EXEMPTION FROM PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT FOR ACQUISITIONS, DISPOSITIONS AND ABANDONMENTS PURSUANT TO G.C. §65402(a)

DESCRIPTION: Proposed summary vacation of Fern Spring Road in Benbow. The road easement was granted to the County as part of Benbow Subdivision #2, recorded as Tract 59 in Book 15 of Maps, pages 21-23. The road and cul-de-sac were constructed slightly east of the mapped location. The portion of the road to be vacated ends on property entirely within the ownership of the applicant. This vacation does not land lock any other parcels.

DATE OF REFERRAL: May 17, 2018

Yes

No

Resolution #72-69 provides for the Planning Director to certify general plan conformance for acquisition, disposition or abandonment for street widening or alignment projects of a "minor" nature. Projects subject to the provisions of Resolution #72-69 would not need to be submitted to the Planning Commission for report pursuant to Government Code Section 65402(a).

Factors to be considered when determining if the abandonment qualifies for an exemption:

X		Was the right of way or property acquired for a use other than street widening or alignment? As noted above, the road easement was created for access and turnaround purposes; that actual location of the cul-de-sac is slightly east of the mapped location and the vacation will conform with the "as built" condition encrochments.		
	X	the street or alley open on the ground (i.e., constructed)?		
	X	Is the street or alley identified in the circulation elemen	eet or alley identified in the circulation element of the General Plan?	
	X	s the street or alley used, or intended to be used, or adaptable for use as a part of the trails system?		
	X	If the street or alley provides the principal access to a parcel, would the parcel be without legal access once the abandonment is complete (e.g., via easement or private right of way)?		
exemp	tion. Pro	rs to the above questions are "no", then the abando pjects which include "yes" responses will be evalua be referred to the Planning Commission.	onment may be handled as an ted by the Director on a case by case	
RECO	MMEND	ATION		
		he above vacation request and find that it ⊠ meets □ ant to Resolution No. 72-69.		
	Ale	y Weiner	5-22-2018	
By:		P. Werner ising Planner	Date	
DETERMINATION				
Vacation qualifies for the exemption pursuant to Resolution No. 72-69 Vacation does not qualify for exemption and is subject to review and report pursuant to C.G.C. Section 65,02(a); the vacation request will be scheduled for Planning Commission review				
Ву:	John H		Date	
	Director	r of Planning and Building		