposed pond location at PO-2 on APN 214-111-006 is characterized as a depression in a mixed grassland area with stands of young Douglas firs. If site at PO-2 is to move forward, relatively young Douglas firs, tanoak, and few invasive *Cytisus scoparius* (Scotch broom) in the center of the depression are to be removed. During site assessment, a scan of trees did not yield in any nest observations.

There is no riparian habitat near or within the existing cultivation site or proposed sites of the study boundary. Due to the lack of a developed riparian zone, it is unlikely that the existing activities and proposed expansion sites will negatively impact sensitive and listed aquatic and/or riparian-related species. Additional consultation with agency staff including the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), U.S. Army Corps of



Engineers (USACE), Humboldt County and US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFW) will continue throughout the project application.



References

- Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D. J. Keil, R. Patterson, T. J. Rosatti, and D. H. Wilken, editors. 2012. *The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, second edition*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Bourque, R. 2008. Spatial ecology of an inland population of the Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog (Rana boy/ii) in Tehama County, California. Humboldt State University.

Buskirk, S.W. and R.A. Powell. 1994. Habitat ecology of fishers and American martens. Pages 283–296 in Buskirk, S.W., A.S. Harestad, and M.G. Raphael, eds. Martens, sables, and fishers: biology and conservation. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York. 484pp.

- Calflora: Information on California plants for education, research and conservation. [web application]. 2014. Berkeley, California: The Calflora Database [a non-profit organization]. Available: https://www.calflora.org/ (Accessed: July 2019).
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Natural Diversity Database, BIOS. 2016. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento, CA. Accessed July 2019.
- Carnie, S. K. 1954. Food habits of nesting golden eagles in the coast ranges of California. Condor 56:3-12.
- Cassola, F. 2016. *Arborimus albipes. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2016: e.T2017A22389204. . Downloaded July 2019.
- Chapman, B. 2007. Townsend's Big-eared Bat (Corynorhinus townsendii). Pp. 140-143 in M Trani, W Ford, B Chapman, eds. *The Land Manager's Guide to Mammals of the South*. Durham, NC: The Nature Conservancy.
- CNPS (California Native Plant Society). 2017. *Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants*. (online edition, v8-02). California Native Plant Society. Sacramento, CA. Accessed June 2019.
- Forsman, E. D. 1976. A preliminary investigation of the spotted owl in Oregon. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State Univ., Corvallis. 125pp.
- FORSMAN ED, SWINGLE JK. 2006. White-footed Voles living in arboreal nests. Northwest Science 80:308–310
- Gruver, J., D. Keinath. 2006. "Townsend's Big-eared Bat (Corynorhinus townsendii): a technical conservation assessment." (On-line pdf). Accessed July 2019 at <u>http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/projects/scp/assessments/townsendsbigearedbat.pdf</u>.
- Hargis, C.D., J.A. Bissonette, and D.L. Turner. 1999. The influence of forest fragmentation and landscape pattern on American martens. Journal of Applied Ecology 36:157–172.

Hatfield, R., Jepsen, S., Thorp, R., Richardson, L., Colla, S. & Foltz Jordan, S. 2015. Bombus occidentalis. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T44937492A46440201. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T44937492A46440201.en. Downloaded on 17 June 2019.

Hatfield, R., Jepsen, S., Thorp, R., Richardson, L. & Colla, S. 2014. Bombus caliginosus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2014: e.T44937726A69000748. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2014-3.RLTS.T44937726A69000748.en. Downloaded July 2019.



Biological Reconnaissance Assessment - I and I Ranch

- Howell, A. B. 1926. Voles of the genus Phenacomys. II. Life history of the red tree mouse Phenacomys longicaudus. USDA, North Am. Fauna Ser. No. 48:39-64.
- McGahan, J. 1968. Ecology of the golden eagle. Auk 85:1-12.
- Remsen, J. V., Jr. 1978. Bird species of special concern in California. Calif. Dep. Fish and Game, Sacramento. Wildl. Manage. Admin. Rep. No. 78-1. 54pp.
- Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evens. 2009. A Manual of California Vegetation Online, 2nd edition. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Accessed June 2019. http://vegetation.cnps.org/.
- Smith, J. 2014. Field guide to Grasses of California. University of California Press, Oakland.
- Thelander, C. G. 1974. Nesting territory utilization by golden eagles (Aquila chrysaetos) in California during 1974. Calif. Dept. Fish and Game, Sacramento. Wildl. Manage. Branch Admin. Rep. 74-7. 19pp.ican rough-legged hawk.
- Turner, M., & Kulhmann, E. 2014. Trees and Shrubs of the Pacific Northwest. Portland: Timber Press, Inc.
- Turner, M., & Gustafson, P. 2014. *Wildflowers of the Pacific Northwest*. Portland: Timber Press, Inc.
- Udvardy, M. D. F. 1977. The Audubon Society field guide to North American birds: western region. A. Knopf, New York. 855pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Estimating the effects of auditory and visual disturbance to northern spotted owls and marbled murrelets in northwestern California. Arcata Fish and Wildlife Office, Arcata, CA.
- Williams, P.H., Thorp, R.W., Richardson, L.L. and Colla, S.R. 2014. The Bumble bees of North America: An Identification guide. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Zeiner, D.C., W.F.Laudenslayer, Jr., K.E. Mayer, and M. White, eds. 1988-1990. California's Wildlife. Vol. I-III. California Depart. of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California



[Page intentionally left blank]



[Page intentionally left blank]



Figure 1: Aerial Overview of the subject property.





Figure 2: CNDDB and NSO map





Figure 3 – Topographic map





Figure 4 – CalVEG Habitat map for the subject property. The property is characterized as a vegetation mosaic of annual grassland, montane hardwood, Douglas fir and montane hardwood-conifer.





[Page intentionally left blank]

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment – I and I Ranch, LLC



Picture 1: View of the proposed pond area PO-1 looking west. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



proposed pond area PO-1 looking east. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



Picture 3: Representative vegetation at proposed site PO-1 looking south and upslope. Picture taken 17 July 2019.





Picture 4: A shallow swale observed at PO-1. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



Picture 5: The tree line beginning downslope of PO-1 looking north. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



Biological Reconnaissance Assessment – I and I Ranch, LLC

Picture 6: View of the proposed cultivation area 1 (PA-1). Picture taken 17 July 2019.

Picture 7: Representative vegetation and habitat at PA-1 looking south. Picture taken 17 July 2019.





Picture 8: Another view of PA-1. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



July 2019



Picture 9: Proposed cultivation site PA-2 approximately 350 ft north of PA-1. Picture taken 17 July 2019.

Picture 10: Proposed cultivation site PA-2 approximately 350 ft north of





Biological Reconnaissance Assessment - I and I Ranch, LLC

July 2019





Picture 12: Downslope of proposed pond site PO-2 on APN: 214-111-006. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



Picture 13: Representative vegetation at proposed pond site PO-2 on APN: 214-111-006. Picture taken 17 July 2019.



July 2019

Picture 13: Representative vegetation at proposed pond site PO-2 on APN: 214-111-006. Invasive broom to be removed. Picture taken 17 July 2019.





Picture 14: Representative vegetation and habitat at current cultivation area CA-1 seen in foreground on APN: 214-111-006. Picture taken 17 July 2019.

Picture 15: Representative vegetation at the current cultivation site CA-1 on APN: 214-111-006. Picture taken 17 July 2019.







Appendix C

Database Results

[Page intentionally left blank]

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

July 2019

Table 1-CNDDB and CNPS nine-quad database results for the Miranda USGS 7.5' quadrangle July 2019.

Animals

Scientific Name	Common	Taxon Group	Other Status	General Habitat	Micro Habitat	Habitat Present
	Name	_				in Study Area
Accipiter cooperii	Cooper's hawk	Birds	CDFW_WL-Watch List IUCN_LC-Least Concern	Woodland, chiefly of open, interrupted or marginal type.	Nest sites mainly in riparian growths of deciduous trees, as in canyon bottoms on river flood-plains; also, live oaks.	Yes
Antrozous pallidus	pallid bat	Mammals	BLM_S-Sensitive CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_LC-Least Concern USFS_S-Sensitive WBWG_H-High Priority	Deserts, grasslands, shrublands, woodlands and forests. Most common in open, dry habitats with rocky areas for roosting.	Roosts must protect bats from high temperatures. Very sensitive to disturbance of roosting sites.	No
Aquila chrysaetos	golden eagle	Birds	BLM_S-Sensitive CDF_S-Sensitive CDFW_FP-Fully Protected CDFW_WL- Watch List IUCN_LC- Least Concern USFWS_BCC-Birds of Conservation Concern	Rolling foothills, mountain areas, sage-juniper flats, and desert.	Cliff-walled canyons provide nesting habitat in most parts of range; also, large trees in open areas.	No
Arborimus pomo	Sonoma tree vole	Mammals	CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_NT-Near Threatened	North coast fog belt from Oregon border to Sonoma County. In Douglas-fir, redwood & montane hardwood-conifer forests.	Feeds almost exclusively on Douglas-fir needles. Will occasionally take needles of grand fir, hemlock or spruce.	Potentially present – but unlikely
Ascaphus truei	Pacific tailed frog	Amphibians	CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_LC-Least Concern	Occurs in montane hardwood- conifer, redwood, Douglas-fir & ponderosa pine habitats.	Restricted to perennial montane streams. Tadpoles require water below 15 degrees C.	No perennial streams in study area.
Bombus caliginosus	obscure bumble bee	Insects	IUCN_VU-Vulnerable	Coastal areas from Santa Barbara county to north to Washington state.	Food plant genera include Baccharis, Cirsium, Lupinus, Lotus, Grindelia and Phacelia.	Yes
Bombus occidentalis	western bumble bee	Insects	USFS_S-Sensitive XERCES_IM-Imperiled	Once common & widespread, spec from central CA to southern B	cies has declined precipitously .C., perhaps from disease.	Yes
Brachyramphus marmoratus	marbled murrelet	Birds	CDF_S-Sensitive IUCN_EN-Endangered NABCI_RWL-Red Watch List	Feeds near-shore; nests inland along coast from Eureka to Oregon border and from Half Moon Bay to Santa Cruz.	Nests in old-growth redwood-dominated forests, up to six miles inland, often in Douglas-fir.	No
Empidonax traillii brewsteri	little willow flycatcher	Birds	USFWS_BCC-Birds of Conservation Concern	Mountain meadows and riparian habitats in the Sierra Nevada and Cascades.	Nests near the edges of vegetation clumps and near streams.	No
Emys marmorata	western pond turtle	Reptiles	BLM_S-Sensitive CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_VU-Vulnerable USFS_S-Sensitive	A thoroughly aquatic turtle of ponds, marshes, rivers, streams and irrigation ditches, usually with aquatic vegetation, below 6000 ft elevation.	Needs basking sites and suitable (sandy banks or grassy open fields) upland habitat up to 0.5 km from water for egg-laying.	No

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

	North			Forested habitats in the Sierra		Potentially
	North			ranges, with scattered	Wide variety of coniferous	present in study
	American			observations from forested areas	and mixed woodland	area.
Erethizon dorsatum	porcupine	Mammals	IUCN_LC-Least Concern	in the Transverse Ranges.	habitat.	
	Amorican		CDF_S-Sensitive CDFW_FP-Fully	Near wetlands lakes rivers or		No nest sites
Falso porogripus	American		Protected	other water; on cliffs, banks,	Nest consists of a scrape or a	
Faico peregrinus	peregrine	D' 1	USFWS_BCC-Birds of	dunes, mounds; also, human-	depression or ledge in an	
anatum	Talcon	Birds	Conservation Concern	made structures.	open site.	D 11 C 1
			Special Concern		mosaics with trees that are	Possible foraging,
	wostorn rod		IUCN_LC-Least Concern	Roosts primarily in trees, 2-40 ft	protected from above and	but no roosting
Logiumus bloggorillii	western reu	Mammala	WBWG_H-High	above ground, from sea level up	open below with open areas	trees
Lasiurus biosseviiiii	Dat	Mammais	Priority	through mixed conifer forests.	for foraging.	ŊŢ
	··· 1 11.		CDFW_SSC-Species of	Occurs only in the coastal	Associated with late-	No
Martes caurina	Humboldt		Special Concern	redwood zone from the Oregon	forests, prefer forests with	
humboldtensis	marten	Mammals	USFS_S-Sensitive	border south to Sonoma County.	low, overhead cover.	
				Found in all brush, woodland	Nursery colonies in	Unlikely – no
			BLM_S-Sensitive IUCN_I_C-Least Concern	to about 0000 ft Prefers	under bark and spage	roost sites
	long-eared	_	WBWG M-Medium	coniferous woodlands and	Caves used primarily as	
Myotis evotis	myotis	Mammals	Priority	forests.	night roosts.	
	Ten Mile			Found in coastal dunes, coastal		No
Novo intersessa	shoulderband	Mollusks		forest habitats		
1.090 1100 0000	coho salmon -	1101140140				No perennial
	southern					water in study
	Orogon /					area
	Oregon /			Federal listing refers to	State listing refers to	ui ou
	northern			Blanco, Oregon and Punta	populations between the	
Oncorhynchus	California			Gorda, Humboldt County,	Oregon border and Punta	
kisutch pop. 2	ESU	Fish	AFS_TH-Threatened	California.	Gorda, California.	
O a serbara da se				No. Collifornation at the same south to	Cool, swift, shallow water &	No perennial
Oncornynchus	summer-run			Middle Fork Fel River Within	spawning & suitably large	water in study
mykiss irideus pop.	steelhead		CDFW_SSC-Species of	range of Klamath Mtns province	pools in which to spend the	area
36	trout	Fish	Special Concern	DPS & No. Calif DPS.	summer.	
			CDE S Sonsitivo I		Large nests built in tree-tops	No
			CDFW WL-Watch List	Ocean shore, bays, freshwater	fish-producing body of	
Pandion haliaetus	osprey	Birds	IUCN_LC-Least Concern	lakes, and larger streams.	water.	
					Uses cavities, snags, logs	Unlikely – study
			BLM_S-Sensitive	Intermediate to large-tree stages	and rocky areas for cover	area is an open
	fisher - West		Special Concern	deciduous-riparian areas with	areas of mature, dense	grassland
Pekania pennanti	Coast DPS	Mammals	USFS_S-Sensitive	high percent canopy closure.	forest.	
			ODEW 000 gradie f	Here i fans star and i and	Generally near permanent	No
			Special Concern	grasslands and streamsides in	from water in damp woods	
	northern red-		IUCN LC-Least Concern	northwestern California, usually	and meadows, during non-	
Rana aurora	legged frog	Amphibians	USFS S-Sensitive	near dense riparian cover.	breeding season.	

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

Rana boylii	foothill yellow-legged frog	Amphibians	BLM_S-Sensitive CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_NT-Near Threatened USFS_S- Sensitive	Partly-shaded, shallow streams and riffles with a rocky substrate in a variety of habitats.	Needs at least some cobble- sized substrate for egg- laying. Needs at least 15 weeks to attain metamorphosis.	No perennial water in study area
Rhyacotriton variegatus	southern torrent salamander	Amphibians	CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_LC-Least Concern USFS_S-Sensitive	Coastal redwood, Douglas-fir, mixed conifer, montane riparian, and montane hardwood-conifer habitats. Old growth forest.	Cold, well-shaded, permanent streams and seepages, or within splash zone or on moss-covered rocks within trickling water.	No perennial water in study area
Taricha rivularis	red-bellied newt	Amphibians	CDFW_SSC-Species of Special Concern IUCN_LC-Least Concern	Coastal drainages from Humboldt County south to Sonoma County, inland to Lake County. Isolated population of uncertain origin in Santa Clara County.	Lives in terrestrial habitats, juveniles generally underground, adults active at surface in moist environments. Will migrate over 1 km to breed, typically in streams with moderate flow and clean, rocky substrate.	No

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

Plants

Scientific Name	Common Name	Family	Lifeform	CRPR	GRank	SRank	Habitat	Micro	Habitat
								Habitat	present
									in study
								oponings	Vos
	** 1 11.							disturbed	165
	Humboldt							areas.	
Astragalus	County milk-						Broadleafed upland forest,	sometimes	
agnicidus	vetch	Fabaceae	perennial herb	1B.1	G2	S2	North Coast coniferous forest	roadsides	
Ceanothus									No
gloriosus var.			perennial						
exaltatus	glory brush	Rhamnaceae	evergreen shrub	4.3	G4T4	S4	Chaparral	Sandy	
				10		•	Meadows and seeps, North		No
Coptis	Oregon		perennial		~ ~		Coast coniferous forest		
laciniata	goldthread	Ranunculaceae	rhizomatous herb	4.2	G4?	S3?	(streambanks)	Mesic	
	Humboldt								No
Epilobium	County						Broadleafed upland forest.	sandy or	
septentrionale	fuchsia	Onagraceae	perennial herb	4.3	G4	S4	North Coast coniferous forest	rocky	
•		<u> </u>	•		-				No –
Emigonon	atroomaido						Broadleafed upland forest,		study
	streamside	A			0.0	0.20	Cismontane woodland, North		boundary
Diolettii	daisy	Asteraceae	perennial nerb	3	G3?	\$3?	Coast coniterous forest	rocky, mesic	is too dry
								sometimes	No
Erythronium	giant fawn		perennial				Cismontane woodland	rocky	
oregonum	lilv	Liliaceae	bulbiferous herb	2B.2	G4G5	S2	Meadows and seeps	openings	
8	J				- 1-0	_		•F •8•	No –
т. II. '			• 1				Bogs and fens, Broadleafed		study
Erythronium	coast fawn	- 11	perennial	-	~ ~	~	upland forest, North Coast	Mesic,	boundary
revolutum	lily	Liliaceae	bulbiferous herb	2B.2	G4G5	S3	coniferous forest	streambanks	is too dry
Gilia capitata							Coastal bluff scrub, Chaparral		Yes
ssn nacifica	Pacific gilia	Polemoniaceae	annual herh	1R 9	GETO	Sa	(openings), Coastal prairie,		
ssp. pacifica		Tolemonaceae		10.2	0313	02	valley and foothin grassiand		No
									outside
Howellia	water		annual herb				Marshes and swamps		elevation
aquatilis	howellia	Campanulaceae	(aquatic)	2B.2	G3	S2	(freshwater)		range
			perennial						No
Kopsiopsis	small		rhizomatous herb						
hookeri	groundcone	Orobanchaceae	(parasitic)	2B.3	G4?	S1S2	North Coast coniferous forest		
Lathyrus	0		perennial	.0					Yes
glandulosus	sticky pea	Fabaceae	rhizomatous herb	4.3	G3	S3	Cismontane woodland		
Tili		Lilianna	nonorrial	4.0				a .:	No
Lillum	reawooa iliy	Lillaceae	perenniai	4.2	63	53	Broadleated upland forest,	Sometimes	110

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

rubescens			bulbiferous herb				Chaparral, Lower montane	serpentinite,	
							coniferous forest, North Coast	sometimes	
							confierous forest, Upper	roadsides	
							Poge and fong. Lower montane		No
Listera	heart-leaved						conjferous forest North Coast		NO
cordata	twavblade	Orchidaceae	perennial herb	12	G5	S4	coniferous forest		
cordutu	thuyblade	Oreinduceue		4.4	- 05	~4	Lower montane coniferous	often edges	No
							forest (mesic) Marshes and	onenings	110
Lycopodium			perennial				swamps, North Coast	and	
clavatum	running-pine	Lycopodiaceae	rhizomatous herb	4.1	G5	S3	coniferous forest (mesic)	roadsides	
	loofy						Broadleafed upland forest,		Unlikely
3.6'1 11 1	leary-						Lower montane coniferous	mesic,	
Mitellastra	stemmed		perennial		-		forest, Meadows and seeps,	sometimes	
caulescens	mitrewort	Saxifragaceae	rhizomatous herb	4.2	G5	S4	North Coast coniferous forest	roadsides	
									No –
								vernally	study
Montia	Howell's						Meadows and seeps, North	mesic,	boundary
howollij	montio	Montingon	appual harb	oR o	CoCA	So	Coast conferous forest, Vernal	sometimes	is too dry
nowenn	monua	Montaceae		2D.2	6364	52	pools	roadsides	No
Packera									no –
bolanderi var.	seacoast		perennial				Coastal scrub North Coast	Sometimes	boundary
bolanderi	ragwort	Asteraceae	rhizomatous herb	2B.2	G4T4	S2S3	coniferous forest	roadsides	is too dry
					- 1- 1	0	Broadleafed upland forest.	Toudorado	No
.	white-						Lower montane coniferous		
Piperia	flowered rein						forest, North Coast coniferous	sometimes	
candida	orchid	Orchidaceae	perennial herb	1B.2	G3	S3	forest	serpentinite	
							Broadleafed upland forest,		No –
							Lower montane coniferous		study
Dityonus	California		perennial herb				forest, North Coast coniferous		boundary
aliforniaua	ninofoot	Emicococo	(ashlorophylloug)	1.0	$C_{4}C_{7}$	84	forest, Upper montane		is too dry
camornicus	pineloot	Encaceae	(actionophylious)	4.2	6465	54	Confierous forest	mesic	TT 1:1 1
							Broadleafed upland forest,	Often in	Unlikely
Sidalcea	maple-leaved						North Coast coniferous forest	disturbed	
malachroides	checkerbloom	Malvaceae	perennial herb	4.2	G3	S 3	Riparian woodland	areas	
Sidalcea		1/141/40040	perennarner		00	~0		urcus	Yes
mabriflore con	Cicleirou		noronnial				Coastal bluff scrub, Coastal		100
		1.1			0- T -	0	prairie, North Coast coniferous	often	
patula	checkerbloom	Malvaceae	rnizomatous herb	1B.2	G512	<u>S2</u>	forest	roadcuts	
Tracvina	beaked						Chaparral, Cismontane		Yes
rostrata	tragging	Actoração	appual horb	1R 0	Ca	So	woodland, valley and footnill		
10511414	uacyma	Asterateat		1D.2	02	52	grassialiu	On troo	Nolargo
								branches	conifers
Usnea	Methuselah's		fruticose lichen				Broadleafed upland forest	usually on	in study
longissima	beard lichen	Parmeliaceae	(epiphytic)	4.2	G4	S4	North Coast coniferous forest	old growth	area

Biological Reconnaissance Assessment and Habitat Restoration

								hardwoods	
								and conifers	
Viburnum ellipticum	oval-leaved viburnum	Adoxaceae	perennial deciduous shrub	2B.3	G4G5	S3?	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Lower montane coniferous forest		No