Geotechnical Report

Martin Slough Enhancement Project

Prepared for:

Redwood Community Action Agency



812 W. Wabash Ave. Eureka, CA 95501-2138 707-441-8855

May 2013 013035 Reference: 013035

Geotechnical Report Martin Slough Enhancement Project

Prepared for:

Redwood Community Action Agency



Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 W. Wabash Ave. Eureka, CA 95501-2138

QA/QC: GDS

May 2013

\\Eureka\Projects\2013\013035-MrtnSlghEnhnc\PUBS\rpts\20130510-GeotchRpt.doc

Table of Contents

Page

List of	Illustra	tions	ii
Abbrev	viations	and Acronyms	iii
1.0	Introdu 1.1 1.2 1.3	uction General Project Location Previous Work	.1 .1 .1
2.0	Project 2.1 2.2	Description Project Understanding Project Elements	.2 .2 .2
3.0	Project	Geologic Setting	.3
4.0	Field In	nvestigation and Laboratory Testing	.3
5.0	Site Cc 5.1 5.2 5.3	nditions Artificial Fill Native Soils Groundwater Conditions	.4 .4 .4 .5
6.0	Conclu	isions and Discussion	.6
7.0	Recom 7.1 7.2 7.3	mendations Site Preparation and Grading 7.1.1 General Fill Areas 7.1.2 Temporary Cut Slopes 7.1.3 Swain Slough Berm Seismic Design Foundations 7.3.1 General Design for Shallow Foundations 7.3.2 Golf Cart Bridges 7.3.3 Agricultural Bridges 7.3.4 Tide Gate Structure	.6 .6 .7 .7 .8 .8 .8 .9 .9
	7.4 7.5	Temporary Roads for Construction Access	11 12 12 13
8.0	Constr	uction Considerations	13
9.0	Plan ar	nd Specification Review	14
10.0	Closur	e and Limitations	14
11.0	Referen	nces	15

Appendices

- A. Subsurface Exploration Logs
- B. ASTM Laboratory Test Results
- C. USDA Laboratory Test Results



List of Illustrations

Figures

Follows Page

1.	Project Location Map1
2.	Site Plan Map with Project Elements and Subsurface Explorations
3.	Schematic Drawing of Foundation System for Bridges with Spans Greater
	Than 30 feetOn Page 10
4.	Schematic Drawing of a Temporary Haul Road Using a Mat SystemOn Page 12
5.	Schematic Drawing of a Temporary Haul Road Using a Geocell System On Page 13

Tables

Page

1.	Groundwater Elevation Data5
2.	Seismic Design Criteria



Abbreviations and Acronyms

pcf	pounds per cubic foot
psf	pounds per square foot
	Amonican Casioty for Tasting and Matarials International
ASIM	
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CPT	cone penetrometer test
H:V	horizontal to vertical (ratio)
HB-#	hand boring designation
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
MLA	Michael Love & Associates
NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum, 19888
NR	no reference
OSHA	United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
RCAA	Redwood Community Action Agency
SCP	standard cone penetrometer
SHN	SHN Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc.
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System, where:
	CH high plasticity clays
	CL clay with lower plasticity
	MH high plasticity silts
	ML low plasticity silts
	SM silty sand
	SC clayey sand
USGS	United States Geological Survey

1.0 Introduction

1.1 General

This report provides the results of field and laboratory investigations conducted by SHN Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. (SHN), and includes geotechnical recommendations for design development and construction of the Martin Slough Enhancement project. The Martin Slough Enhancement Project is a restoration project within the Martin Slough Valley in the southwestern portion of Eureka, California (Figure 1). The stated goals of the project are to improve fish habitat and access, to restore and enhance the former tidal salt/brackish marsh and freshwater wetlands in the lower Martin Slough floodplain, and to reduce the duration of flooding in the valley.

Our scope of work was developed from the request for proposals provided by Redwood Community Action Agency (RCAA) and included field and laboratory testing, analysis of results, development of recommendations, and the preparation of this report. A discussion of the project's geologic setting intended to be used in support of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance documentation has been provided under separate cover.

1.2 Project Location

The project is located within the Martin Slough Valley, a coastal drainage that borders the southern part of the City of Eureka (Figure 1). The area is surrounded by unincorporated uplands. Martin Slough flows to Swain Slough downstream of the project area; Swain Slough is a tributary of the Elk River, which subsequently flows to Humboldt Bay west of the project area in southwest Eureka. The project area is within Sections 3, 4, 9 and 10, Township 4N, Range 1W, on the Eureka 7.5-minute United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle.

1.3 Previous Work

SHN's experience going into this study includes previous geotechnical and construction observation projects within the Martin Slough Valley. Of these, one of the most relevant is the Martin Slough Interceptor project, a large sewer improvement project in which a sewer main was installed down the axis of the eastern portion of the valley. Many subsurface investigations were conducted for this project. The findings from our geotechnical studies are included in our 2003 *Geotechnical Study, Proposed Martin Slough Interceptor Sewer Project* (SHN, 2003) and our 2009 *Geotechnical Baseline Report, Phases I and II, Martin Slough Interceptor Project* (SHN, 2009). The excavations for the pipeline and the pump station (just south of the Fairview Drive Bridge) ranged from 8 to 25 feet in depth. SHN's construction observation experience during Phase I of the interceptor project was invaluable. The lessons learned about the limitations of the equipment, the condition of the excavated soils, and the difficulties with excavation are directly applicable to the Martin Slough Enhancement Project.

SHN has also been involved in the geotechnical investigation for the replacement of the Pine Hill Road Bridge over Swain Slough (in process) at the south end of the valley. Our investigation for that project included one boring and four cone penetration tests (CPT) to depths ranging from 60 to 105 feet. The boring for this project was placed very near the proposed new tide gate structure and extended to a total depth of 90 feet below grade.





hu - X / Euretia / Pressuis / 2013/ of 3034 - Mith 305 Enhou / CIS/ PRCJ - Mizh / Euretia



We have included selected exploration logs from previous investigations for reference in Appendix A. Locations of these explorations are noted on Figure 2.

2.0 Project Description

2.1 Project Understanding

Our understanding of the scope of the Martin Slough Enhancement Project is based on information provided in the request for proposals, a pre-bid site walk, our review of the 30% design plans prepared by GHD, Inc. (formerly Winzler & Kelly) and Michael Love & Associates (MLA), dated August 2012, the *Martin Slough Enhancement Feasibility Study* (Winzler & Kelly and MLA, 2006) and our consultation with the design team, RCAA, GHD, and MLA.

2.2 Project Elements

The Martin Slough Enhancement Project consists of enlarging and recontouring the drainage network within the axis of the valley, including the development of a series of ponds, and as proposed will include a substantial amount of earthwork. Between the channel widening and construction of new ponds, the project includes an estimated 123,000 cubic yards of excavation. The project also includes infrastructural improvements (such as, the replacement of the tide gate at the Swain Slough junction and the construction of new agricultural access bridges). The specific project elements that we address in this report are described below. The locations of these project elements are shown on Figure 2.

Channel Widening/Realignment. The Martin Slough mainstem (7,300 lineal feet) and portions of the east tributary (600 lineal feet), the north fork tributary (1,100 lineal feet) and 700 lineal feet of an unnamed tributary will be widened and deepened. The final configuration of the channel varies greatly.

Construction and Expansion of Tidal Ponds. There are five tidal ponds that will be constructed. Some of these are expansions of existing ponds, while others are totally new. The ponds have been designed with variable floor elevations and strategically placed wood structures.

Replacement of Tide Gate. The existing tide gates (48-inch culverts with flap gates) at the confluence of the Martin Slough and Swain Slough are to be removed and replaced with a single concrete tide gate structure. The new tide gate planned for use is a 24-foot by 30-foot concrete box structure with four wing walls extending from each corner. The base of the structure will be founded at a depth of approximately 10 feet below grade.

New Bridges. Many of the existing golf cart bridges will need to be replaced once the channel has been widened. The project also includes the construction of two "agricultural" bridges that will provide access for agricultural equipment and emergency vehicles.

Enhancement of the Existing Berm along Swain Slough. The berm along the east side of Swain Slough is to be raised to an elevation of 9.5 feet (approximately 1.5 to 2 feet above existing grade).

Miscellaneous Grading. The project includes filling abandoned channels and loosely compacted fill areas in various locations on the golf course. Generally, these graded fill areas are broad and are called out to be approximately 1-foot thick.

3.0 Project Geologic Setting

The project is located within Martin Slough, an estuarine stream that drains a coastal valley that opens into the eastern shore of Humboldt Bay at the southern margin of the City of Eureka. The Humboldt Bay region occupies a complex geologic environment characterized by very high rates of active tectonic deformation and seismicity. The geomorphic landscape of the Humboldt Bay region is largely a manifestation of the active tectonic processes and the setting in this dynamic coastal environment.

Martin Slough and other coastal valleys around Humboldt Bay represent sediment-filled estuaries that reflect the late Quaternary history of sea level changes and tectonic deformation (uplift and subsidence). Sea level apparently reached its current high level in the mid-Holocene, about 6,000 years ago. As such, at least the uppermost part of the sediment filling the Martin Slough Valley would be anticipated to be mid-Holocene in age, or younger.

A comprehensive discussion of the geologic setting, including a description of geologic hazards associated with the project location, is provided under separate cover.

4.0 Field Investigation and Laboratory Testing

SHN conducted geotechnical investigations to evaluate representative subsurface soil conditions, and to provide foundation design criteria and site development recommendations for the project elements described above. Our field investigation was limited to reconnaissance of the project site and the drilling and sampling of 15 widely spaced exploratory borings.

The borings were advanced to depths ranging from of 5 to 15 feet below the ground surface. The borings were logged in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). (See Figure 2 for boring locations, and Appendix A for subsurface exploration logs.) The borings were advanced using hand augers. Samples were collected using a 2.5-inch diameter thin-walled tube, driven using a slide hammer sampler.

Penetration resistance tests were conducted in the field using a static cone penetrometer (SCP). Tests using the SCP were focused on the upper 4 feet of the soil profile and results are shown on the logs.

Selected undisturbed and disturbed samples were collected, and laboratory tests were conducted. Laboratory testing for index properties included in-place moisture content, dry density, unconfined compressive strength (in lab, and using hand-held penetrometer), percent fines, and Atterberg Limits (plasticity). Triaxial tests were also conducted, and the results are presented on plates in Appendix B. Ad hoc testing was done to evaluate the shrinkage potential of selected soil samples.

For characterization of soils for agricultural purposes, selected samples were submitted to A & L Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. in Modesto, California. The results of these tests are provided in Appendix C.

See the attached subsurface exploration logs (Appendix A) for detailed soil descriptions, the penetration resistance test results, and laboratory index test results.



5.0 Site Conditions

5.1 Artificial Fill

Artificial fill was not encountered within our borings. Fill is expected to be encountered within the berm alignment, at the tide gate, and at various locations within the golf course area. Fill materials are generally anticipated to be thin and are not expected to be a significant factor in the proposed project.

5.2 Native Soils

Sediment filling Martin Slough is generally fine-grained (silt and clay). The material is primarily derived from alluvial sources (overbank/floodplain deposits) in the upper part of the canyon, and estuarine sources (tidal marine deposits) in the lower reaches of the valley nearest the bay. Evidence of marine influence (deposits with marine shells for example) decreases as you move up the valley. We did not encounter shell fragments within our borings upstream of the Fairway Drive bridge. In this report, we refer to the alluvium and estuarine deposits together as "valley fill sediments." Valley fill sediments are young, unconsolidated materials that contain wood fragments, and other organic materials. Sandy deposits are present, and generally consist of fine sands interbedded with silt. Naturally occurring coarse materials were not encountered during subsurface investigations and are not expected to be encountered during construction operations.

The topsoil within the project area is generally thin with a surficial grass/root mat of 4 to 6 inches and a root zone that extends to 12 to 18 inches below grade. The agricultural characteristics of the upper 2 feet were characterized by A&L Laboratories. The results of the agricultural testing are provided as Appendix C.

Using the USCS system, textures in the valley fill sediments below the topsoil included silt (ML), clay (CL), sandy silt (ML), silty sand (SM), with less common lenses of fat clay (CH), elastic silt (MH) and clayey sand (SC).

From a geotechnical standpoint, the fine-grained valley fill sediments encountered in subsurface excavations are typically soft to very soft, only locally demonstrating higher strength to a level considered to be medium stiff. In previous investigations, blow counts (N-values) in these materials rarely exceeded 10 blows/foot, and were commonly less than 5. Where granular sediments were encountered, consistency ranged from very loose to medium dense. Blow counts in the less frequent granular materials were generally in the 4 to 12 blows/foot range. The upper 2 feet of the soil profile can be the most competent, simply because it has the benefit of the root structures, and the materials are slightly more consolidated from the seasonal wetting and drying cycle. Especially during the dry season, the upper 1 to 2 feet forms a "crust" of more competent soils. Once this crust is removed or disrupted (excavation, vehicle traffic, etc.) the ground strength is significantly reduced. This will be an important consideration in planning excavations and developing haul roads.

In general, fine-grained valley fill sediments within the upper 10 feet are associated with low dry density values (85 pounds per cubic foot [pcf] or less) and high relative moisture (25 to 45%). Shear strength of the soils, based on triaxial shear testing ranges from 200 to 300 pounds per square foot (psf).



5.3 Groundwater Conditions

Subsurface investigations conducted in the Martin Slough Valley bottom and other low-lying areas encountered a uniformly high groundwater table. Many of the subsurface investigations in low-lying areas were conducted, by necessity, near the end of the dry season, and generally encountered groundwater within 6 feet of the ground surface. Groundwater levels adjacent to the mainstem in the lower part of the Martin Slough Valley are influenced by tidal fluctuations, such that the water table rises during high tides. During the rainy season, water frequently ponds at the ground surface throughout the Martin Slough Valley.

Intense and long duration precipitation, modification of topography, and cultural activities, such as irrigation, water well usage, onsite waste disposal systems, and water diversions, can contribute to fluctuations in groundwater levels. Although the depth to groundwater can vary throughout the year and from year to year, a shallow groundwater condition persists throughout the year.

Groundwater elevations encountered within our borings during our field investigation for this project (March 21 and 22, 2013) are provided in the Table 1, below. At four of the boring locations, a slotted polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe was installed and left for 5 days to allow groundwater to stabilize. Measurements reported in Table 1 with a piezometer designation were taken on March 26, 2013. All other values within the "Depth of Stabilized Groundwater" column were measured the same day, after the borehole had remained open for a few hours.

	Table 1	
	Groundwater Elevatio	n Data
Location	Depth of Stabilized	
Location	Initially Encountered	Groundwater
HB-1	5.0 feet	6.75 feet
HB-2	3.0 feet	2.36 feet (piezometer)
HB-3	1.75 feet	1.76 feet (piezometer)
HB-4	6.0 feet	-
HB-5	5.5 feet	2.24 feet (piezometer)
HB-6	4.5 feet	-
HB-7	1.25 feet	-
HB-8	-	1.71 feet (piezometer)
HB-9	4.0 feet	-
HB-10	3.5 feet	6.5 feet
HB-11	2.75 feet	1.5 feet
HB-12	3.0 feet	2.5 feet
HB-13	3.0 feet	0.75 feet
HB-14	2.0 feet	1.0 feet
HB-15	not encountered	>7 feet

The groundwater elevation data provided above is specific to the dates on which the measurements were taken. Because of the slow movement of water through the native soils, only the stabilized measurements taken from piezometers should be considered as actual groundwater elevations.

Groundwater should be expected to be encountered within most of the proposed excavations for this project. It should be noted, however, that although groundwater levels are generally shallow, the permeability of the fine-grained soils are typically low. Because of this, groundwater generally

seeps into excavations at a relatively low rate. In past excavations associated with the interceptor project, for instance, rapid infiltration of groundwater was generally only observed when lenses of sandy or woody material were encountered.

6.0 Conclusions and Discussion

Based on the results of our field and laboratory investigations, it is our opinion that the project site can be developed as proposed, provided that our recommendations are followed, and that noted conditions and risks are acknowledged.

Soils will be easy to excavate and can be done so with most any equipment. Excavated soils will have over-optimum moisture content and will be difficult to dry out. Groundwater should be anticipated within all but the very shallowest excavations.

The primary geotechnical site consideration is the pervasive, soft, saturated soil conditions. Due to the weak, compressible soils, and the volume of materials planned for excavation and off-hauling, the construction operations will present the greatest geotechnical challenge to the project. Access roads will need to be robust to remain functional and minimize impacts to the natural grounds. We strongly encourage careful planning of the haul roads layout.

Permanent structures (such as, the tide gate and the bridges) that are supported on shallow soils are anticipated to be susceptible to settlement. The risks associated with settlement and the cost/ benefit of mitigation measures should be considered in the design of these structures. We recommend that the tide gate structure implement some form of deeper support beyond what is shown on the 30% design plans. Implementing deep support for the bridges, however, is likely not necessary to meet project objectives and would not be cost effective. We would recommend designing the bridges and their abutments to accommodate some settlement. We provide foundation design criteria recommendations for these structures below.

7.0 Recommendations

7.1 Site Preparation and Grading

A significant part of the enhancement project is associated with grading.

7.1.1 General Fill Areas

The project plans show multiple areas where fill materials will be loosely placed in a thin layer (approximately 1 foot) over broad areas. Abandoned channel segments will be filled in. In these areas, the fill placement methods are not considered critical. If necessary, performance criteria could be developed for fills.

• If possible, we recommend targeting the driest soils for re-use as fill. Stockpiling the upper 1 to 1.5 feet of soil for reuse in these general fill areas would not only ensure that the driest soils are being used, but the existing organics may help with establishing new vegetation.



7.1.2 Temporary Cut Slopes

Temporary cut slopes are anticipated for excavations associated with the installation of the tide gate, construction entrances, cofferdams, and (possibly) other project elements. The stability of a cut slope depends upon the soil type, the groundwater conditions (or soil moisture conditions), and the angle of the cut. Most of the soils encountered in excavations will be silts and clays, which tend to be moderately cohesive, especially under unsaturated conditions, but with seeping groundwater, the stable angle of a cut decreases dramatically.

Relatively small temporary cut slopes (less than 4 feet) where the soil profile has had time to dewater, or where only a minor amount of water is present may hold a 1:1 horizontal to vertical (1H:1V) orientation, for a few days.

- Construction equipment should be excluded from within 5 feet of the edge of temporary cut slopes that are 1H:1V.
- As a general guide we recommend that the angle of temporary cut slopes higher than 4 feet, or where groundwater seepage is present, be limited to a 1.5H:1V cut. However, even some 1.5H:1V cuts in very soft soils may fail within a few hours of excavation. Ultimately, field conditions will dictate the appropriate angle.

7.1.3 Swain Slough Berm

The project includes reconstructing the existing berm along Swain Slough. It is our understanding that the berm will be raised slightly and widened toward the east side. The design elevation shown on the 30% plans is at 9.5 feet, though we understand the final design may be up to 12 feet using the North American Vertical Datum, 1988 (NAVD88). The planned crest width is approximately 6 feet. Currently, the upper surface of the berm is irregular, ranging in elevation from 7 to 8.5 feet.

The berm is to be constructed using soils excavated from other areas of the project. It should be expected that excavated soils will be fine-grained (silt and clay) and have an over-optimum moisture condition. Excavated soils will be slow to dry out and may need to be staged to allow moisture conditioning. Our recommendations provided below assume that the berm is not intended to be a certified flood control structure and that the objectives of the reconstruction are to enhance the ability of the berm to serve as a temporary water barrier and maintaining stable side slopes. Our understanding is that the upper surface of the berm will not be required to serve as a road surface.

- If possible, we recommend targeting the driest soils for re-use in the berm construction. Soils immediately below the organics, but above the groundwater table will most likely be in the best condition for re-use. Soils below the water table will be saturated and difficult to place and compact.
- The berm will be accessed from a single location, so careful consideration of construction methods should be made to minimize the number of trips in and out. Using lightweight equipment should also be considered. Installing a temporary access road may be necessary. Ideally, the footprint of the berm can serve as the access route for importing materials; however, if the soils become too soft for travel, then a temporary road adjacent to the berm may be necessary.
- To prepare the berm for fill placement, the footprint of the new berm should be stripped of the existing organic layer. Just the vegetation and the root system should be removed. If

debris or other deleterious material is encountered, it should also be removed. Care should be taken at this stage to minimize over-excavation. The deeper the excavation extends, the less suitable the operating surface will become. Organic-rich materials should be stockpiled nearby for reuse as the final cover layer.

- Once the organics have been removed from the footprint of the berm, the subgrade surface should be leveled or benched if necessary. If conditions allow, the surface should be rolled with a small sheep's-foot roller or equivalent. The berm should be constructed in lifts no greater than 12 inches. Compaction effort should be made on each lift using track-equipment or a small sheep's-foot roller as soil conditions allow. Side slopes on the Martin Slough side should be constructed at a gradient of 2H:1V. Side slopes on the Swain Slough side should be constructed at a gradient of 3H:1V.
- For poor soil conditions (such as, those at this site), we recommend developing a performance-based criteria for compaction that is feasible, yet meets the objectives of the project. Compaction criteria (such as, a percent of maximum dry density) is not considered appropriate for the type of soils that will be used or necessary for the project objectives.
- Once design grades have been achieved, the stockpiled organic rich materials should be spread over the bare soils and tamped into place so that vegetation can be reestablished. Alternatively, covering the berm with an erosion control blanket and seeding could be used to reestablish vegetation.

7.2 Seismic Design

We recommend that proposed bridges and the tide gate structure be designed and built to withstand strong seismic shaking. As in all of Humboldt County, the site is subject to strong ground motion from seismic sources.

The 2010 California Building Code requires the following information for seismic design. Based on our knowledge of subsurface and geologic conditions, we estimate a Site Class E (soft soil profile) for the project. Based on the Site Class and the latitude and longitude, we calculated the design spectral response acceleration parameters S₅, S₁, F_a, F_v, S_{M5}, S_{M1}, S_{D5} and S_{D1} using the USGS seismic calculator program, "Seismic Hazard Curves, Response Parameters, Design Parameters: Seismic Hazard Curves, and Uniform Hazard Response Spectra", v. 5.1.0, dated February 10, 2011. Calculated values are presented in the following Table 2, Seismic Design Criteria.

Tabl	e 2
Seismic Desi	gn Criteria
Latitude	40.752144
Longitude	-124.178327
Site Class	Е
Ss	2.57
S ₁	1.00
Fa	0.9
F_v	2.40
S _{MS}	2.31
S _{M1}	2.40
S _{DS}	1.54
S _{D1}	1.60
Occupancy	II
Category	
Seismic Design	E
Category	

7.3 Foundations

7.3.1 General Design for Shallow Foundations

The primary consideration for the design and construction of shallow foundations is the low bearing capacity of the soils which is constrained by the high settlement potential. Some settlement

of the structures placed on shallow foundations should be anticipated (2 to 6 inches) over time. Traditional deep foundations for non-critical structures are not considered cost effective because of the significant depths to good "bearing soils."

- Shallow foundations are proposed for supporting the new bridges. Assuming some settlement (2 to 6 inches) is acceptable, the abutments may be constructed on a shallow support system. Minimizing the weight of the foundation and incorporating allowances for settlement are recommended. The use of gravel ramps on the approaches should make adjustments to the transitions easy. If tilting is to be avoided, then adding provisions that allow for re-leveling at a later date would be advised.
- For general design criteria, we recommend that shallow foundations not exceed an allowable bearing capacity of 1,000 psf for dead plus live loads. A horizontal friction coefficient of 0.30 may be used for the footing/soil contact. Frictional resistance may be calculated in conjunction with an allowable lateral passive pressure represented by an equivalent fluid weighing 150 pcf for short-term loadings, such as lateral foundation resistance in response to wind or earthquake loadings. Lateral passive pressure can be calculated where footings bear laterally against undisturbed native subsoils or structural fill.
- Foundation embedment should remain as shallow as feasible. As discussed in Section 5.0, the upper 1 to 2 feet of soils are generally the strongest, so deeper embedment does not equate to stronger soils, as is usually the case. It is only necessary to remove the organics. Also, the deeper the excavation, the more difficult the working conditions will be for establishing a stable subgrade, setting forms for concrete, etc.
- Where new channel banks are constructed on 1.5H:1V slopes adjacent to bridge abutments, the base of the abutment closest to the channel should be constructed on or behind a sloping plane of 2H:1V starting at the edge of the channel bottom.

Below we provide a discussion of the general types of bridges proposed and our foundation design and construction recommendations for each.

7.3.2 Golf Cart Bridges

The existing golf cart bridges will be replaced, in some cases with longer spans, as a consequence of the channel being widened. The new golf cart bridges are anticipated to be similar in design to the existing. Two of the bridges, one on each side of the Fairview Drive bridge, are planned to accommodate heavier traffic, including emergency vehicles.

- Shallow, reinforced concrete abutments like those currently in use should be adequate for both of these bridge types that are less than 30 feet in length, provided they meet the design criteria specified in Section 7.3.1, above.
- For bridges with spans larger than 30 feet, we recommend using bridge abutments similar to those discussed below for the agricultural bridges.
- Ramp fills shall be no thicker than 2 feet considering the design criteria provided in Section 7.3.1.

7.3.3 Agricultural Bridges

There are two free-span steel bridges proposed within the agricultural areas south of the golf course: a 50-foot span and an 80-foot span (Figure 2). It is our understanding that the bridges

will only be used for ranch trucks, agricultural equipment, or other light duty use. The anticipated maximum loads on the abutments of the 80-foot-span bridge are assumed to be on the order of 62 kips.

• For bridge spans 30 feet and longer, we recommend the use of a two-part system, which includes a stabilization mat and the bridge footing itself. Figure 3 presents a schematic drawing of this concept.



Figure 3. Schematic Drawing of Foundation System for Bridges with Spans Greater Than 30 feet (actual dimensions will vary)

The purpose of the stabilization mat is to distribute the load of the bridge footing through a flexible, low density, laterally constrained structure that will maintain its integrity while undergoing significant differential settlement.

- We suggest the use of welded wire gabions for this, because it will result in minimal excavation, a relatively easy installation process, and low-cost compared with reinforced concrete. Other alternatives for a stabilization mat may include a laterally constrained multi-layered bed of crushed aggregate and geogrid or interlaced wood beams.
- The stabilization mats should be designed for equivalent basal footing loads of 750 psf or less.
- The bridge footing load should be centered on the stabilization mat structure and should not exceed a footing load of 2,500 psf.
- The thickness of the stabilization mat should be at a ratio of 1:4 with the basal width. For example, an 8-foot basal-width stabilization mat would be at least 2 feet thick. In this example, the overlying concrete abutment footing would need to have a minimum basal width of 2 feet.
- Under no condition should the stabilization mat be less than 6 feet wide or be embedded less than 1.5 feet below original ground surface.
- Where new channel banks are constructed on 1.5H:1V slopes adjacent to bridge abutments, the base of the stabilization mat closest to the channel should be constructed on or behind a sloping plane of 2H:1V starting at the edge of the channel bottom.

 $\label{eq:linear} \label{eq:linear} where $$ \ 1013035-MrtnSlghEnhnc\PUBS\rpts\20130510-GeotchRpt.doc $$ \ 101305-MrtnSlghEnhnc\PUBS\rpts\20130510-GeotchRpt.doc $$ \ 101305-MrtnSlghEnhnc\PUBS\r$

• All backfill overlying the bridge abutment footing systems should be low density and provisions should be made to prevent saturation. Ramp fills shall be no thicker than 2.5 feet considering the above design criteria.

7.3.4 Tide Gate Structure

The project includes a 24-foot by 30-foot concrete tide gate with wing walls extending out from each corner. The plans show the structure to have a 1-foot-thick reinforced slab foundation throughout the main part of the structure, with wing walls supported by 4-foot-wide spread footings. As discussed above, the soils at the foundation-bearing depth of this structure are soft, and there is, therefore, a moderate to high settlement potential.

- To minimize differential settlement, we recommend two alternatives for increasing support for the tide gate structure;
 - 1) sheet piles, and/or
 - 2) driven piles.

These options could be used alone or in combination.

Currently, the 30% plans specify sheet piles installed on both the upstream and downstream edges of the structure including along the wing walls.

- Although the purpose of the sheet piles is to provide a groundwater cutoff, if the sheet piles could get extended to a depth of 20 feet below slab grade, then they would also provide support for the structure and reduce the settlement potential.
- Alternatively, or in concert, driven piles could be used to support the slab and wing walls. Driven piles that extend to "solid ground" are not likely cost effective, so piles, if used, should derive their support from friction. Friction piles may need to be extended to 50+ feet below grade, depending upon the loads, and if they are used in combination with the sheet piles. Further evaluation should be conducted to develop specific recommendations.

7.4 Temporary Roads for Construction Access

The temporary roads are a critically important part of the successful completion of the project. As discussed in Section 5.0, the soil conditions in the Martin Slough Valley are soft and saturated at a very shallow depth.

• All heavy equipment and truck traffic should be conducted on temporary roads. Only in rare cases (light vehicles and/or few trips) will vehicles be able to navigate across ground that is not reinforced. Careful consideration of the temporary roads and the layout will be necessary to maintain a functioning access system and minimize the environmental impacts.

Based on the volume of material planned for removal, the highest demand on the temporary road system is likely going to be traffic associated with off hauling the spoils.

• Special attention should be made during laying out the temporary road network and access points in order to minimize disturbance to the project area, maximize the use of temporary materials, and strike the right balance between the number of trips for offhaul and the load of each haul.



Below, we provide recommendations for two types of temporary roads:

- 1) a mat system, and
- 2) a geocell system.

Each has its advantages and disadvantages regarding cost/benefit. The specific details of each option may be amended based on the intended use of the particular roadway. In general high volume roadways will require more robust roads than short-term or light duty roads.

7.4.1 Mat System

This option uses interlocking composite road mats placed on a bed of reinforced gravel. The road should be underlain by a medium-weight non-woven filter fabric to act as a separation layer. The bed of gravel should be approximately 2 to 4 inches thick and should consist of crushed rock or equivalent gravel. A medium-grade geogrid should be used at the base of the gravel bed.



Figure 4. Schematic Drawing of a Temporary Haul Road Using a Mat System (actual dimensions will vary)

Mats can be rented and will likely drive the cost of using this system. The mats can be pulled and placed with greater ease than some other road systems. Because of the interlocking nature of the mats, curved roads are not easily accommodated with this type of system. From our experience, the optimal width for a road like this is 14 feet.

7.4.2 Geocell System

This option uses a cellular confinement system, also known as geocells. The system is made of an expandable honey-comb-like structure (typically high-density polyethylene [HDPE]) which can be filled with sand and gravel, creating a strong, stiff, cellular mattress. When the soil contained within a geocell is subjected to pressure, it causes lateral stresses on perimeter cell walls. This type of system can be placed directly on the separation layer (woven filter fabric). Figure 5 depicts a schematic drawing of a typical geocell system.





Figure 5. Schematic Drawing of a Temporary Haul Road Using a Geocell System (actual dimensions will vary)

The material used to fill the cells is not as critical as in other applications, so most any coarse granular material will work. The geocell should be capped with a 2-inch layer of crushed rock. This type of system can more easily accommodate a curved road alignment. Pulling and reuse of this system is more difficult, because the HDPE structure is susceptible to damage.

7.5 Construction-Phase Monitoring

In order to assess construction conformance with the intent of our recommendations, it is important that a representative of our firm review the foundation excavations for the new tide gate and the large-span bridges.

This construction-phase monitoring is important because it provides the owner and SHN the opportunity to verify anticipated site conditions, and recommend appropriate changes in design or construction procedures if site conditions encountered during construction vary from those described in this report. It also allows SHN to recommend appropriate changes in design or construction procedures if construction methods adversely affect the competence of onsite soils to support the structural improvements.

Because of the variable conditions (generally poor) and the large area of the overall project, the project will be a "see as you go" type of endeavor. Various recommendations provided in this report are general, and depend upon the site conditions of the specific project at the time of construction. In many cases, the most appropriate approach cannot be evaluated until the work has begun.

• SHN should be included early on in the various phases of construction to verify the appropriateness of our recommendations and make adjustments if necessary.

8.0 Construction Considerations

This section presents construction considerations that are intended to aid in project planning. These considerations are not intended to be comprehensive; other issues may arise that would require coordination between the owner, the engineer, and the contractor's construction means and methods and capabilities.

Construction considerations for this project include the following:

- 1. The groundwater is characteristically shallow throughout the year. Based on recent excavation projects in the Martin Slough Valley, groundwater inflow is usually slow and easily managed with pumps. It is important to note, however that even small quantities of persistent seepage may substantially complicate construction operations where excavations extend below areas of saturated soil.
- 2. Following even minimal site stripping of the upper 1 to 2 feet of soil (the "crust"), exposed soil subgrade will likely be too soft and wet for heavy equipment to traverse. Compaction of the soil subgrade, or achieving a firm soil subgrade surface will be difficult or impractical.
 - If equipment access on excavated areas is necessary, special provisions should be developed, following review of subgrade conditions.
 - To avoid complications with soft subgrade, careful planning of the excavations, particularly those that cover a large area (such as the ponds), is encouraged.
- 3. We anticipate a vast majority of the excavated soils will be cohesive silty and clayey soils with a moisture content over optimum for compaction. These soils are typically not suitable for use as fill material to be compacted into place, because they will likely be overly wet, slow-drying due to their plasticity, and thus difficult to properly moisture condition and compact.
 - Spreading the soils out and repeatedly turning/disking may be necessary to enhance the usability of the soils.
- 4. OSHA Type C soils are indicated, requiring excavation side slopes of 1.5H:1V for excavations up to 10 feet in depth, or shoring. However, even at 1.5H:1V some slope failure may occur, particularly where saturated conditions are encountered. Compliance with safety regulations is the responsibility of the contractor.
 - OSHA trench and excavation safety regulations should be acknowledged and followed.

9.0 Plan and Specification Review

- We recommend communications be maintained during the design phase, between the design team and SHN, to optimize compatibility between the design and soil and groundwater conditions.
- We also recommend that we be retained to review those portions of the plans and specifications that pertain to earthwork and foundations. The purpose of this review is to confirm that our earthwork and foundation recommendations have been properly interpreted and implemented during design.

10.0 Closure and Limitations

The analyses, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this report are based on site conditions that we observed at the time of our investigation, data from our subsurface explorations and laboratory tests, our current understanding of proposed project elements, and on our experience with similar projects in similar geotechnical environments. We have assumed that the information obtained from our limited subsurface explorations is representative of subsurface conditions throughout the site.



We recommend that a representative of our firm confirm site conditions during the construction phase. If subsurface conditions differ significantly from those disclosed by our investigation, we should be given the opportunity to re-evaluate the applicability of our conclusions and recommendations. Some alteration of recommendations may be appropriate.

If the scope of the proposed construction, including the proposed loads, grades, or structural locations, changes from that described in this report, our recommendations should also be reviewed.

If there is a substantial lapse of time between the submission of our report and the start of work at the site, or if conditions have changed due to natural causes or construction operations at or adjacent to the site, we should review our report to determine the applicability of the conclusions and recommendations considering the changed conditions and time lapse. This report is applicable only to the project and site studied.

The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are professional opinions derived in accordance with current standards of professional practice. Our recommendations are tendered on the assumption that design of the improvements will conform to their intent. No representation, express or implied, of warranty or guarantee is included or intended.

The field and laboratory work was conducted to investigate the site characteristics specifically addressed by this report. Assumptions about other site characteristics, such as, hazardous materials contamination, or environmentally sensitive or culturally significant areas, should not be made from this report.

11.0 References

- California Building Standards Commission. (2010). 2010 California Building Code–Title 24 Part 2, Two-Volumes. Based on International Building Code (2009) by the International Code Council. Sacramento, CA:California Building Standards Commission.
- SHN Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. (2003). *Geotechnical Study, Proposed Martin Slough Interceptor Sewer Project.* Eureka, CA:SHN.
- ---. (2009). *Geotechnical Baseline Report, Phases I and II, Martin Slough Interceptor Project*. Eureka, CA:SHN.
- U.S. Geologic Survey. (February 10, 2011). "Seismic Hazard Curves, Response Parameters, Design Parameters: Seismic Hazard Curves, and Uniform Hazard Response Spectra," v. 5.1.0. NR:USGS.
- Winzler & Kelly and Michael Love & Associates. (August 2012). "Martin Slough Habitat Enhancement Project" (Plan set). Eureka,CA:Winzler & Kelly.
- ---. (2006). Martin Slough Enhancement Feasibility Study. Eureka, CA: Winzler & Kelly.

Appendix A Subsurface Exploration Logs

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 **JOB NUMBER: 013035** PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project BORING LOCATION: Tide Gate DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 NUMBER TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 15.5 feet **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 11 feet HB-1 SAMPLER TYPE: 2.5" O.D. brass shelby tube; **EXCAVATION METHOD:** Hand Auger hand hammer drive LOGGED BY: AC, JMA Atterberg Static Cone Pen (tsf) BULK SAMPLES TUBE SAMPLE Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Passing 200 Dry Shrinkage ш % Moisture SOIL DESCRIPTION uscs DEPTH (psd) PROFIL Plastic Index REMARKS Liquid Limit U.C. ((FT) (ASTM D 2488) % 0.0 Grass, roots to 4". MI -1.0 SILT: Brown, soft, damp. - -2.0 becomes weakly mottled. grades brown to brownish-gray. - -3.0 - -4.0 grades bluish-gray and brown. ∇ slight increase clay content, very soft, - -5.0 moist to wet. becomes saturated. - -6.0 grades bluish-gray. Decomposed 28 organic odor \mathbf{T} - -7.0 35 86 37 3 - -8.0 - -9.0 clamshells observed. - -10.0 XI - -11.0 becomes very soft. - -12.0 - -13.0 sand content increases. 990 40 85 becomes soft. - -14.0 clamshells and wood fragments. SILTY SAND; Bluish-gray, loose, - -15.0 SM saturated, clam shells. 22 103 25 0 Boring terminated at a depth of 15.5 feet.

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time

- -16.0

- -17.0

-18.0

-19.0

- -20.0

LOG OF BORING

Groundwater initially encountered at a depth of 5.0 feet; stabalized at a depth of

6.75 feet.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877

PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project

LOCATION: South side of slough channel, ~ Sta. 6+00

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger

JOB NUMBER: 013035 DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7.0 feet SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk

BORING NUMBER **HB-2**

LOGGED BY: AC, JMA

÷

DEPTH (FT) (FT) (FT)	TUBE SAMPLE	nscs	PROFILE	SOIL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D 2488)	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing 200	Static Cone Pen (tsf)	U.C. (psf)	Liduid Limit	Plastic Index sti	% Dry Shrinkage	REMARKS
□ ^{0.0}			A.A.	Grass, roots to 4".									
1.0		ML	\$-\$-	SILT WITH SAND; Brown, soft, damp to moist, minor fine sand.				13					
								,					
					26			5				5	
-40								9.5					
		ML/ SM		SANDY SILT; Yellowish-brown to grey (weakly mottled), soft, wet, fine sand, occasional wood fragments and decomposed organics.									
6.0		ML		SILT; Grey, soft, wet, shell fragments.									
7.0				Boring terminated at a depth of 7.0 feet. Groundwater encountered at a depth of 3									
-8.0				feet. Piezometer installed following completion. Stabilized groundwater elevation at a depth of 2.36 feet on 3/26/13.									
-9.0													
-10.0													

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877

PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project LOCATION: 80' Span Agricultural Bridge GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger LOGGED BY: AC, JMA JOB NUMBER: 013035 DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 10.5 feet SAMPLER TYPE: 2.5" O.D. brass shelby tube;

BORING NUMBER **HB-3**

hand hammer drive

0.0 ML Grass, roots to 4". SILT; Forwn to dark brown, medium stiff, silt, trace fine sand. 49 72 12 -2.0 ML SILT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak motiles), soft, wel. 49 72 18 -3.0 SILT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak motiles), soft, wel. 43 80 79 18 -4.0 SILT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak motiles), soft, wel. 43 80 79 Direct push from 5.0° to 5.6° -6.0 SULT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak motiles), soft, wel. decomposed wood and plant and shell fragments 5.0° to 5.6° Direct push from 5.0° to 7.5° -7.0 SULT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak) motile/1, soft, wel. decomposed wood and plant and shell fragments Direct push from 7.0° to 7.5° -7.0 Sult; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak) motile/2, soft, wel. decomposed wood and plant and shell fragments Direct push from 7.0° to 7.5° -10.0 Sult; Sultige groundwaler initial dollowing completion. Sultige groundwaler initial dollowing completion. get adpt of 1.76 feet. Piecometer installed following completion. -11.0 Subliked groundwaler initialed following completion. Subliked groundwaler initialed following completion. Subliked groundwaler initialed fo	DEPTH WVS X108 (FT) X108	TUBE SAMPLE	nscs	FRUFILE	SOIL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D 2488)	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing 200	Static Cone Pen (tsf)	U.C. (psf)	Atteri Lin Lidniq Limit	Plastic Index still	% Dry Shrinkage	REMARKS
	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0 \\1.0 \\ -2.0 \\ -3.0 \\3.0 \\4.0 \\5.0 \\6.0 \\7.0 \\6.0 \\7.0 \\8.0 \\9.0 \\10.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\11.0 \\$		ML		Grass, roots to 4". SILT; Brown to dark brown, medium stiff, moist, trace fine sand. SILT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak mottles), soft, wet. sand content increases. SANDY SILT; Yellowish-brown to grey (weakly mottled), soft, wet. SILT; Yellowish-brown to light olive grey (weak mottles), soft, wet. decomposed wood and plant and shell fragments becomes soft to very soft. Boring terminated at a depth of 10.5 feet. Groundwater initially encountered at a depth of 1.76 feet. Plezometer installed following completion. Stabilized groundwater elevation measured at a depth of 1.76 feet on 3/26/13.	49 43 28	72 80 83	79	12					Direct push from 2.25' to 2.75' Direct push from 7.0' to 7.5' Direct push from 10' to 10.5'

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 JOB NUMBER: 013035 PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project BORING DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 LOCATION: 80' Span Agricultural Bridge NUMBER TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 10 feet **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 16 feet **HB-4** SAMPLER TYPE: 2.5" O.D. brass shelby tube; **EXCAVATION METHOD:** Hand Auger hand hammer drive LOGGED BY: AC, JMA Atterberg Static Cone Pen (tsf) BULK SAMPLES TUBE SAMPLE Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Passing 200 % Dry Shrinkage PROFILE % Moisture SOIL DESCRIPTION uscs DEPTH (psq) Plastic Index REMARKS Liquid Limit U.C. ((FT) (ASTM D 2488) -0.0 Grass, roots to 4". MI. 20 - -1.0 SILT; Dark brownish-grey to brown (weakly mottled), soft to medium stiff, 9 damp. - -2.0 5 - -3.0 36 86 - -4.0 grades grey. wood fragments and decomposed - -5.0 organics. \mathbf{T} - -6.0 760 becomes wet. Direct push from 6.1' to 6.6' - -7.0 becomes soft. - -8.0 Direct push from 38 80 - -9.0 8.6' to 9.1' - -10.0 Boring terminated at a depth of 10 feet. Groundwater encountered at a depth of 6 - -11.0 feet. - -12.0 - -13.0 - -14.0

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

- -15.0

- -16.0

- -17.0

- -18.0

- -19.0

- -20.0



 PROJECT:
 Martin Slough Enhancement Project

 LOCATION:
 East of south end of west limb of overflow

 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:
 16 feet

 EXCAVATION METHOD:
 Hand Auger

JOB NUMBER: 013035 DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7 feet SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk

BORING NUMBER **HB-5**

LOGGED BY: AC, JMA



The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project JOB NUMBER: 013035 LOCATION: East limb of overflow DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7 feet EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk

EXCAVATION METHOD: LOGGED BY: AC, JMA

Atterberg Static Cone Pen (tsf) BULK SAMPLES TUBE SAMPLE Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Passing 200 % Dry Shrinkage ш % Moisture SOIL DESCRIPTION PROFILE uscs DEPTH (lsd) Plastic Index REMARKS Liquid Limit U.C. ((FT) (ASTM D 2488) 0.0 ふ Grass, roots to 4". A. ML SILT; Greyish-brown, medium stiff, moist. - -1.0 10.5 - -2.0 - -3.0 4 - -4.0 SM SILTY SAND; Grey, loose, wet, fine sand. _____ CH/ CLAY; Dark grey to brown (mottled), MH medium stiff, moist. ML SILTY SAND; Grey, medium dense, moist, decomposed organics, fine to medium - -6.0 grained sand, clam shells and minor organics. 4 19 19 28 -7.0 Boring terminated at a depth of 7.0 feet. Groundwater encountered at a depth of 4.5 feet. - -8.0 - -9.0 - -10.0

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

\overline{Q}	٦	1	7 0		or	nsulting Engineers &	3 0	e	ol	og	jis	sts	, I	nc	
812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877															
PROJECT: Martin Sk LOCATION: Tidal po GROUND SURFACE F EXCAVATION METHO LOGGED BY: AC, J	cei lex : At	mer 16 ugei	At Project JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED: Date DRILLED: TOTAL DEPTH C SAMPLER TYPE	:R: 013035 BORING .ED: 03/21/13 NUMBER TH OF BORING: 5 feet HB-7 YPE: Bulk HB-7											
DEPTH (FT)	BULK SAMPLES	TUBE SAMPLE	nscs		PROFILE	SOIL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D 2488)	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing 200	Static Cone Pen (tsf)	U.C. (psf)	Atteri Limit Lidniq	Plastic Index sign	% Dry Shrinkage	REMARKS
0.0	_	-		\$	3		1					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
1.0			ML	\$. 		Grass, roots to 4. SILT; Brown to brownish-grey to yellowish- brown (mottled), soft to medium stiff, moist, slightly clayey, some wood fragments.				7					
2.0	X									<i>(</i> .5					
3.0										5					
4.0			ML		5	SILT; Dark grey to brown (mottled), medium stiff, moist, slightly clayey, minor organics.	30							6	
5.0				-		Boring terminated at a depth of 5.0 feet. Groundwater encountered at a depth of									
6.0						1.25 feet.									
7.0															
8.0															
9.0															
-10.0															

.

.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 **JOB NUMBER: 013035** PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project BORING DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 LOCATION: Tidal pond C Complex NUMBER TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 5 feet **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 17 feet HB-8 SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger LOGGED BY: AC, JMA (tsf) Atterberg BULK SAMPLES TUBE SAMPLE Dry Density (pcf) % Passing 200 Limits % Dry Shrinkage Pen (PROFILE SOIL DESCRIPTION % Moisture DEPTH uscs (Jsd) Plastic Index REMARKS Static Cone Liquid Limit U.C. ((FT) (ASTM D 2488) 0.0 Grass, roots to 4". CL/ CLAY; Strong brown to brownish-grey ML (mottled), medium stiff, moist. 9 - -1.0 5 CLAYEY SAND; Strong brown to SM/ - -2.0 brownish-grey (mottled), medium dense, SC wet. 5 CL/ SILT; Brownish-grey to bluish-grey, ML medium stiff to stiff, wet, weakly cemented - -3.0 nodules of iron oxide. 7 -4.0 9 18 - -5.0 Boring terminated at a depth of 5 feet. Piezometer installed following completion. Stabilized groundwater elevation measured at a depth of 1.71 feet. - -6.0 - -7.0 - -8.0 - -9.0 - -10.0

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877

 PROJECT:
 Martin Slough Enhancement Project

 LOCATION:
 50' Span Agricultural Bridge

 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:
 16 feet

 EXCAVATION METHOD:
 Hand Auger

 LOGGED BY:
 AC, JMA

.

JOB NUMBER: 013035 DATE DRILLED: 03/21/13 TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 10 feet SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk

BORING NUMBER **HB-9**

Atterberg Static Cone Pen (tsf) BULK SAMPLES **TUBE SAMPLE** Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Passing 200 % Dry Shrinkage PROFILE SOIL DESCRIPTION % Moisture DEPTH uscs (lsq) Plastic Index REMARKS Liquid Limit U.C. ((FT) (ASTM D 2488) - 0.0 Grass, roots to 4". CL 14 SILTY CLAY; Brownish-grey to strong -1.0 brown (mottled), medium stiff, moist. Y 16 - -2.0

3.0				P			31	90		8	1350	38	14		
	z														
		\mathbf{h}		P											
-6.0			SM			SANDY SILT; Greyish-brown, medium stiff, moist, trace fine sand, clamshells.	38	80	76					8.5	
7.0	2		[sc	1111		CLAYEY SAND; Bluish-grey, medium	25	100						14	
-8.0	ŕ		[м⊦	ł	ľ1	SILT; Bluish-grey, soft to medium stiff, wet,	34	86	34		340	57	25		
9.0						decomposed organics.									
-10.0															
-11.0]	C				41	80				46	25	2.5	
12.0						Boring terminated at a depth of 11.5 feet. Groundwater initially encountered at a									
13.0						depth of 4.0 feet. Piezometer installed following completion. Stabilized groundwater elevation									
-14.0						measured at a depth of 1.92 feet on 3/26/13.									
-15.0															
16.0															
17.0															
18.0															
-20.0	L		_										<u> </u>		

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.



Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc.

812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877

PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project LOCATION: East side of slough channel; ~Sta. 44+00 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet Hand Auger **EXCAVATION METHOD:**

JOB NUMBER: 013035 DATE DRILLED: 03/22/13 TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 8 feet SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk

BORING NUMBER **HB-10**

LOGGED BY: AC, JMA



GIN	7 Co i	nsulting Engineers	s & (Ge	ol	og	jis	ts	, Iı	nc	-		
812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project JOB NUMBER: 013035 LOCATION: Tidal Pond D DATE DRILLED: 03/22/13 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7 feet EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk LOGGED BY: AC, JMA DATE DRILLED: 01000													
DEPTH (FT) BDNTK SYMDER (FT)	USCS PROFILE	SOIL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D 2488)	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing 200	Static Cone Pen (tsf)	U.C. (psf)	Attert Lidnid Limit	Plastic Index still	% Dry Shrinkage	REMARKS		
1.0	ML A	Grass, roots to 4". SANDY SILT; Brown to gray (mottled), soft, damp to moist, contains clay.				85							
2.0 3.0	CL	SILTY CLAY; Grey to olive brown (weakly	46 y			8				7			
4.0			42							12			
5.0 6.0	ML	SILT; Grey, soft, wet, organics and peat filaments.											
7.0		Boring terminated at a depth of 7 feet. Ground water initially encountered at a											
8.0		depth of 2.75 feet. Stabilized groundwater elevation measured at a depth of 1.5 feet.											
9.0													

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$	V 7	7 C	o	nsulting Engineers	& (Je	ol	oç	jis	ts	, I	nc	-		
PROJECT: Martin Sloug LOCATION: Tidal Pond GROUND SURFACE ELE EXCAVATION METHOD: LOGGED BY: AC, JMA	Martin Slough Enhancement Project JOB NUMBER: 013035 BORING NUMBER LOCATION: Tidal Pond E DATE DRILLED: 03/22/13 BORING NUMBER GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 8 feet HB-12 EXCAVATION METHOD: Hand Auger SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk HB-12														
DEPTH (FT) SS JAM WES	TUBE SAMPLE	nscs	PROFILE	SOIL DESCRIPTION (ASTM D 2488)	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing 200	Static Cone Pen (tsf)	U.C. (psf)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Index State	% Dry Shrinkage	REMARKS		
		ML	λ 3λ 3 λ τ η -	Grass, roots to 4". SILT; Brown, soft, damp, contains clay.	42	75						10			
5.0	I	SM		grades bluish-grey.	45	73				46	19				
		ML		SILT; Grey, soft, wet, few clamshells, trace fine sand.											
9.0				Boring terminated at a depth of 8 feet. Groundwater initially encountered at a depth of 3.0 feet. Stabilized groundwater elevation measured at a depth of 2.5 feet.											

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

.

Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project **JOB NUMBER: 013035** BORING LOCATION: Tidal Pond E DATE DRILLED: 03/22/13 NUMBER TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7 feet GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 16 feet **HB-13** SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk **EXCAVATION METHOD:** Hand Auger LOGGED BY: AC, JMA Atterberg (tst) BULK SAMPLES **TUBE SAMPLE** Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Passing 200 % Dry Shrinkage PROFILE Static Cone Pen SOIL DESCRIPTION % Moisture uscs DEPTH (bsd) Plastic Index REMARKS Liquid Limit (FT) U.C. (ASTM D 2488) - 0.0 Grass, roots to 4". CL SILT; Yellowish-brown to grey (mottled), \mathbf{T} soft to medium stiff, damp, slightly clayey. - -1.0 28 - -2.0 5 31 ∇ - -3.0 7 - -4.0 - -5.0 ML/ SANDY SILT; Grey, soft, wet, interfingered SM 5 sandy, clayey, and silty lenses, occasional clam shells. - -6.0 23 - -7.0 Boring terminated at a depth of 7 feet. Groundwater initially encountered at a depth of 3.0 feet. Stabilized groundwater elevation - -8.0 measured at a depth of .75 feet. - -9.0

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other

locations and with the passage of time.

- -10.0
Consulting Engineers & Geologists, Inc. 812 West Wabash, Eureka, CA 95501 ph. (707) 441-8855 fax. (707) 441-8877 **JOB NUMBER: 013035** PROJECT: Martin Slough Enhancement Project BORING DATE DRILLED: 03/22/13 LOCATION: Tidal Pond F NUMBER TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING: 7 feet **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 17 feet **HB-14 EXCAVATION METHOD:** Hand Auger SAMPLER TYPE: Bulk LOGGED BY: AC, JMA Atterberg Static Cone Pen (tsf) BULK SAMPLES TUBE SAMPLE Dry Density (pcf) Limits % Dry Shrinkage % Passing 200 Ш SOIL DESCRIPTION % Moisture uscs DEPTH U.C. (psf) PROFIL Plastic Index Liquid Limit REMARKS (FT) (ASTM D 2488) - 0.0 Grass, roots to 4".



The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

LOG OF BORING



The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

LOG OF BORING

591	V7C	on	su	Iting Engineers &	& C	je	olo	ogi	sts	, Ir	IC.
EN	L/ 81	2 W	est V	/abash, Eureka, CA pł	. (707	7) 44 [.]	-885	5 fa	x. (707	7) 441-	8877
PROJECT: Martin Sto LOCATION: Pine Hil	ough I Road, Eureki	a, CA		JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED	001 : 9/2	283.3 5/02	20			ſ	HOLE
GROUND SURFACE	ELEVATION:			TOTAL DEPTH	OF H	OLE;	31.	5 feet			MS-8
EXCAVATION METHO	DD: Solid Si	em F	light A	uger (4") SAMPLER TYPI	: 2.5	5" I.D.	Calif	. Split	Spoor	, L	
LOGGED BY: SMB				140 lb telescopin	g harr	nmer,	30" d	lrop			
DEPTH	IPLES LES WS	0	щ		are	y (pcf)	o. (psf)	j 200	Atterbe	erg Limits	
(FT)	ULK SAN SS SAMP SPT BLO PER 0.	USC:	PROFI	DESCRIPTION	% Moist	Dry Densit	Unc. Com	% Passinç	Liquid Limit	Plastic Inde	REMARKS
		ML- CL		SILT, clayey, very sandy, fine, with few to moderate organics, soft, dry, very dark grey.							
5.0 - -	1 2 1 2 2 2			Becomes wet.	41.6	75			30.5	11	Peak @ 6.5-7.0' C = 0.35 ksf Phi = 30.6 deg. Residual C = 0.20 ksf
10.0 	1 2 2			SILT, slightly clayey, slightly sandy, fine to medium, with few to no organics, soft, wet, very dark grey.	43.4	77			40.6	16	Phi = 32.8 deg.
- 15.0 	1 2 2			No organics.							
- 	1 2 3			to no organics, medium stiff, moist to wet, very dark grey.							
- 	3 4 5	ML		SILT, slightly clayey to clayey, very slightly sandy, fine, medium stiff, wet, very dark grey.							ML-CL/ML Contact inferred.
- - 30.0 -	2 3 5			With shells. Bottom of boring at 31.5 feet.							

behavior type and SPT based on data from UBC-1983

VBI In-Situ Testing

Operator: MIKE JONES Sounding: 02W324

CPT Date/Time: 09-25-02 09:26 Location: CPT-7



VBI In-Situ Testing

200 Y-202 1151

Operator: MiKE JONES Sounding: 02W321 Cone Used: HO752TC-U2

CPT Date/Time: 03-24-02 11:41 Location: CPT-6 Job Number: MARTIN SLOUGH



GT.	V7	Co	nsu	Iting Engineers	& C	Sec	olo	gis	sts	, II	nc.
CIL		812	West W	/abash, Eureka, CA 95501 p	h. (707) 441-8	855	fax. (7	707) 4	41-8	877
PROJECT: Martin Slou LOCATION: Pump Ho GROUND SURFACE EL EXCAVATION METHOD LOGGED BY: SMB	ugh use LEVATIO D: Ro	ON: otary W	 ash 6"	JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLER TYP	0012 5/2 OF BO E: Sh	283.67 1/08 RING: elby, S	5 51.8 PT	5 feet			BORING NUMBER BH-3
DEPTH (FT)	BULK SAMPLES SHELBY TUBE	BLOWS PER 0.5'	DSCS	DESCRIPTION	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	Unc. Com. (psf)	% Passing 200	Liquid Limit	Plastic Index signal	REMARKS
					-				11		
						-					
1.0											
2.0											
-3.0											
4.0 🗶											
5.0	T		SM	FINE SAND, silt, with clay, loose, saturated, grey.	89.0	47.2			*		Shelby tube encountered wod, no return
				Gas smell.							
7.0		4									
8.0		4									driven through wood fragment
-9.0											Loss of drilling fluids due to hydraulic fracture; casing placed to 10 feet
-10.0		2	SM	FINE SAND, silty with clay, wood, loose, saturated, grey							Sample description
11.0		3									based on shoe material
-12.0		7	ML/ SC	SILT, clay, with trace fine sand, and organics, medium stiff, wet, grey to							due to hydraulic fracture; casing extended to 15 feet

4

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.



The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

\overline{Q}	V	7 C	or	ารน	Iting Engineers	& (Geo	olo	gis	sts, I	nc.
CIU		81	2 W	/est V	Vabash, Eureka, CA 95501	oh. (70	7) 441-	8855	fax. (707) 441-	3877
PROJECT: Martin Ski LOCATION: Pump H GROUND SURFACE E EXCAVATION METHO LOGGED BY: SMB	ough Iouse ELEVA DD:	TION: Rotary V	 Wasi	h 6"	JOB NUMBER DATE DRILLE TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLER TYI	: 001 D: 5/2 I OF B(PE: St	283.67 21/08 DRING helby, S	75 : 51. SPT	5 feet		BORING NUMBER BH-3
DEPTH (FT)	BULK SAMPLES SHELBY TUBE	BLOWS PER 0.5'	nscs	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	Unc. Com. (psf)	% Passing 200	Atterberg Limits Plastic Index	REMARKS
-24.0		Î	Ĩ	Î		ĺ					
25.0			ML	2009/2019 2019/2019 2019/2019	SILT, fine sand, wilt clay, medium stiff, moist to wet, grey.	14.9	117.2	4850			
26.0		Push									
27.0											
28.0											
29.0									2		
-30.0		4	SM		FINE SAND, with silt, medium dense, moist, grey.						
31.0		6									
32.0											
-33.0											
-34.0											×
-35.0		4	sc	[]]	FINE SAND, clay, silt, medium dense, moist, grey.	_					
L -36.0				//	1		ļ				

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of lime.

зń

ī

IÍ

1Í

1

1

1

	V/C	onsu	Iting Engineers	& G	jeol	ogis	ts, I	nc.
EIN	81	2 West V	Vabash, Eureka, CA 95501 p	h. (707)) 441-8855	fax. (7	707) 441-8	3877
PROJECT: Martin Slo LOCATION: Pump Ho GROUND SURFACE E EXCAVATION METHOM LOGGED BY: SMB	ugh buse LEVATION: D: Rotary	 Wash 6"	JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLER TYP	0012 : 5/21 OF BO E: She	283.675 1/08 RING: 5 elby, SPT	1.5 feet		BORING NUMBER BH-3
DEPTH (FT)	BULK SAMPLES SHELBY TUBE BLOWS PER 0.5'	USCS PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf) Unc. Com. (psf)	% Passing 200	Atterberg Limits Hastic Index	REMARKS
-36.0	10							
37.0								
38.0								
40.0	4	SM						
	8	SP	FINE SAND, with silt, medium dense, moist, grey.					10
42.0								
43.0								
44.0								
45.0	4	SM SP	FINE SAND, with silt, medium dense, moist, grey.					
46.0	8			-				
-48.0								

....

<u> </u>		7 C	on	su	Iting Engineers	& (Geo	olo	gis	ts	, Inc.
CI		81	2 W	est V	/abash, Eureka, CA 95501 p	h. (707) 441-8	855	fax. (7	'07) 4	41-8877
PROJECT: Martin LOCATION: Pum GROUND SURFAC EXCAVATION MET LOGGED BY: SM	n Slough p House SE ELEVA THOD: 1B	ATION: Rotary	 Wash	6"	JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLER TYP	001: 5/2 OF BC E: Sh	283.679 1/08 PRING: elby, S	5 51.5 PT	i feet		BORING NUMBER BH-3
DEPTH (FT)	BULK SAMPLES SHELBY TUBE	BLOWS PER 0.5'	USCS	PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	% Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	Unc. Com. (psf)	% Passing 200	Attert Limit Fidnid Limit	REMARKS
-48.0											
-49.0											
50.0		12	SM		FINE SAND, with silt, medium dense, moist, grey.	_					
-51.0		12									
52.0					Bottom of Boring at 51.5 feet.						
53.0											
-54.0											
55.0											
56.0											
57.0											
-59.0											
-60.0											

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

	onsu	Iting Engineers &	6	ied	olo	ogi	sts	, In	C.
C/L/ 812	2 West V	Vabash, Eureka, CA ph	. (707	7) 441	-885	5 fa	x. (707) 441-8	8877
PROJECT: Martin Slough LOCATION: Golf Course, Eureka, C GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: EXCAVATION METHOD: Solid Ste LOGGED BY: SMB	CA em Flight A	JOB NUMBER: DATE DRILLED: TOTAL DEPTH (suger (4") SAMPLER TYPE 140 lb telescopin	001 9/2 OF H E: 2.5 g han	283.3 4/02 DLE: 5" I.D. hmer,	20 21. Calif 30" d	5 feet . Split Irop	Spoon		HOLE NUMBER MS-5
N IS E				pc()	(jsd	8	Atterbe	rg Limits	
DEPTH Idws Salaria (FT) (FT) SS SAMPLE SS SPT BLOW	USCS PROFILE	DESCRIPTION	% Moisture	Dry Density (I	Unc. Comp. (% Passing 20	Liquid Limit	Plastic Index	REMARKS
$ \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ -5.0 \\ -5.0 \\ -10.0 \\ -10.0 \\ -15.0 \\ -20.0 \\ -20.0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5$	SC SM SP SM	CLAY, silty, medium stiff, dry, yellow brown, with distinct mottles. Becomes moist to wet. SAND, fine to medium, clayey, slightly silty, with rare organics, medium dense, wet, dark grey. SAND, fine to medium, slightly silty, medium dense, wet, dark yellowish brown. SAND, fine to medium, slightly silty, with few organics, medium dense, wet. SILT, sl. clayey to clayey, sl.sandy, fine, with many organics, soft, wet, dark yellowish brown. SAND, fine to medium, slightly silty, medium dense, wet, dark yellowish brown. SILT, sandy, fine, slightly clayey, with few organics, medium stiff, wet, dark yellowish brown. Bottom of boring at 21.5 feet.	91.4 18.8	52 112 42			50.4	26	Peak @ 8.5-9.0' C = 0.44 ksf Phi = 27.2 deg. Residual @ 8.5-9.0' C = 0.15 ksf Phi = 33.9 deg. SM/SP-SM Contact inferred Woody debris in sampling shoe OH/SM-SP Contact inferred

The log and data presented are a simplification of actual conditions encountered at the time of drilling at the drilled location. Subsurface conditions may differ at other locations and with the passage of time.

FIELD BORING LOG



Project Project Project	t: Pine A Elocation:	ill Roa 01216	d Br	idge	e Replacement Li	og of Boring <u>B-1</u> Sheet 1 of 1
Date(s)	10/16/12	,	A)		LoggediBy JHO	Checked By
Drilling	Hoilow-	item 21	yger		DrillBil Size/Type	Total Depth 90,5 Feet
Drill:Rig	0-401 RO	tay-w	zsh		Drilling Contractor Taber Drilling	Approximate Surface*Elevation
Groundwa	tet:Level Measured	, di			Sampling Method(s) Shelby Tube	ibana Automatic
Borehole	Cemer	itaro	ut		Location SE corner of bridge 1	1'east of CPT 1
ievallon (iet)	éplit (éé)) Aintie (hiter) Aintie Lyte	ample venuer âmpling Resislance, •	ISCS Symbol	Sraphic Log		REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		SHURT TO SHURT S	SP CH/ 6L		Brown GRAVELLY SAND media Blue Gray Lean CLAY, ver wet to saturated, minor so minor organics	im dense, y soft, and, TXCU w= 31.4% Sj=8.3pcf
					minor decomposing orga	MICS - TXCY - LL= 32, PI = 12 w= 34.6% 8j= 85pcf

and the estimate

pelling 1

......

Project: Project:Location: Project!Number: (21216	53	SER PAGE 1	Log of Boring <u>8-1</u> Sheet 2 of 1 3
Date(s)			Logged'By	Checked By
Drilled Drilling			i DrilleBil	Total Depth
Method			Size/Type	Approximate
Туре			: 'Contractor	Surface Elevation
Groundwater.Level and:Date:Measured			'Method(s)	Data
Borehole Backfill	*	1	Location	
Elevation (cel)	Săminiare a constructione a constructione a constructione a constructione a construction a construction a constructione a construction a cons	HAW 1 St OF WAR OF A USCS Symbol A	Brownish-gray SILT, Soft Brownish-gray SILT, Soft Minur Organius and el Brownish-gray SILTY JA Medium dense, saturat Organics and shell fro Gray CLAYEY SILT, Sof Stiff, Saturated, Te and shell frogments	REMARKS AND OTHER TEST SD hursted, Consol TXCU w= 37.19% SJ= 22pcf SJ= 22pcf ND ed, few gments - t to medium w organics

Project:		log of Boring <u>B-1</u>
Project:Location:	SEE DAGE 1	Sheet for f
Project!Number: 6/2/63		40+3
Date(s)	Logged By	Checked'By
Drilling	DrillBil Sizeffyne	Fotal Depth of Borehole
DrilliRig	:Drilling	Approximate
Type Groundwater Level .	Sampling	Hammer Thata
and Date Measured Borehole	*Method(s)	- Data
Backfill		
381) Billiet Billiet Billiet Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne		
ation († ali (tee) ali (tee) ali (tee) ali (tee) ali (tee) ali (tee) ali (tee)		
List stat	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
E CL	- layer of Reddish-brown s CLAY w/ few gravels and Grey SILTY CLAY soft to	and Y and Y a
	- stiffisaturated	
مراجع المراجع المراجع مراجع المراجع ال مراجع المراجع ال		
NR 69 5C	Grey CLAYEY SAND me dense, saturated, Fow ore	panics Pushed another sample w/catcher
		-200=45.4%
- 76-		
	Come culty wary	tiff.
- 80-14 H 18 SC	Softwated Gray CLAYEY SAND. den	ise, - collected sample using catcher
	saturated	- 200 = 27,8%
85		-
		-
35 SP	Esano, very dense, satur, medium-avaired	eted, - collected sample
- 90 50/24	BOH 90 1/2.	-200'= 12,9%

Appendix B ASTM Laboratory Test Results



CONSULTING ENGINEERS & GEOLOGISTS, INC. B12 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

DENSITY BY DRIVE- CYLINDER METHOD (ASTM D2937)

Project Name: Martin Slough	Enhancement	Project Num	nber:	013035
Performed By: JMA		Date:		4/9/2013
Checked By:		Date:		4116113
Project Manager: JPB				
Lab Sample Number	13-240	13-241	13-242	
Boring Label	НВ9	HB10	HB12	
Sample Depth (ft)	11-11.5	4.5-4.8	2-2.5	
Diameter of Cylinder, in	2.38		2.38	
Total Length of Cylinder, in.	7.45		7.95	
Length of Empty Cylinder A, in.	0.00	disturbed	0.00	
Length of Empty Cylinder B, in.	4.70	sample	5.10	
Length of Cylinder Filled, in	2.75		2.85	
Volume of Sample, in ³	12.23		12.68	
Volume of Sample, cc.	200.48		207.77	
Pan #	s29	ss7	s26	
Weight of Wet Soil and Pan	509.9	477.9	521.1	
Weight of Dry Soil and Pan	405.4	421.5	416.2	
Weight of Water	104.5	56.4	104.9	
Weight of Pan	148.6	193.0	165.5	
Weight of Dry Soil	256.8	228.5	250.7	
Percent Moisture	40.7	24.7	41.8	
Dry Density, g/cc	1.28		1.21	
Dry Density, lb/ft ³	80.0		75.3	
Shrinkage Percentage	2.5		1	



CONSULTING ENGINEERS & GEOLOGISTS, INC. 812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

DENSITY BY DRIVE- CYLINDER METHOD (ASTM D2937)

Project Name: Martin Slough	Enhancement	Project Num	nber:	013035	
Performed By: JMA		Date:		4/9/2013	
Checked By:		Date:		4/14/13	
Project Manager: JPB	I			<u></u>	
Lab Sample Number	13-226	13-228	13-232	13-237	13-238
Boring Label	НВ1	HB1	HB3	HB9	HB9
Sample Depth (ft)	7.5-8.0	15-15.5	10-10.5	7-7.5	5.5-6
Diameter of Cylinder, in	2.38	2.38	2.38	2.38	2.38
Total Length of Cylinder, in.	7.93	9.70	7.90	7.92	7.95
Length of Empty Cylinder A, in.	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	4.73
Length of Empty Cylinder B, in.	4.52	7.33	2.32	0.38	0.00
Length of Cylinder Filled, in	3.41	2.37	5.58	2.64	3.22
Volume of Sample, in ³	15.17	10.54	24.82	11.74	14.33
Volume of Sample, cc.	248.60	172.78	406.80	192.46	234.75
Pan #	s22	s27	s22	s27	ss12
Weight of Wet Soil and Pan	616.1	502.5	844.3	537.9	609.9
Weight of Dry Soil and Pan	495.7	438.6	694.2	460.2	495.5
Weight of Water	120.4	63.9	150.1	77.7	114.4
Weight of Pan	151.2	152.7	151.3	152.9	194.4
Weight of Dry Soil	344.5	285.9	542.9	307.3	301.1
Percent Moisture	34.9	22.4	27.6	25.3	38.0
Dry Density, g/cc	1.39	1.65	1.33	1.60	1.28
Dry Density, lb/ft ³	86.5	103.3	83.3	99.7	80.1
Shrinkage Percentage	2.9	0	3.7	14	8.4



812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

Moisture Content (ASTM D 2216)

Job Name:	Martin Slough Enhancment	Job Number	.: 013035
Performed By:	JMA	Date:	4/12/2013
Checked By:	the	Date:	4/16/12
Project Manage	er: JPB		the second

Lab Sample Number	13-224	13-225	13-249	13-255	13-261
Job Sample Number	HB15 @2.8	HB15@ 6.5	HB1@ 6'	HB2 @ 2-3	HB5 @ 4-4.5
A. Pan #	a7	a8	а5	a3	a9
B. Weight of Wet Soil and Pan	241.1	240.6	266.3	266.2	262.1
C. Weight of Dry Soil and Pan	194.2	186.4	227.0	229.0	219.5
D. Weight of Water	46.9	54.2	39.3	37.2	42.6
E. Weight of Pan	86.7	87.5	86.9	85.3	88.9
F. Weight of Dry Soil	107.5	98.9	140.1	143.7	130.6
G. Percent Moisture (D/F)	43.6	54.8	28.1	25.9	32.6

SHRINKAGE CALCULATIONS

Original Dia 2.42''	2.12	2.07	2.31	2.31	2.20
Original Height 1.00"	1.06	0.86	0.99	0.97	0.94
Percent Shrinkage DIA	12.4	14.5	4.5	4.5	9.1
Percent Shrinkage Height	-6.0	14.0	1.0	3.0	6.0



812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

Moisture Content (ASTM D 2216)

Job Name: Martin Slough Enhan		Job Number:			
Performed By: JMA			Date:	4/12/2013	
Checked By:			Date:	4/10/13	
Project Manager: JPB		-			
Lab Sample Number	13-281	13-284	13-286	13-290	
Job Sample Number ⊢	IB11 @ 4.2-4.	HB13 @ 2-2.	HB13 @ 6-6.5	HB14 @ 4-4.	5
A. Pan #	s25	s26	s8	s29	
B. Weight of Wet Soil and Pan	302.3	338.5	343.7	329.7	
C. Weight of Dry Soil and Pan	256.4	297.9	309.4	290.8	
D. Weight of Water	45.9	40.6	34.3	38.9	
E. Weight of Pan	146.2	165.9	161.2	148.6	
F. Weight of Dry Soil	110.2	132.0	148.2	142.2	
G. Percent Moisture (D/F)	41.7	30.8	23.1	27.4	
SHRINKAGE CALCULATIONS					
Original Dia 2.42"	2.13	2.31	2.30	2.24	
Original Height 1.00	0.89	0.98	0.99	0.98	
Percent Shrinkage DIA	12.0	4.5	5.0	7.4	
Percent Shrinkage Height	11.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	



PERCENT PASSING # 200 SIEVE (ASTM - D1140)

	Martin Slough		
Project Name:	Enhancement	Project Number:	013035
Performed By:	JMA	Date:	4/15/2013
Checked By:	J.	Date:	4/11/2
Project Manager:	JPB		

Lab Sample Number	13-228	13-230	13-238	13-239	13-241
Boring Label	HB1	HB3	HB9	HB9	HB10
Sample Depth (ft)	15-15.5	5-5.5	5.5-6.0	8-8.5	4.5-4.8
Pan Number	ss15	ss11	ss12	ss8	ss7
Dry Weight of Soil & Pan	295.8	303.5	284.2	317.8	300.2
Pan Weight	194.4	192.8	194.4	193.0	193.0
Weight of Dry Soil	101.4	110.7	89.8	124.8	107.2
Soil Weight Retained on #200&Pan	271.0	216.0	216.3	275.7	267.9
Soil Weight Passing #200	24.8	87.5	67.9	42.1	32.3
Percent Passing #200	24.5	79.0	75.6	33.7	30.1

Lab Sample Number	13-268		
Boring Label	HB6		
Sample Depth (ft)	6.5-7		
Pan Number	ss3		
Dry Weight of Soil & Pan	375.2		
Pan Weight	197.2		
Weight of Dry Soil	178.0		
Soil Weight Retained on #200&Pan	324.7		
Soil Weight Passing #200	50.5		
Percent Passing #200	28.4		



812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

	Martin Slough				
JOB NAME:	Enhancement	JOB #:	013035	LAB SAMPLE #:	13-226
SAMPLE ID:	HB1 @ 7.5-8.0	PERFORMED BY:	JMA	DATE:	4/15/2013
PROJECT MANGER:	JPB	CHECKED BY:	Dh	DATE:	4/10/12

LINE NO.		TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 3
Α	PAN #	13	14	7	8	9
В	PAN WT. (g)	22.170	19.950	29.010	29.180	28.740
С	WT. WET SOIL & PAN (g)	28.640	25.950	35.580	37.060	36.270
D	WT. DRY SOIL & PAN (g)	27.330	24.730	33.860	34.910	34.170
Е	WT. WATER (C-D)	1.310	1.220	1.720	2.150	2.100
F	WT. DRY SOIL (D-B)	5.160	4.780	4.850	5.730	5.430
G	BLOW COUNT		(1 112)	35	24	16
Н	MOISTURE CONTENT (E/F*100	25.4	25.5	35.5	37.5	38.7

LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	PLASTIC LIMIT
37	12	25







812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

	Martin Slough				
JOB NAME:	Enhancement	JOB #:	013035	LAB SAMPLE #:	13-236
SAMPLE ID:	HB9 @ 2.5-3.0	PERFORMED BY:	JMA	DATE:	4/15/2013
PROJECT MANGER:	JPB	CHECKED BY:	DL	DATE:	4/11/12

LINE NO.		TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 3
Α	PAN #	22	23	а	b	С
В	PAN WT. (g)	17.230	16.960	29.360	29.610	28.700
С	WT. WET SOIL & PAN (g)	23.230	23.480	38.070	37.300	37.320
D	WT. DRY SOIL & PAN (g)	22.070	22.220	35.690	35.170	34.860
E	WT. WATER (C-D)	1.160	1.260	2.380	2.130	2.460
F	WT. DRY SOIL (D-B)	4.840	5.260	6.330	5.560	6.160
G	BLOW COUNT			28	23	16
н	MOISTURE CONTENT (E/F*100	24.0	24.0	37.6	38.3	39.9

LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	PLASTIC LIMIT
38	14	24





812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

	Martin Slough					
JOB NAME:	Enhancement	JOB #:	13035	LAB SAMPLE #:	13-239	
SAMPLE ID:	HB9 @ 8.5-9	PERFORMED BY:	JMA	DATE:	4/15/2013	
PROJECT MANGER:	JPB	CHECKED BY:	Dh	DATE:	4/16/13	
			100 M			

LINE NO.		TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 3
Α	PAN #	17	18	1	2	3
В	PAN WT. (g)	20.300	20.260	29.830	29.130	29.180
С	WT. WET SOIL & PAN (g)	26.840	27.310	37.100	36.390	35.910
D	WT. DRY SOIL & PAN (g)	25.260	25.620	34.480	33.730	33.440
E	WT. WATER (C-D)	1.580	1.690	2.620	2.660	2.470
F	WT. DRY SOIL (D-B)	4.960	5.360	4.650	4.600	4.260
G	BLOW COUNT			27	20	17
н	MOISTURE CONTENT (E/F*100	31.9	31.5	56.3	57.8	58.0

LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	PLASTIC LIMIT
57	25	32







812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

	Martin Slough				
JOB NAME:	Enhancement	JOB #:	013035	LAB SAMPLE #:	13-240
SAMPLE ID:	HB9 @ 11-11.5	PERFORMED BY:	JMA	DATE:	4/15/2013
PROJECT MANGER:	JPB	CHECKED BY:	Dh	DATE:	4/10/13

LINE NO.		TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 3
Α	PAN #	23	22	A	В	С
В	PAN WT. (g)	16.960	17.220	29.360	29.610	28.730
С	WT. WET SOIL & PAN (g)	23.050	24.350	38.780	37.390	37.940
D	WT. DRY SOIL & PAN (g)	21.820	22.900	35.840	34.930	35.000
Е	WT. WATER (C-D)	1.230	1.450	2.940	2.460	2.940
F	WT. DRY SOIL (D-B)	4.860	5.680	6.480	5.320	6.270
G	BLOW COUNT			28	22	18
н	MOISTURE CONTENT (E/F*100	25.3	25.5	45.4	46.2	46.9

LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	PLASTIC LIMIT
46	21	25







812 W. Wabash Eureka, CA 95501-2138 Tel: 707/441-8855 FAX: 707/441-8877 E-mail: shninfo@shn-engr.com

	Martin Slough				
JOB NAME:	Enhancement	JOB #:	013035	LAB SAMPLE #:	13-243
SAMPLE ID:	HB 12 @ 5-5.5	PERFORMED BY:	JMA	DATE:	4/16/2013
PROJECT MANGER:	JPB	CHECKED BY:	A	DATE:	4 Nolls

LINE NO.		TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 1	TRIAL NO. 2	TRIAL NO. 3
A	PAN #	13	14	7	8	9
В	PAN WT. (g)	22.170	19.950	29.000	29.140	28.710
С	WT. WET SOIL & PAN (g)	31.390	26.720	36.290	36.460	34.710
D	WT. DRY SOIL & PAN (g)	29.460	25.300	34.040	34.150	32.790
E	WT. WATER (C-D)	1.930	1.420	2.250	2.310	1.920
F	WT. DRY SOIL (D-B)	7.290	5.350	5.040	5.010	4.080
G	BLOW COUNT	##:	1414	29	23	19
н	MOISTURE CONTENT (E/F*100)	26.5	26.5	44.6	46.1	47.1

LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	PLASTIC LIMIT
46	19	27







<u> </u>			1		
Sy	mbol				
Te	st No.	13-244			
	Diameter, in	2.38			
	Height, in	5.39			
ē.	Water Content, %	78.04			
<u> </u>	Dry Density, pcf	51.309			
	Saturation, %	92.98			
	Void Ratio	2.2243			
Ur	confined Compressive Strength, psf	1595.6			
Ur	drained Shear Strength, psf	797.81			
Time to Failure, min		3.7539			
Str	ain Rate, %/min	1			
Sp	ecific Gravity	2.65			
Lic	uid Limit	0			
PI	istic Limit	0			
PI	asticity Index	0			
Fa	ilure Sketch	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
				L	

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	
Location: Eureka	
Project No.: 013035	
Boring No.: HB15@2	
Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	
Description: Strong Brown SILT	
Remarks: Organics in specimen	



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035			
Boring No.: HB15@2	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: M_ LINKIS			
Sample No.: 13-244	Test Date: 4/9/12	Depth: 2-2.5			
Test No.: 13-244	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:			
Description: Strong Brown SILT	Description: Strong Brown SILT				
Remarks: Organics in specimen					



C					
Sy	mdoi				
Test No.		13-234			
	Diameter, in	2.38			
	Height, in	5.8			
<u>.</u>	Water Content, %	66.67			
l icl	Dry Density, pcf	58.507			
	Saturation, %	96.68			
	Void Ratio	1.8276			
Unconfined Compressive Strength, psf		760.18			
Ur	drained Shear Strength, psf	380.09			
Time to Failure, min		9.0011			
Strain Rate, %/min		1			
Sp	ecific Gravity	2.65			
Lie	quid Limit	0			
PI	astic Limit	0			
PI	asticity Index	0			
Fc	ilure Sketch				
			<u> </u>	<u> </u> ;	

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement
Location: Eureka
Project No.: 013035
Boring No.: HB4@6.1
Sample Type: 2.5''shelby
Description: Gray SILT
Remarks:



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035			
Boring No.: HB4@6.1	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: DL UN 12			
Sample No.: 13-234	Test Date: 4/9/12	Depth: 6.1-6.6			
Test No.: 13-234	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:			
Description: Gray SILT					
Remarks:					



t No _{te}	17 070			
	13-239			
Diameter, in	2.38			
Height, in	5.09			
Water Content, %	33.63			
Dry Density, pcf	86.153			
Saturation, %	96.83			
Void Ratio	0.92023			
confined Compressive Strength, psf	344.04			
drained Shear Strength, psf	172.02			
ne to Failure, min	6.0021			
ain Rate, %/min	1			
ecific Gravity	2.65			
uid Limit	0			
stic Limit	0			
sticity Index	0			
lure Sketch				
	Water Content, % Dry Density, pcf Saturation, % Void Ratio confined Compressive Strength, psf drained Shear Strength, psf ne to Failure, min ain Rate, %/min acific Gravity uid Limit stic Limit stic Limit Iure Sketch	Water Content, %33.63Dry Density, pcf86.153Saturation, %96.83Void Ratio0.92023confined Compressive Strength, psf344.04drained Shear Strength, psf172.02ne to Failure, min6.0021ain Rate, %/min1scific Gravity2.65uid Limit0stic Limit0lure Sketch	Water Content, %33.63Dry Density, pcf86.153Saturation, %96.83Void Ratio0.92023confined Compressive Strength, psf344.04drained Shear Strength, psf172.02ne to Failure, min6.0021ain Rate, %/min1seific Gravity2.65uid Limit0stic Limit0lure Sketch	Water Content, %33.63Dry Density, pcf86.153Saturation, %96.83Void Ratio0.92023confined Compressive Strength, psf344.04drained Shear Strength, psf172.02ne to Failure, min6.0021ain Rate, %/min1selfic Gravity2.65uid Limit0stici Limit0lure Sketch

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement			
Location: Eureka			
Project No.: 013035			
Boring No.: HB9@8.5			
Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	N		
Description: Strong Brown SILT	_		
Remarks:			



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035		
Boring No.: HB9@8.5	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: DL 4/1813		
Sample No.: 13-239	Test Date: 4/9/12	Depth: 8.5-9.0		
Test No.: 13-239	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:		
Description: Strong Brown SILT				
Remarks:				



Sy	mbol				
Te	st No.	13-236			
	Diameter, in	2.38			
	Height, in	5.08			
<u>.</u>	Water Content, %	30.82			
loit L	Dry Density, pcf	90.149			
	Saturation, %	97.78			
	Void Ratio	0.83511			
Unconfined Compressive Strength, psf		1351.7			
Undrained Shear Strength, psf		675.84			
Time to Failure, min		8.2505			
Strain Rate, %/min		1			
Specific Gravity		2.65	T		
Lic	uid Limit	0			
PI	astic Limit	0			
Plasticity Index		0			
Failure Sketch					
				£	

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement
Location: Eureka
Project No.: 013035
Boring No.: HB9@2.5
Sample Type: 2.5"shelby
Description: Strong Brown SILT
Remarks:



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035				
Boring No.: HB9@2.5	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: Dh under				
Sample No.: 13-236	Test Date: 4/9/12	Depth: 2.5-3.0				
Test No.: 13-236	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:				
Description: Strong Brown SILT						
Remarks:						

.



â				
Symbol				
Test No.		13-227		
	Diameter, in	2.38		
	Height, in	5.95		
tial	Water Content, %	40.10		
i.	Dry Density, pcf	85.476		
	Saturation, %	113.61		
	Void Ratio	0.93545		
Unconfined Compressive Strength, psf		986.42		
Undrained Shear Strength, psf		493.21		
Time to Failure, min		13.752		
Strain Rate, %/min		1		
Specific Gravity		2.65		
Lic	uid Limit	0		
Ple	astic Limit	0		
PI	asticity Index	0		
Fa	ilure Sketch			

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement
Location: Eureka
Project No.: 013035
Boring No.: HB1@12.75
Sample Type: 2.5"shelby
Description: Gray SILT
Remarks:



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035			
Boring No.: HB1@12.75	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: Dh 4)1013			
Sample No.: 13-227	Test Date: 4/8/12	Depth: 12.75-13.25			
Test No.: 13-227	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:			
Description: Gray SILT					
Remarks:					


Sv	mbol			
Te	st No.	13-245	 	
-	Diameter, in	2.38		
tial	Height, in	5.42		
	Water Content, %	79.99		
Init	Dry Density, pcf	52.518		
	Saturation, %	98.59		
	Void Ratio	2.15		
Ur	confined Compressive Strength, psf	616.41		
Ur	drained Shear Strength, psf	308.2		
Tir	ne to Failure, min	15.253		
St	rain Rate, %/min	1		
Sp	ecific Gravity	2.65		
Lic	guid Limit	0		
PI	astic Limit	0		
PI	asticity Index	0		
Fa	ilure Sketch			

Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	
Location: Eureka	
Project No.: 013035	
Boring No.: HB15@5'	
Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	
Description: Strong Brown SILT	
Remarks: Organics in specimen	

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT



Project: Martin Slough Enhancement	Location: Eureka	Project No.: 013035
Boring No.: HB15@5'	Tested By: JMA	Checked By: N. 4119R
Sample No.: 13-245	Test Date: 4/9/12	Depth: 5-5.5
Test No.: 13-245	Sample Type: 2.5"shelby	Elevation:
Description: Strong Brown SILT		
Remarks: Organics in specimen		



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:09:29

inase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	Test File
0	HB3@2.75	13-	-229	2.25-2.75	JMA	4/9/13			13-229 MSE.dat
Δ	HB3@5'	13-	-230	5-5.5	JMA	4/10/13			13-230 MSE.dat
	HB4@8.6'	13-	-235	8.6-9.1	JMA	4/10/13			13-235 MSE.dat
			Project:	Martin Sloug	gh Enha <mark>ncem</mark>	ebbcation: Eu	ureka	Proje	ct No.: 013035
			Boring I	No.: HB3 & H	IB4	Sample Type	e: 2.5"calbrl		
	Description: SILT								
			Remark	Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained					



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	Test File
0	HB3@2.75	13-	-229	2.25-2.75	JMA	4/9/13			13-229 MSE.dat
Δ	HB3@5'	13-	-230	5-5.5	JMA	4/10/13			13-230 MSE.dat
	HB4@8.6'	13-	-235	8.6-9.1	JMA	4/10/13			13-235 MSE.dat
			Project:	Martin Sloug	gh Enhancem	ebocation: Eu	ireka	Proje	ect No.: 013035
1			Boring	No.: HB3 & H	HB4	Sample Type	e: 2.5''calbrl		
	Description: SILT								
			Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained						



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:15:54

hase calculations based on start and end of test



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check [Date	Test File
0	HB3@2.75	13-229	2.25-2.75	JMA	4/9/13				13-229 MSE.dat
					12				
		Project	: Martin Slou	gh Enhan <mark>cem</mark>	ebocation: Eu	ureka	F	Project	: No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB3@2.2	25	Sample Typ	e: 2.5''calbrl			
		Descrip	tion: SILT						
	Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained								



	Sample No.	Test No	. Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	e Test File
0	HB3@2.75	13-229	2.25-2.75	JMA	4/9/13			13-229 MSE.dat
		Pro	ject: Martin Slou	gh Enhancem	ebbcation: E	ureka	Pro	ject No.: 013035
	Boring No.: HE			25	Sample Typ	e: 2.5"calbrl		
	Description: SILT							
	Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrain			ned				



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:19:50



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Do	ate	Test File
0	HB3@5'	13-230	5-5.5	JMA	4/10/13				13-230 MSE.dat
		Proje	ect: Martin Slou	igh Enhancem	ebocation: E	ureka	Pr	roject	: No.: 013035
		Borir	g No.: HB3@5		Sample Typ	e: 2.5"calbrl			
		Desc	ription: SILT						
		Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained							



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check	Date	Test File
Ο	HB3@5'	13-230	5-5.5	JMA	4/10/13				13-230 MSE.dat
		Project	: Martin Slou	gh Enhancem	ebocation: Eu	ureka		Project	t No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB3@5'		Sample Typ	e: 2.5''calbrl			×
		Descrip	tion: SILT		1.5				
	Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained								

Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:19:51



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:24:31

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Do	ite Test File
0	HB4@3.2	13-233	3.2-3.7	JMA	4/10/13			13-233 MSE.dat
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Project	: Martin Slou	igh Enhancem	ebbcation: E	ureka	Pr	oject No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB4@3.	2-3.7	Sample Typ	e: 2.5"calbrl		
		Descrip	tion: SILT					
		Remark	s: Unconsoli	dated Undrair	ned			

Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:24:32



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	Test File
0	HB4@3.2	13-233	3.2-3.7	JMA	4/10/13			13-233 MSE.dot
		Projec	t: Martin Slo	ugh Enha <mark>nce</mark> m	ebbcation: E	ureka	Proje	ct No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB4@3	.2-3.7	Sample Typ	e: 2.5"calbrl		
		Descri	otion: SILT					
		Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained						

Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:24:32



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:25:52

mase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	Test File
0	HB 4@ 8.6'	13-235	8.6-9.1	JMA	4/10/13			13-235 MSE.dat
		Projec	t: Martin Slo	ugh Enhancen	nebocation: E	ureka	Proj	ect No.: 013035
		Boring	Boring No.: HB3 Sample Type: 2.5"calbrl					
	Description: Blue Gray SILT							
	Remarks: Unconsolidated Undrained							



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Da	nte Test File
O	HB4@8.6'	13-235	8.6-9.1	JMA	4/10/13			13–235 MSE.dat
					(//	
		Project	: Martin Slou	igh Enhancem	nebbcation: E	ureka	Pr	oject No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB3		Sample Typ	e: 2.5''calbrl		
		Descrip	tion: Blue G	ray SILT				
		Remark	ks: Unconsol	dated Undrai	ned			



Thu, 18-APR-2013 12:27:22

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Test No	. Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date	Test File
0	HB12@5*	13-243	5-5.5	JMA	4/11/13			13-243 MSE.dot
		Pro	ject: Martin Slo	ough Enhancem	hebboation: E	ureka	Projec	t No.: 013035
		Bor	ing No.: HB12@	95-5.5	Sample Typ	e: 2.5"calbrl		
		Des	scription: Brown	n SILT				
		Rer	narks: Unconso	olidated undrair	ned			



	Sample No.	Test No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check	Date	Test File
O	HB12@5'	13-243	5-5.5	JMA	4/11/13				13-243 MSE.dat
		Project	: Martin Slou	gh Enha <mark>ncem</mark>	ebocation: Eu	ureka		Project	No.: 013035
		Boring	No.: HB12@5	-5.5	Sample Type	e: 2.5''calbrl			
		Descrip	tion: Brown S	SILT					
		Remark	s: Unconsoli	dated undrain	ed				

Appendix C USDA Laboratory Test Results

1311 WOODLAND AVE #1 • MODESTO, CALIFORNIA 95351 • (209) 529-4080 • FAX (209) 529-4736

13-101-050 **REPORT NUMBER:**

CLIENT NO: 2946-D

SHN CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SEND TO:

EUREKA, CA 95501-812 W. WABASH

SUBMITTED BY: CINDY WILCOX

ABORATORIES.

In . MUNIMUSING . MAUNIC

GROWER: RC4A-013035

04/17/13 DATE OF REPORT:

SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT

PAGE

Ī			1			_			1	0
		()	Na %	14.8	5.6	3.7	6.7	4.0		
		COMPUTED	∓ %	54.0	62.0	28.5	21.0	34.5		
	PERCENT	JRATION (C	°. 28	9.3	12.5	33.9	29.7	23.0		VI YSIS
		ATION SATI	BW W	19.7	19.0	33.0	41.4	37.6		F SI7F ANA
		Ö	⊻ %	2.2	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0		DARTICI
	Cation	Exchange	Capacity C.E.C. meq/100g	14.8	12.0	12.3	11.5	13.9		
	Hydrogen	12.5	H meq/100g	8.0	7.4	3.5	2.4	4.8		
		10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	Buffer Index	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.7	6.5		
	Hd		Soil PH	4.6	4.4	5.4	5.7	5.2		Chlorido
	Sodium		na **** ppm	503VH	154H	104M	177H	128M		Calubla
	Calcium		са ₽рт	276VL	300VL	836VL	685VL	643VL		Guana
	agnesium		8M * ***	355M	276M	495VH	579VH	637VH		10000
))	otassium N		¥ udd	129M	42L	45L	52L	53L		
	orus	NaHCO ₃ -P	IsenMethod)	6**	19**	11**	6**	8**	Hq lios sir	la se
	Phosph	ž	Weak Bray) (O **** * ppm	5VL	3VL	2VL	1VL	1VL	eliable at tl	
		Matter	** ENR Ibs/A	57	82	108	96	107)3-P unre	ř
		Organic	* % Rating	1.3L	2.6M	3.9H	3.3M	3.8H	** NaHCC	
	1.01	:	NUMBER	54356	54357	54358	54359	54360		
			SAMPLE	HB-5B	HB-6	HB-8A	HB-8B	HB-11		
			1 A A	L					1	L

Sulfu	r Zinc	Manganese	Iron	Copper	Boron	Excess	Soluble	Chloride			PARTIC	LE SIZE ANALYSIS
	Z	uW	Fe	5	в	Lime	Salts	U	SAND	SILT	CLAY	SOIL TEXTURE
	mqq	ELC	mqq	шdd	ppm	Rating	mmhos/cm	ррт	%	%	%	
1>	Ŧ					_	1.8M					
\geq	Ţ					_	0.6L					
3							0.3L					
6	V						0.4L					
Ϋ́							0.3L					
12	OW (VL), LOV	V (L). MEDIUM (N	1), HIGH (H),	AND VERY HI	GH (VH).				This report	applies only	to the sample	e(s) tested. Samples are retained a maximur

CODE TO RATING: VERY LOW (VL), LOW (L), MEDIUM (M), HIGH (H), AND VERY HIGH (VH). ENR - ESTIMATED NITROGEN RELEASE *

:

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE OF THE ELEMENTAL FORM :

**** MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 4.6 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE P₂O₅
***** MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2.4 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE K₂O
MOST SOILS WEIGH TWO (2) MILLION POUNDS (DRY WEIGHT) FOR AN ACRE OF SOIL 6-2/3 INCHES DEEP

Mike Buttress, CPAg A & L WESTERN LABORATORIES, INC.

M attres

of thirty days after testing.

1311 WOODLAND AVE #1 • MODESTO, CALIFORNIA 95351 • (209) 529-4080 • FAX (209) 529-4736

13-101-050 **REPORT NUMBER:**

CLIENT NO: 2946-D

SHN CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SEND TO:

EUREKA, CA 95501-812 W. WABASH

SUBMITTED BY: CINDY WILCOX

ABORATORIES

UNIT - DAVRONALIATOR - NOUL

GROWER: RC4A-013035

04/17/13 DATE OF REPORT:

SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT

N PAGE

1. 2. 5.				Phos	phorus	Potassium	Magnesium	Calcium	Sodium	đ	-	Hydrogen	Cation	25	1000 C	PERCENT		1. 1.1.1
		Organic	: Matter	£	NaHCO ₃ -P					0			Exchange	Ū	ATION SAT	URATION (C	(OMPUTED)	
SAMPLE	LAB NUMBER	0' Dating	# ENR	(Weak Bray)	(OlsenMethod)	× i 4	6		t Na	Soil Hq	Buffer Index	H H Meq/100g	Capacity C.E.C.	× %	۶Mg	S %	н %	Na %
		% Kaung	A)sdi	шdd	mqq		Inda	in all	India	1000			meq/100g	2	2	2	2	2
HB-13	54361	1.9L	69	17L	**	111	480H	395VL	315H	4.5	6.1	10.5	18.0	1.6	21.9	10.9	58.0	7.6
HB14A	54362	3.0M	06	1VL	10**	47L	282VH	343VL	160H	4.8	6.6	4.3	9.1	1.3	25.4	18.7	47.0	7.6
HB14B	54363	1.1L	51	1VL	16**	58M	270VH	223VL	321VH	5.4	6.7	1.9	6.8	2.2	32.5	16.3	28.5	20.5
HB-15	54364	3.5M	100	2VL	17**	40L	220H	298VL	73M	4.5	6.5	5.1	8.9	1.1	20.5	16.8	58.0	3.6
		CHell **	O3-D Inr	te aldeila	this soil nh	-												

AMPLE Nitrogen Sulfur Zinc Manganese Iron Copper Boron Excess Soluble Chloride PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS							
E SIZE ANALYSIS	SOIL TEXTURE						
PARTICL	CLAY	%					
	SILT	%					
	SAND	%					
Chloride	ō	mdd					
Soluble	Salts	mmhos/cm	1.8M	0.4L	0.5L	0.2VL	
Excess	Lime	Rating				_	
Boron	8	ррт					
Copper	Cu	ррт					
Iron	e	шdd					
Manganese	Mn	bpm					
Zinc	Zn	шdd					
Sulfur	SOrS	шdd	228VH	58VH	34H	44VH	
Nitrogen	N-EON	mqq	1VL	ΞL	1VL	3VL	
	SAMPLE		HB-13	HB14A	HB14B	HB-15	

CODE TO RATING: VERY LOW (VL), LOW (L), MEDIUM (M), HIGH (H), AND VERY HIGH (VH). .

ENR - ESTIMATED NITROGEN RELEASE -

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE OF THE ELEMENTAL FORM 1

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 4.6 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE $\rm P_2O_5$ ****

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2.4 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE K₂O MOST SOILS WEIGH TWO (2) MILLION POUNDS (DRY WEIGHT) FOR AN ACRE OF SOIL 6-2/3 INCHES DEEP

Mike Buttress, CPAg A & L WESTERN LABORATORIES, INC.

My utruss

This report applies only to the sample(s) tested. Samples are retained a maximum

of thirty days after testing.

1311 WOODLAND AVE #1 • MODESTO, CALIFORNIA 95351 • (209) 529-4080 • FAX (209) 529-4736

13-101-049 **REPORT NUMBER:**

CLIENT NO: 2946-D

SHN CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SEND TO:

EUREKA, CA 95501-812 W. WABASH

SUBMITTED BY: CINDY WILCOX

MI - DATION

GROWER: RC4A-013035

04/17/13 DATE OF REPORT:

SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT

PAGE:

Urganic materPINaHCG-FMaHCG-FMathMaHCG-FMathMathMathCatholicMathCatholicMathCatholicMathCatholicMathCatholicMathCatholicMathCatholicMathMathMathCatholicMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathCatholicMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathMathM				Phosp	horus	Potassium	Magnesium	Calcium	Sodium		H	Hydrogen	Cation		200	PERCENT		
** (Week Bray) (Neek Bray) (NeekBray) (Neek Bray) (N	5	ganıc	c matter	Æ	NaHCO ₃ -P	3							Exchange	0	ATION SAT	URATION (C	COMPUTED	U
4M 99 13L 20** 145M 246H 259VL 258VH 4.9 6.6 3.8 8.6 4.3 23.6 15.0 44.0 13.1 7M 83 8L 17** 142M 361H 312VL 521VH 4.9 6.5 5.6 12.8 23.3 12.2 44.0 17.7 7NH 145 14L 39** 86L 184M 175VL 169H 4.2 6.3 7.9 11.3 2.0 13.4 7.7 70.4 6.5 1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1 1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1	% R	* ating	** ENR Ibs/A	(Weak Bray) ***** *	OlsenMethod)	wdd	s udd	B + Ed	en * ***	Soil PH	Buffer Index	H meq/100g	Capacity C.E.C. meq/1000	X %	6W	°Ca	н %	Na %
2.7M 83 8L 17** 142M 361H 312VL 521VH 4.9 6.5 5.6 12.8 2.33 12.2 44.0 17.7 5.7VH 145 14L 39** 86L 184M 175VL 169H 4.2 6.3 7.9 11.3 2.0 13.4 7.7 70.4 6.5 1.1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1 1.1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1	1	3.4M	66	13L	20**	145M	246H	259VL	258VH	4.9	6.6	3.8	8.6	4.3	23.6	15.0	44.0	13.1
5.7VH 145 14L 39** 86L 184M 175VL 169H 4.2 6.3 7.9 11.3 2.0 13.4 7.7 70.4 6.5 1.1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1		2.7M	83	8L	17**	142M	361H	312VL	521VH	4.9	6.5	5.6	12.8	2.8	23.3	12.2	44.0	17.7
1.1L 51 4VL 15** 170M 349H 323VL 222H 4.7 6.4 6.0 11.9 3.7 24.1 13.6 50.5 8.1		5.7VH	145	14L	39**	86L	184M	175VL	169H	4.2	6.3	7.9	11.3	2.0	13.4	7.7	70.4	6.5
		1.1L	51	4VL	15**	170M	349H	323VL	222H	4.7	6.4	6.0	11.9	3.7	24.1	13.6	50.5	8.1

	1							aximum
	ZE ANALYSIS	SOIL TEXTURE						sted. Samples are retained a ma
	PARTICLE SI	CLAY	%					 the sample(s) te
		SILT	%					plies only to
		SAND	%					This report ap
	Chloride	8	шdd					
	Soluble	Salts	mmhos/cm	0.7M	1.4M	0.9M	1.6M	
	Excess	Lime	Rating	_				
	Boron	8	шdd	0.5L	0.6M	0.4L	0.7M	GH (VH).
_	Copper	Cu	шdd	1.0M	1.8H	M9.0	3.3VH	ND VERY HIG
	Iron	Fe	шdd	160VH	158VH	141VH	150VH	1). HIGH (H), A
כוומסוס מו	Manganese	Mn	шdd	ЗM	2L	31	6M	.). MEDIUM (N
	Zinc	Zn	mqq	1.1M	1.1M	0.4VL	1.4M	(1) NOT (1)
	Sulfur	SOrS	шdd	33H	45VH	57VH	60VH	VERY LOW
	Nitrogen	N-2ON	mqq	7L	5L	3VL	1VL	TO RATING:
		SAMPLE		HB-2A	HB-2B	HB-5A	HB-10	CODE

CODE TO RATING: VERY LOW (VL), LOW (L), MEDIUM (M), HIGH (H), AND VERY HIGH (VH). .

ENR - ESTIMATED NITROGEN RELEASE **

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE OF THE ELEMENTAL FORM ***

**** MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 4.6 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE P205

MULTIPLY THE RESULTS IN ppm BY 2.4 TO CONVERT TO LBS. PER ACRE K₂O MOST SOILS WEIGH TWO (2) MILLION POUNDS (DRY WEIGHT) FOR AN ACRE OF SOIL 6-2/3 INCHES DEEP

MA white

of thirty days after testing.

Mike Buttress, CPAg A & L WESTERN LABORATORIES, INC.

1311 WOODLAND AVE #1 • MODESTO, CALIFORNIA 95351 • (209) 529-4080 • FAX (209) 529-4736

CLIENT: 2946

REPORT NUMBER: 13-101-049

ENI: 2340

SUBMITTED BY: CINDY WILCOX

RC4A-013035

GROWER:

SEND TO: SHN CONSULTING ENGINEERS 812 W. WABASH EUREKA, CA 95501-

DATE OF REPORT: 04/17/13

SOIL SALINITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Saturation 52.4 53.2 54.0 59.3 % B 0.3 0.4 0.7 0.3 meq/L 7.4 5.0 8.0 3.6 \overline{O} E.C. dS/m 4. 0.9 1.6 0.7 HCO₃ meq/L 1 4.1 F meq/L çõ 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.9 4.9 4.2 4.7 펍 Mg meq/L 0.3 0.7 0.8 2.7 Ca meq/L 0.5 1.5 1.0 0.8 meq/L 13.0 9.9 6.3 Na 6.7 12.4 16.0 ESP 8.3 8.1 13.8 SAR 10.4 7.0 6.8 Number 54353 54355 54352 54354 Lab Sample HB-2A HB-2B HB-5A HB-10 ₽

NOTES:

reference be made to the work, the result or the company in any advertising, news release, or other public announcements without obtaining our "Our reports and letters are for the exclusive and confidential use of our clients, and may not be reproduced in whole or in part, nor may any prior written authorization." © Copyright 1977 A & L WESTERN LABORATORIES, INC.

A & L WESTERN LABORATORIES, INC. Mike Buttress, CPAg M waters

LABORATORIES * GOLUZAL - BANG

PAGE:

•