

From: Bass, Virginia
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2017 7:04 PM
To: 'Jeffrey Lytle'; Planning Clerk
Subject: RE: May 4 meeting

Jeff,

Thank you for sharing your concerns. I am curious if you were in attendance or watching it online? Sometimes things don't come across the same. Anyway, I am sure the staff appreciates your comments as well and will take them in to consideration. I agree it is preferable to do things right the first time (as right as possible) but I also recognize that conditions change and sometimes that means what was originally considered "right" may need tweaking.

Thanks again.

Virginia

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeffrey Lytle [jlcdb70@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, May 14, 2017 07:29 AM Pacific Standard Time
To: Planning Clerk
Cc: Sundberg, Ryan
Subject: May 4 meeting

Re: Willow Creek Emerald permit process

Complaints: Steve's explanation on complaint process was lacking key points - staff played the commission for fools.

Lighting: too many lights pointed into/onto/toward the property causes bounce back, much worse situation scientifically - staff played commission for fools.

Intersection/traffic: staff is not requiring enough infrastructure improvements for the amount of impacts this property has historically caused plus the new impacts to be.

Smell - should be a non issue since complainants generate smells too that the county turns blind eyes too, like animals, vehicles, equipment, garden plants, etc....ridiculous versus reality.

Who really believes any person who says a marijuana bud/flower smells bad.

More like people who are lying about smell/odor when in reality smell is just symbolism of the greater activities and uses of the property the complainant complains about.

Do the project right the first time.

Sincerely,

JL