

1 MICHAEL VON LOEWENFELDT (SBN 178665)
FRANK BUSCH (SBN 258288)
2 **KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP**
3 101 Mission Street, 18th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
4 Telephone: (415) 371-8500
Fax: (415) 371-0500
5 mvl@kerrwagstaffe.com
busch@kerrwagstaffe.com
6

**NO FEE PURSUANT TO
GOVERNMENT CODE §6103**

7 PETER J. CROSSETT (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MICHAEL NICHOLSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
8 **BARCLAY DAMON, LLP**
One Park Place
300 South State Street
9 Syracuse, NY 13202
Telephone: (315) 425-2814
10 Fax: (315) 425-8564
PCrossett@barclaydamon.com
11

12 *Attorneys for Defendant*
California State Board of
Equalization
13

14 Additional counsel listed on following pages

15 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
16 **COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

17 VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC., a
California corporation,
18

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF
22 EQUALIZATION, et al.,

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS
(cons. w/ 34-2013-00138191;
34-2014-00171512; 34-2015-00175631;
34-2015-00175609; 34-2015-00175621;
34-2015-00175627)

[STIPULATED] JUDGMENT

Dept.: 10

1 LUANN SIMMONS (S.B. # 203526)
lsimmons@omm.com
2 CATALINA VERGARA (S.B. # 223775)
cvergara@omm.com
3 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
4 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
5 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
6 DOUGLAS MO (S.B. # 95614)
douglas.mo@sutherland.com
7 CARLEY A. ROBERTS (S.B. # 204225)
carley.roberts@sutherland.com
8 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2500
9 Sacramento, California 95814
Telephone: (916) 241-0500
10 Facsimile: (916) 241-0501
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC

13 **LIST COUNTY REPRESENTATIVES**
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 WHEREAS Verizon filed eight suits in the Sacramento Superior Court, Case Nos. 34-
2 2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS (2007 tax year), 34-2013-00138191 (consolidated 2008 and 2009
3 tax years); 34-2014-00171512 (2010 tax year); 34-2015-00175631 (2011 tax year); 34-2015-
4 00175609 (2012 tax year); 34-2015-00175621 (2013 tax year); 34-2015-00175627 (2014 tax
5 year) (all cases consolidated on July 6, 2015, under case number 34-2011-00116029) (the
6 “Action”);

7 WHEREAS, on December 16, 2015, the Court granted summary adjudication in favor of
8 the Defendants on tax years 2008-2012 (case numbers 34-2013-00138191; 34-2014-00171512;
9 34-2015-00175631; and 34-2015-00175609);

10 WHEREAS, the parties have resolved their disputes with respect to tax years 2007, 2013,
11 and 2014 (case numbers 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS; 34-2015-00175621; and 34-2015-
12 00175627);

13 WHEREAS the parties’ resolution of tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014 requires dismissal
14 of the Action with respect to those years, but preserves Verizon’s right to appeal the Court’s
15 December 16, 2015 summary adjudication order regarding tax years 2008 through 2012;

16 THEREFORE the parties stipulate that the Court should enter judgment as follows:

17 1. All claims relating to tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, initially filed as case
18 numbers 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS; 34-2015-00175621; and 34-2015-00175627, are
19 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to the parties’ settlement agreement. Each side shall
20 bear its own costs and fees with respect to these claims and tax years.

21 2. The dismissal of tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, in combination with the Court’s
22 December 16, 2015 summary adjudication order on tax years 2008-2012, concludes all matters
23 in the consolidated Action pending before the Superior Court and warrants entry of judgment in
24 the consolidated Action.

25 3. This stipulated judgment is expressly made without prejudice to Verizon’s right to
26 appeal the Court’s December 16, 2015 summary adjudication order concerning tax years 2008-
27 2012, and constitutes the judgment in this action within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure
28 904.1(a)(1).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC.

By (Sign)

Name (Print)

Title

Date

**CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION**

By (Sign)

Name (Print)

Title

Date

Dated:

COUNTY OF ALPINE

By: _____

Title: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF CALAVERAS
MEGAN K. STEDTFELD
COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____
DAVID E. SIRIAS
Assistant County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF CALAVERAS

Dated:

COUNTY OF DEL NORTE

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF FRESNO

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

8-11-16

COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

By:  _____
MARK LOVELACE
Chair, Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Dated:

COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

By: _____
Title: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF INYO

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF KERN

By: _____

TERESA A. GOLDNER, County Counsel

COUNTY OF KINGS

Erik D. Kaeding, Deputy

By (Sign)

County Counsel

Title

Date

Dated:

COUNTY OF LAKE

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MARY C. WICKHAM

County Counsel

By: _____

ALBERT RAMSEYER

Principal Deputy County Counsel

Attorneys for COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MADERA COUNTY

Dated:

Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Dated:

Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Legal Form:
COUNTY COUNSEL

Dated:

REGINA A. GARZA

Dated:

COUNTY OF MARIN

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

KATHARINE L. ELLIOT, County Counsel

By: _____
BRINA A. LATKIN, Deputy

Dated:

COUNTY OF MERCED

By: _____

Title: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: COUNTY OF MONO
STACEY SIMON, Acting County Counsel

By: _____
STEPHEN M. KERINS, Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF MONO

Dated: COUNTY OF NEVADA

By: _____
RICK HAFHEY, County Executive Officer
MARCIA SALTER, Auditor-Controller

Approved as to Form:

Dated: _____
RHETTA VANDER PLOEG
Deputy County Counsel

Dated: COUNTY OF ORANGE
LEON J. PAGE, County Counsel

By: _____
STEVEN C. MILLER, Senior Deputy Counsel
Attorney for Defendant, County of Orange

Dated: COUNTY OF PLACER

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated: COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
Title: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

By: _____
STEVEN PAGE
Manager, Risk and Loss Control Division

Approved as to Form:

Dated:

RICK HEYER
Supervising Deputy County Counsel
County of Sacramento

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN BENITO

By: _____
BARBARA THOMPSON
Acting Assistant County Counsel

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

By: _____
GREGORY C. DEVEREAUX
Chief Executive Officer

Date: _____

APPROVED FOR RELEASE:

By: _____
JEAN-RENE BASLE
County Counsel

Date: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

By: _____

ROBERT E. O'ROURKE
Deputy County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

RITA L. NEAL
COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____

ANN DUGGAN
Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

MICHAEL GHIZZONI, COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____

MARIE A. LA SALA
Senior Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By: _____
JAMES R. WILLIAMS
Acting County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

By: _____
BRUCE MCPHERSON
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Dated:

COUNTY OF SONOMA

By: _____
EFREN CARRILLO, Chair
Board of Supervisors

Dated:

SONOMA COUNTY COUNSEL
Bruce D. Goldstein

By: _____
JENNIFER C. KLEIN, Deputy County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
JOHN P. DOERING, County Counsel

By: _____
DEIRDRE MCGRATH, Deputy
Attorneys for Defendant County of Stanislaus

Dated:

COUNTY OF SUTTER

By: _____
Title: _____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated:

COUNTY OF TRINITY

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF TULARE

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF VENTURA

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF YOLO

By: _____

ERIC MAY
Senior Deputy County Counsel

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNTY OF MONTEREY

Dated:

STEPHEN L. VAGNINI
Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder

Dated:

STEVEN F. MAUCK
Risk Manager

Dated:

By: _____
WILLIAM LITT
Deputy County Counsel

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Pursuant to stipulation, Judgment is entered in this action as follows:

1. All claims relating to tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, initially filed as case numbers 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS; 34-2015-00175621; and 34-2015-00175627, are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to the parties' settlement agreement. Each side shall bear its own costs and fees with respect to these claims and tax years.

2. Pursuant to this Court's December 16, 2015 order, judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on all claims relating to tax years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, initially filed as case numbers 34-2013-00138191; 34-2014-00171512; 34-2015-00175631; and 34-2015-00175609, without prejudice to Verizon's right to appeal the judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HON. EUGENE L. BALONON

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

This Agreement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement Agreement") is made effective (the "Effective Date"), by and between Verizon California Inc. ("Verizon California"), Verizon Communications Inc. ("Verizon Communications"), California State Board of Equalization ("SBE"), and the Counties of Calaveras, Fresno, Humboldt, Imperial, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Los Angeles, Madera, Marin, Mendocino, Merced, Mono, Monterey, Nevada, Orange, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Benito, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Sutter, Trinity, Tulare, Ventura, and Yolo (collectively, the "County Defendants"). Each entity listed in this paragraph is referred to herein separately as "Party," and are referred to herein collectively as the "Parties." There are no other parties to this Settlement Agreement.

WHEREAS, a dispute has arisen between the Parties arising from SBE's valuation of Verizon California's unitary property in tax years 2007 through 2014 (the "Dispute");

WHEREAS, Verizon California filed eight suits against SBE and the County Defendants (not all of whom are sued in each year) in the Sacramento Superior Court, Case Nos. 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS (2007 tax year), 34-2013-00138191 (consolidated 2008 and 2009 tax years); 34-2014-00171512 (2010 tax year); 34-2015-00175631 (2011 tax year); 34-2015-00175609 (2012 tax year); 34-2015-00175621 (2013 tax year); 34-2015-00175627 (2014 tax year) (all cases consolidated on July 6, 2015, under case number 34-2011-00116029) (the "Action");

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2015, the Court granted summary adjudication in favor of the Defendants on tax years 2008-2012 (case numbers 34-2013-00138191; 34-2014-00171512; 34-2015-00175631; and 34-2015-00175609);

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2016, a bench trial commenced on tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014;

WHEREAS the Parties wish to resolve their disputes as to tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, including all claims that were brought or could have been brought by Verizon California relating to the Dispute and/or the Action as to those years without prejudice to Verizon California's right to appeal the Court's December 16, 2015 summary adjudication order regarding tax years 2008 through 2012;

WHEREAS, Verizon California's corporate parent, Verizon Communications, no longer owns Verizon California, but continues to own the following state-assessed companies: Los Angeles SMSA Ltd. Partnership, Fresno MSA Limited Partnership, Cellco Partnership, California RSA #4 partnership (all doing business as Verizon Wireless), MCI Communications Services, Inc., MCI Metro Access Transmission

Services, Inc., Verizon Select Services, Inc., and Verizon Online, LLC (collectively, the "Verizon Affiliates").

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Tax Credit to Verizon Affiliates

Following execution of this Settlement Agreement, SBE shall cause the 2016 Board Roll of State Assessed Property for some or all of the Verizon Affiliates to be adjusted such that their 2016 tax burden is reduced by a total amount of \$8,000,000. SBE shall implement this adjustment in the ordinary course of business subsequent to its adoption of an initial 2016 Roll reflecting the unadjusted values of the Verizon Affiliates. Specifically, SBE shall cause a roll change to be issued pursuant to its authority under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 744 and 864 that will reduce the value of the state assessed property of the Verizon Affiliates in the various Defendant Counties by the amounts specified in Exhibit A for each county, resulting in a reduction of total tax due of \$8,000,000, which amount shall not be increased pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 744(c).

SBE represents and warrants that under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 744 (a) SBE has the authority to determine and implement this valuation adjustment without the approval of the Board of Supervisors of any County Defendant and (b) SBE has the authority to enter in this Settlement Agreement on behalf of any County Defendant that does not sign the Settlement Agreement. SBE further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless Verizon California and Verizon Communications from any claim brought by a County Defendant regarding this valuation adjustment.

Verizon Communications represents and warrants that the Verizon Affiliates have no objection to being third party beneficiaries under this Settlement Agreement for purposes of implementation of the valuation adjustment discussed in this paragraph.

2. Mutual Release

Each Party specifically releases, waives, and forever discharges the other Party, its successors in interest, its past, present and future assigns, officers, directors, board members, current and former employees, agents, subsidiaries, affiliates, and attorneys from any and all claims, demands, judgments, actions, liabilities, liens, indebtedness, audits, assessments, and causes of actions, of every kind and character, whether asserted or unasserted, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, whether civil or administrative in nature, in law or in equity, for or by reason of any matter, cause or thing whatsoever, that were asserted or could have been asserted relating to SBE's assessment of Verizon's unitary property for tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, excepting only the obligations created by and the representations, warranties and covenants made in this Settlement Agreement. This mutual release explicitly

includes any claim for interest, whether pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 744, subd. (c) or any other basis.

3. Waiver of Rights under California Civil Code Section 1542

It is understood and agreed that this Settlement Agreement is intended to cover and does cover all claims or possible claims related to the SBE's assessment of Verizon California's unitary property for tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014 whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, or hereafter discovered or ascertained, and all rights under Section 1542 of the Civil Code of California ("Section 1542") are hereby expressly waived with respect to those claims. Each Party acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Each Party expressly, knowingly, and intentionally waives and relinquishes any and all rights it has under Section 1542 with respect to Verizon California's unitary property for tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014, as well as under any other similar state or federal statute or common law principle.

4. Dismissal of Action and Entry of Judgment

Within five business days after execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties shall file a fully executed stipulation substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, or in such other form as required for approval by the Court to effectuate the dismissal, dismissing with prejudice the consolidated Action with respect to tax years 2007, 2013 and 2014 [case numbers 34-2011-00116029-CU-MC-GDS, 34-2015-00175621, and 34-2015-00175627], with each Party to bear its own fees and costs, and stipulating to entry of judgment for the Defendants in accordance with the Court's December 16, 2015 order granting summary adjudication for the years 2008-2012. The stipulation for judgment shall be as to the ministerial act of judgment only, and Verizon California shall retain all rights to pursue the 2008-2012 claims summarily adjudicated against it by the Court, including but not limited to all rights to appeal the Court's December 16, 2015 order granting summary adjudication for tax years 2008 through 2012.

5. No Admission of Liability

Each Party acknowledges and agrees that this Settlement Agreement is a compromise of disputed claims, and neither this Settlement Agreement, nor any consideration provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, shall be taken or

construed to be an admission or concession by any Party of any kind with respect to any fact, liability, or fault.

6. No Assignment of Claims to Third Parties

Each Party represents and warrants that it has not assigned or transferred, or purported to assign or transfer, to any person or entity any claim or cause of action released hereunder, and further agrees to indemnify the other Party against any liability, loss, damage, cost or expense, including reasonable attorneys' fees arising out of any breach of this representation and warranty. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the sale of Verizon California to Frontier Communications Inc. or its affiliates will not be considered an assignment of claims to third parties.

7. Parties' Costs and Fees

The Parties agree to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees for all matters related to the Action and/or the Dispute with respect to the tax years 2007, 2013, and 2014 and to the negotiation and consummation of this Settlement Agreement.

8. Entire Agreement

This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and there are no inducements, representations, warranties, or understandings that do not appear within the terms and provisions of this Settlement Agreement. This Settlement Agreement may be modified only by a writing signed by all Parties.

9. Authorization

Each individual signing this Settlement Agreement warrants and represents that he has the full authority and is duly authorized and empowered to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Party for which he signs. This representation includes a representation by the persons signing on behalf of the public entity Parties that all required public approvals of this Settlement Agreement have been obtained.

10. Governing Law and Forum

The parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall be construed, interpreted, governed, and applied in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to its rules with respect to conflicts of laws. Any suit hereunder will be brought solely in a Superior Court of California. Each party agrees to subject itself to the personal jurisdiction of such court and shall not contest such jurisdiction or the venue of such court or the convenience of the forum.

11. Severability and Construction

Except as provided herein, if any provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, invalid or unenforceable, such provision shall be modified or deleted, whichever change is less significant, so as to make the term, condition, or provision valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law and the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

This Settlement Agreement has been negotiated by the Parties and their respective counsel and shall be interpreted fairly in accordance with its terms and without any strict construction in favor of or against any Party.

12. Binding Effect.

This Settlement Agreement shall be binding on the Parties, their successors in interest, and present and future subsidiaries, assignees or acquirers, including any acquirer of substantially all of the assets of a Party.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the Parties have caused their duly authorized representatives to execute this Settlement Agreement to be effective as of the Effective Date.

VERIZON CALIFORNIA INC.

By (Sign)

Name (Print)

Title

Date

**CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF
EQUALIZATION**

By (Sign)

Name (Print)

Title

Date

Dated:

COUNTY OF ALPINE

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF CALAVERAS
MEGAN K. STEDTFELD
COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____
DAVID E. SIRIAS
Assistant County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF CALAVERAS

Dated:

COUNTY OF DEL NORTE

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF FRESNO

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

8-11-16

By: 

MARK LOVELACE

Chair, Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Dated:

COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF INYO

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF KERN

By: _____

THERESA A. GOLDNER, County Counsel

COUNTY OF KINGS

Erik D. Kaeding, Deputy
By (Sign)

County Counsel
Title

Date

Dated:

COUNTY OF LAKE

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
MARY C. WICKHAM
County Counsel

By: _____
ALBERT RAMSEYER
Principal Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MADERA COUNTY

Dated:

Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Dated:

Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Approved as to Legal Form:
COUNTY COUNSEL

Dated:

REGINA A. GARZA

Dated:

COUNTY OF MARIN

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

KATHARINE L. ELLIOT, County Counsel

By: _____

BRINA A. LATKIN, Deputy

Dated:

COUNTY OF MERCED

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF MONO

STACEY SIMON, Acting County Counsel

By: _____

STEPHEN M. KERINS, Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF MONO

Dated:

COUNTY OF NEVADA

By: _____

RICK HAFFEY, County Executive Officer
MARCIA SALTER, Auditor-Controller

Approved as to Form:

Dated:

RHETTA VANDER PLOEG
Deputy County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF ORANGE
LEON J. PAGE, County Counsel

By: _____
STEVEN C. MILLER, Senior Deputy Counsel
Attorney for Defendant, County of Orange

Dated:

COUNTY OF PLACER

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

By: _____
STEVEN PAGE
Manager, Risk and Loss Control Division

Approved as to Form:

Dated:

RICK HEYER
Supervising Deputy County Counsel
County of Sacramento

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN BENITO

By: _____
BARBARA THOMPSON
Acting Assistant County Counsel

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

By: _____
GREGORY C. DEVEREAUX
Chief Executive Officer

Date: _____

APPROVED FOR RELEASE:

By: _____
JEAN-RENE BASLE
County Counsel

Date: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

By: _____

ROBERT E. O'ROURKE
Deputy County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

RITA L. NEAL
COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____

ANN DUGGAN
Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

MICHAEL GHIZZONI, COUNTY COUNSEL

By: _____

MARIE A. LA SALA
Senior Deputy County Counsel
Attorneys for COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

By: _____

JAMES R. WILLIAMS
Acting County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

By: _____

BRUCE MCPHERSON
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Dated:

COUNTY OF SONOMA

By: _____
EFREN CARRILLO, Chair
Board of Supervisors

Dated:

SONOMA COUNTY COUNSEL
Bruce D. Goldstein

By: _____
JENNIFER C. KLEIN, Deputy County Counsel

Dated:

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
JOHN P. DOERING, County Counsel

By: _____
DEIRDRE MCGRATH, Deputy
Attorneys for Defendant County of Stanislaus

Dated:

COUNTY OF SUTTER

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF TRINITY

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF TULARE

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF VENTURA

By: _____

Title: _____

Dated:

COUNTY OF YOLO

By: _____

ERIC MAY

Senior Deputy County Counsel

COUNTY OF MONTEREY

Dated:

STEPHEN L. VAGNINI
Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder

Dated:

STEVEN F. MAUCK
Risk Manager

Charles J. McKee
County Counsel

Dated:

By: _____
WILLIAM LITT
Deputy County Counsel