## HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

PART A: Part A may be completed by the applicant
Applicant Name: Canigov, Mc APN: 108.012.009
Planning & Building Department Case/File No.: #식식5식
Road Name: Wilder Hidge (complete a separate form for each road)
From Road (Cross street): Wildle Ridge
To Road (Cross street):
Length of road segment: 2. Miles Date Inspected: 10/23/2
Road is maintained by: County Other Drivate
Check one of the following: (State, Forest Service, National Park, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal,
Box 1 The entire road segment is developed to Category 4 road standards (20 feet wide) or better. I checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the applicant of the proposed use without further review by the proposed use without further review by the proposed use with the proposed use without further review by the proposed use without further review by the proposed use with the proposed use with the proposed use without further review by the proposed use with th
Box 2 The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If check then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.
An equivalent road category 4 standard is defined as a roadway that is generally 20 feet in width, but has pinch points which narrow the road. Pinch points include, but are not limited one-lane bridges, trees, large rock outcroppings, culverts, etc. Pinch points must provide visibility where a driver can see oncoming vehicles through the pinch point which allows the oncoming vehicle to stop and wait in a 20 foot wide section of the road for the other vehicle to pass.
Box 3 The entire road segment is not developed to the equivalent of road category 4 or better. The road or may or may not be able to accommodate the proposed use and further evaluation is necessary. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California.
The statements in PART A are true and correct and have been made by me after personally inspecting and measuring the road.
Signature Date
TIZISTAU STRAUSS Name Printed.
Important: Read the instructions before using this form: If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.7205

u:\pwrk\\_handdevprojects\veferrals\forms\road evaluation report item (02-24-2017) doex

Road Name:	Date Inspected:		APN:
From Road:		)	Planning & Building Department Case/File No
The Discussification	(Post Mile		
<ol> <li>What is the Average Daily Transport of other known came (Contact the Planning &amp; Building ADT;</li> </ol>	affic (ADT) of the road (including oth nabis projects included in ADT calcul Department for information on other nearb Date(s) measured:	ations: y projects.)	Named to the Act of th
If YES, then the road less that If YES, then the road is consisted American Association of State Very Low-Volume Local Road If NO, then the road shall be a AASHTO A Policy on Geome section 3 below.	dered very low volume and shall comply with Highway and Transportation Officials (Anis (ADT \(\leq 400\)). Complete sections 2 and 3 to be eviewed per the applicable policies for the article Design of Highways and Streets, communications.	th the design ASHTO) <i>Guld</i> elow. design of loca only known a	standards outlined in the delines for Geometric Design of all roads and streets presented in the "Green Book". Complete
A. Pattern of curve related c. Check one: No.  B. Physical evidence of curve Check one: No.  C. Substantial edge rutting of Check one: No.  D. History of complaints from Check one: No.  E. Measured or known speed Check one: No.  F. Need for turn-outs.  Check one: No.  The roadway can accome cannabis projects identified about the control of the contr	Yes, see attached sheet for Post Me problems such as skid marks, scarred Yes, see attached sheet for PM locar encroachment.  Yes, see attached sheet for PM locar encroachment.  Yes, see attached sheet for PM locar encroachment or law enforcement.  Yes ( check if written documentation is a substantially higher than the design of Yes.  Yes, see attached sheet for PM locar per AASHTO. Check one: modate the cumulative increased traffer.	ile (PM) locat trees, or sections.  attached) speed of the attors.	ADT ≤400) for guidance.) cations. carred utility poles road (20+ MPH higher) s project and all known
The roadway can accom cannabis projects identified above the state of the cannabis projects identified above the state of the cannabis projects identification and state of the cannabis projects identified above projects of the cannabis projects identified above project projects identified above projects identified a	modate the cumulative increased traffive, if the recommendations on the attains also required and is attached.)  commodate increased traffic from the position of the road being evaluated in PART at true and correct and have been made	oched report	are done. ( check if a
nature of Civil Engineer	entergrandyll tillighrannik generaligig kritanik. Hil nå komma. A etimomini - politik e et kr		18 A 18 A

## Hilton, Keenan

From:

Shannon Gibson <shannon@goheadwaters.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, March 26, 2019 2:41 PM

To:

Hilton, Keenan

Cc:

Tristan Strauss; Eric Edwards


Subject:


Re: Conditions of approval for APPS 11598

Hi Keenan,

I drew our road in purple. Let me know if you need anything else.











mage-1



maze-2








Image - 54









Image-ip





























Image-10




Image-15



