

COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

AGENDA ITEM NO.

D-12

For the meeting of: May 3, 2016

Date:

April 19, 2015

To:

Board of Supervisors

From:

Thomas K. Mattson, Director of Public Works

Subject:

Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program Grant

Offers for Fiscal Year 2016-17

<u>RECOMMENDATION(S)</u>: That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Director of Public Works, or a Deputy Public Works Director as an alternate, to execute the Grant Offers for the following Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Projects for Fiscal Year 2016-17 when offered by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA):

- 1.1. AIP 03-06-0010-45, California Redwood Coast–Humboldt County Airport (ACV), Pavement Management Plan and Pavement Classification Number
- 1.2. AIP 03-06-0072-13, Murray Field Airport (EKA) Design Runway 12/30 & Parallel Taxiway Rehabilitation
- 1.3. AIP 03-06-0092-10, Garberville Airport (O16); Construct Runway 18/36 Rehabilitation

SOURCE OF FUNDING:

FAA-AIP and Passenger Facility Charges (PFC)

DISCUSSION:

On December 28, 2015, the Public Works Department submitted three (3) Grant Applications to FAA for the above-referenced projects. Project priorities for FAA AIP grant applications are

Prepared by Tyler Holmes (CAO Approval Karen	Clower
REVIEW: Auditor County Counsel	S Personnel	Risk Manager Other
TYPE OF ITEM:		BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT
X Consent		Upon motion of Supervisor Fennell Seconded by Supervisor Bass
Departmental Public Hearing		Ayos Sundberg, Fennell, Lovelace, Bohn, B
Other		Navs
		Abstain
PREVIOUS ACTION/REFERRAL:		Absent
Board Order No		and carried by those members present, the Board hereby approves the recommended action contained in this Board report.
Meeting of:		11 . 2
		Dated: May 3, 2010/

Kathy Hayes, Clerk of the Board

determined by the FAA, Public Works-Aviation Division and available funding. Projects are selected from master project lists maintained for each of the County's six (6) airports.

The FAA AIP grants will provide the majority of funding for each of the above-referenced projects and the County will provide a balancing percentage of matching funds. The anticipated total project cost for each project and the associated cost sharing distributions are as follows:

Project Description	AIP No.	County No.	FAA Share	County Match	Total
ACV Management Plan & Classification Number	03-06-0010-45	919276	\$51,706	\$5,327 (9.34%)	\$57,033
EKA Design Runway 12/30 & Parallel Taxiway Rehabilitation	03-06-0072-13	919273	\$137,840	\$15,316(10%)	\$153,155
O16 Construct Runway 18/36 & Taxiway Rehabilitation	03-06-0092-10	919275	\$1,521,580	\$169,064(10%)	\$1,690,644

FAA Grant Offers typically have very short timeframes for execution and there is no set pattern to when they might arrive. Therefore the Public Works Department is requesting that the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Director of Public Works, or a Deputy Public Works Director as an alternate, to execute the Grant Offers for the above-referenced projects when they are offered by FAA.

<u>FINANCIAL IMPACT:</u> The above-referenced projects are included in the FY 2016-17 Budget, which includes the applications with FAA for AIP grant funding. The County matching amounts are included in the PFC 12 application which is expected to be approved this year. When PFC 12 is approved, the Department intends to secure a loan equivalent to the approved match amounts to proceed with the projects.

The Garberville (O16) application to Construct Runway 18/36 & parallel Taxiway Rehabilitation may be revised by FAA at a later date to reflect the actual cost to construct once the bids are received. A supplemental request will also likely be submitted at a later date.

These projects conform to the Board of Supervisors' Core Roles of providing and maintaining County infrastructure to enhance safety of aviation facilities.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

FAA

ALTERNATIVES TO STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Board may choose not to authorize the Public Works Director to execute the Grant Offers for the above-referenced projects. However, this alternative is not recommended because this could potentially cause the loss of funding scheduled and provided by the FAA and AIP program.

ATTACHMENTS:

None