Attachment 3

Appeal Filed by the City of Fortuna

City of Fortuna

P.O. Box 545 • Fortuna, CA 95540

www.friendlyfortuna.com

October 4, 2018

John Ford, Director Humboldt County Planning & Building Department 3015 H Street Eureka, CA 95501



RE: Appeal of Humboldt Boutique Gardens (Case No. CUP 16-427; SP 18-009)

Dear John:

Please accept this appeal of the Planning Commission's September 20th approval of Humboldt Boutique Gardens use permit and special permit, case numbers referenced above. This letter accompanies the appeal application, in particular it provides response to the requirement that, "...the appellant shall state specifically why the decision of the Hearing Officer is not in accord with the standards and regulations of the zoning ordinances...". (Humboldt County Code Sec. 13.2).

The project will directly impact nearby City residents and create blight for the City at large. Impacts resulting from the cannabis development will include odor, noise, traffic, crime, and water quality. This is in conflict with the County's established zoning for the site. The County's Ordinance 1689 established the zoning of MLQB-5 (2-2-1/2), with a stated purpose to:

"Protect the surrounding residential areas...from inappropriate development of the subject property,"

Further, Ordinance 1689 limits the types of uses permitted on the parcel, specifically omitting any principally permitted uses and allowing only the following uses with a use permit:

- 1. Stores, agencies and services such as carpentry and cabinet-making shops, clothing manufacture, contractors' yards, dry cleaning and laundry plants, handicraft manufacture, lumber yards, metal-working shops, wholesale outlet stores, painters' and decorators' yards, plumbing shops, printing and lithographing.
- 2. Administrative, business and professional offices.
- 3. Manufacture of electrical and electronic equipment, of household effects such as lamps, rugs and fabric and research and development laboratories.
- 4. Manufacture of furniture.

City Hall (707) 725-7600 Fax (707) 725-7610 621 11th Street Police Department (707) 725-7550 Fax (707) 725-7574 621 11th Street Parks and Recreation (707) 725-7620 Fax (707) 725-7576 5 Park Street Public Works (707) 725-7650 Fax (707) 725-7651 180 Dinsmore Drive The Planning Commission approved this under the Q zone that limits the types of allowable uses. Cannabis is not listed, nor is any agricultural activity. For uses not listed, the Commission relied on County Code Section 3.1.2.2 that allows approval of use permits for:

"...any use not specifically enumerated in these regulations, if it is similar to and compatible with the same uses permitted in the zone in which the subject property is situated".

Finally, County Code Section 312-17.1 requires findings for Conditional Use and Special Permits, including that:

"The proposed development and conditions under which it may be operated or maintained will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare; or materially injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity."

Cannabis activity is not similar to nor compatible with the site zoning, since it is an agricultural activity, and further, the proposed use will result in an increase in odor, noise, traffic, crime, and water quality impacts that will negatively affect the health, safety, and welfare of the adjoining residential neighborhood. The Q zone overlay specifically declares the need to protect neighborhoods from incompatible uses. The fact that the proposed use will result in neighborhood impacts to odor, noise, traffic, crime, and water quality demonstrates incompatibility and an inappropriate approval of the project.

The proposed activity is not suitable adjacent to residential neighborhoods. The project is within 50 feet of a residential neighborhood, visible from the Campton Heights neighborhood directly across Drake Hill Road. The County staff report for the September 20th Planning Commission meeting included signatures from 55 affected neighbors and numerous letters of protest describing the physical impacts that will be imposed on the residents. While the Initial Study prepared for the project analyzed impacts relating to odor, noise, and traffic, these cannot be fully mitigated with respect to the residents that live nearby. The mitigation and enforcement measures are unreliable; for example, project condition of approval provides that odor control be enforced by evidence of an outdoor discernable odor. These arbitrary odor enforcement measures have been found to be ineffective at other cannabis operations.

Another health and safety concern that demonstrates that this project is "inappropriate development" is the inadequate on-site septic system. The County Health Department determined that the proposed system cannot handle the volume of discharge created by the project itself, so a condition of approval was added at the public hearing to collect the irrigation runoff into a holding tank and limit septic system use to up to15 employees. Any discharge of the holding tank effluent would need to be approved by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, but the project has not been reviewed by that agency for standards or feasibility. The City's concerns include illicit use of the septic system to dispose of the excess irrigation water into the on-site system, causing contamination of the local groundwater and nearby wells. There are no adequate assurances that the project will not result in discharge of excess runoff from cultivation into the on-site septic system. Enforcement and monitoring measures are unreliable and ineffective, especially in this case where illicit discharge within an enclosed building is difficult to prove.

In addition, the City is concerned about how the project will negatively affect the City's planned annexation activities within the City's Sphere of Influence. The City has annexed three of the four annexation areas identified in the Fortuna General Plan 2030, and is currently conducting planning studies needed to implement the annexation of the airport area including circulation planning studies currently underway. Permitting the cannabis project within the Sphere would likely impair or prevent the annexation as it could increase the number of protests received during the protest proceedings, possibly to a level that could result in termination of the annexation. At a minimum, the project would allow uses that are inconsistent with the City's prohibition ordinance and result in prohibited uses upon City annexation.

The City and the neighbors have demonstrated that the project is not in accord with the standards and regulations of the zoning ordinances because it is inappropriate for the neighborhood due to health and safety impacts described and that the project approval Findings as listed cannot be made; therefore, we request that the Board of Supervisors overturn the Planning Commission's approval.

Please forward this appeal to the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors as soon as possible for their consideration.

Sincerely,

Merritt Perry, Interim City Manager

Copy:

Fortuna City Council Liz Shorey, Fortuna Deputy Director of Community Development



APPLICATION FORM Humboldt County Planning and Building Department Current Planning Division 3015 H Street Eureka, CA 95501-4484 Phone (707) 445-7541 Fax (707) 268-3792

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Applicant/Agent complete Sections I, II and III below.
- RECEIVED Humboldt County Building Division 2. It is recommended that the Applicant/Agent schedule an Application Assistance meeting with the Assigned Planner. Meeting with the Assigned Planner will answer questions regarding application submittal requirements and help avoid processing delays. A small fee is required for this meeting.
- 3. Applicant/Agent needs to submit all items marked on the reverse side of this form.

SECTION I						
APPLICANT (Project will be processed under Business name, if applicable.)	AGENT (Communications from Department will be directed to agent)					
Business Name: <u>City of Fortuna</u>	Business Name: <u>City of Fortuna</u>					
Contact Person: Merritt Perry	Contact Person: Liz Shorey					
Mailing Address: <u>621</u> 11th Street	Mailing Address: <u>same</u>					
City, St, Zip: <u>Fortuna</u> , CA 95540	City, St, Zip:					
Telephone: (707)725-7600 Fax: 725-7610	Telephone: (707) 725-1408 Fax:					
Email: <u>mperry@ci.fortuna.ca.us</u>						
OWNER(S) OF RECORD (If different from applicant)						
Owner's Name:N.A	Owner's Name: <u>N.A.</u>					
Mailing Address:	Mailing Address:					
City, St, Zip:	City, St, Zip:					
Telephone: Fax:	Telephone: Fax:					
LOCATION OF PROJECT						
Site Address:	Assessor's Percel No(a) :					
Site Address: Community Area:	Assessor's Parcel No(s).: Parcel Size (acres or sq. ft.):					
Is the proposed building or structure designed to be used for nuclear weapons or the components of nuclear weapons?						
SEC	TION II					
permit (Resolution 18-72; CUP 16-	ens conditional use permit & special 427; SP 18-72). See attached City					
SECTION III						
	will be delayed, and may result in denial or revocation ofOctober 4, 2018Date e applicant/agent to file this application for a development					
Owner of Record Signature	Date					

This side completed by Planning and Building Staff

Checklist Completed by:____

.

____ Date: __

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION

Item	Received	<u>Iten</u>	1	Received
 Filing Fee of \$) [] and [] aire [] [] uire [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Agricultural Feasibility Study Architectural Elevations Design Review Committee Approval Environmental Assessment Exception Request Justification Joint Timber Management Plan Lot Size Modification Request Justificati Military Training Route (see County GIS Parking Plan Plan of Operation Preliminary Hydraulic and Drainage Plai R1 / R2 Report (Geologic/Soils Report with original signatures) Reclamation Plan, including engineered estimate for completing reclamation Second Dwelling Unit Fact Sheet Variance Request Justification Vested Right Documentation/Evidence Other Other	on a copies cost a cost a cost

FOR INTERNAL USE

 Ag. Preserve Contract Certificate of Compliance Coastal Development Permit Administrative Planning Commission Design Review Inland Coastal Determination of Legal Status Determination of Substantial Conformance Extension of Fire Safe Exception Request 	 General Plan Amendment General Plan Petition Information Request Modification to	 Reclamation Plan Surface Mining Permit Surface Mining Vested Right Determination Timber Harvest Plan Information Request Use Permit H.C.C. § Variance H.C.C. § Zone Reclassification Other
---	--	---

Application Received By:		ite:	Re	ceipt Number:
General Plan Designation:				
Plan Document:				
Land Use Density:				
Zone Designation:				
Coastal Jurisdiction Appeal Status:	🛛 Appe		☐ Not Appealable	
Preliminary CEQA Status:				
Environmental Review Required				
Categorically Exempt From Environment	ntal Review:	Class		Section
Statutory Exemption:		Class		Section
□ Not a Project				
D Other				