

ATTACHMENT 5

CHRONOLOGY/SUMMARY OF EVENTS

PLN-12452 -CUP, Ecoyard, Inc. – Chronology/Summary of Events

- 5/31/2018 – deficiency letter sent to applicant
- 8/23/2019 – the assigned planner K Hilton apprises agent Nate Madsen that review of GIS data shows expansion and relocation of cultivation areas as well as timber conversion.
- 8/30/2019 – site visit by Planning staff and meeting w agent/attorney Nate Madsen at site. Timber clearance and other issues noted.
- 9/13/2019 – Deficiency letter sent to applicant describing, among other things, complaints of water deliveries and explaining that irrigation water must be sourced on-site.
- 11/14/2019 – NCRWQCB notice of violation issued to applicant
- 4/2/2020 – letter from John Cunningham, PE describing the onsite spring which feeds the pond is the source for 25% of irrigation needs.
- May 2020 – multiple complaints of water deliveries
- 5/20/2020 – the assigned planner asks again for documentation that meters have been installed on the pond and on the wells, as well as requesting well log information.
- 6/4/2020 – assigned planner advises agent N Madsen that meter records every 30 days are needed to demonstrate the wells are supplying sufficient water, and requesting more information on well production.
- 6/24/2020 – staff advises agent/attorney Nate Madsen of necessity of onsite water source
- 7/13/2020 – staff notified of complaint of additional water deliveries
- 8/4/2020 – complaint of water deliveries
- 9/3/2020 – a series of communications between staff and the agent re: possible discrepancies with the meter readings that have been provided.
- 9/16/2020 – agent Nate Madsen apprises staff that water deliveries are to fill the pond for fire protection only, and not used for irrigation.
- 9/25/2020 – County CEU staff visits site and coincidentally meets a water delivery truck entering the property; the driver states that he is filling the onsite tanks for cannabis irrigation, which he didn't know was not permitted.
- 10/16/2020 – IP revoked.
- 10/21/2020 – CEU staff advised the agent that the application is still in process but that no cultivation can occur until the water issue is resolved and a permit is issued.
- 10/23/2020 – Agent/attorney Nate Madsen's firm advises County their firm no longer represents the applicant.
- 11/12/2020 – a memo to the record was prepared by Planner K Hilton with a timeline of water hauling violations and warnings covering the period 8/8/2019 to 10/16/2020.
- April and May 2021 – water data provided by John Cunningham, PE, in support of the application.
- March 9 thru April 28 – series of emails between staff and the new agent Blair Gue re: the water issue and other application deficiencies
- 7/2/2021 – Planner D Johnston visits site and notes that water in the pond is very low, there is no apparent water in the spring that feeds the pond, and there is no cultivation occurring.

- 7/29/2021 – new agent/attorney Blair Gue provides several requested items, but adequate water supply is still not demonstrated.
- Sept & Dec 2021 – CRS and biol/botanical studies submitted by B Gue.
- 12/7/2021 – Staff apprises B Gue that sufficiency of onsite water supply has still not been demonstrated.
- 3/21/2022 – letter from John Cunningham, PE w data in support of water budget
- 3/24/2022 – email to B Gue and John Cunningham advising that the proposed water budget is inconclusive in terms of demonstrating sufficient on-site irrigation water.
- 6/8/2022 – staff contacts agent B Gue for status of the app and if there is any new information on the wells, etc, and agent advises she is no longer representing the applicant.
- 6/17/2022 – County staff sent email to applicant requesting that the applicant state his intentions whether to continue with the application or to withdraw it, and to respond within 30 days. No response.
- 7/20/2022 – staff email to applicant advising of options that the applicant may take, which are – demonstrate sufficient on-site water supply, which could include reducing the size of the project, pursue a RRR, or withdraw the application. Applicant was apprised that he needs to tell staff what his intentions are within 30 days or that staff will take the application to PC with a recommendation of denial, and that he would in this case still be responsible for staff costs and site remediation. No response.
- 8/10/2022 – multiple neighbor complaints of new traffic, water deliveries, cultivation, and generator use.