

# COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

AGENDA ITEM NO.

For the meeting of: April 19, 2016

Date:

April 8, 2016

To:

Board of Supervisors

From:

Supervisor Rex Bohn

Subject:

Letter Opposing AB 2395 (Low) - Telecommunications: Replacement of Public Switched

Telephone Network.

<u>RECOMMENDATION(S)</u>: That the Board of Supervisors ratify authorization for the Chair to sign the letter opposing AB 2395.

SOURCE OF FUNDING: N/A

<u>DISCUSSION</u>: Assembly Member Low recently gutted and amended a bill to allow telephone companies to transition to Voice over IP and eliminate landline service after 2020. Since more than 30% of our county doesn't have access to Voice over IP and the bill provides no guarantee that telephone companies will invest in rural communities, the Board of Supervisors is being asked to oppose AB 2395 as amended.

Further, AB 2395, if enacted, would damage consumer protection, ignore a legacy social contract, and harm the public interest; especially in rural areas of the state where investment in new technologies has not been adequate because of a lack of profitability for providers who have been focusing their investments on the densely populated urban markets.

Public agencies have a responsibility to ensure that emergency systems stay at least as good as there are now, and not get worse as new technologies are adopted. No public official would ever take a public position to allow our 911 system to become degraded and leave citizens at risk, but that is what would happen if AB 2395 were enacted.

Prepared by	Kathy Hayes		_	Signature	HM	
REVIEW:				1		
Auditor	County Counsel	Personnel		Risk Manager	Other	
TYPE OF ITEM:				BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT		
XX Consent				Upon motion of Supervisor Fenne Seconded by Supervisor Bass		
Departmental Public Hearing				Ayes Sundberg, F	ennell, Loveloce, Bohn, Bass	
Other				Nays		
PREVIOUS ACTION/REFERRAL:				Abstain		
Board Order No.				Absent		
Meeting of:				and carried by those member recommended action contain	rs present, the Board hereby approves the ned in this Board report.	
			1	Dated: April 10	7,2016	

By: Solo Clark of the Board

FINANCIAL IMPACT: N/A

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT: N/A

ALTERNATIVES TO STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS: Board discretion.

ATTACHMENTS: AB 2395 Bill Text as Amended and Letter of Opposition.

#### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



## COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

825 5<sup>™</sup> STREET

EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501-1153 PHONE (707) 476-2390 FAX (707) 445-7299

The Honorable Mike Gatto Chair, Assembly Utilities and Commerce Committee Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Oppose AB 2395 (low) as amended

## **Dear Assembly Member Gatto:**

The Humboldt County Board of Supervisors wishes to express its strong opposition to **AB 2395** (Low) as amended, which would allow telephone companies to transition to IP enabled services and networks after January 1, 2020. We do not believe **AB 2395** does enough to assure that there will be adequate service in place for rural customers.

Our rural area has suffered from a lack of investment in dependable broadband service. We have deep concerns whether 911 and medical emergency technologies will be adequate in rural and remote areas without legacy landline service, in the timeline outlined by this legislation.

According to **AB 2395**, "The transition from 20th century traditional circuit-switched and other legacy telephone services to 21st century next-generation Internet Protocol (IP) networks and services is taking place at an extraordinary pace." This is simply not the case in rural California where more than 225,000 households still do not have broadband service.

As amended, the burden is on the consumer to begin the investigation ("within 30 days after receipt of a telephone corporation's notice of withdrawal of legacy voice service") of whether there is an adequate alternative and there are unrealistic timelines of the CPUC to conduct the investigation ("If the commission fails to complete its technical review within 120 days from receipt of notice, the telephone corporation will be conclusively presumed to have complied with the requirements of subdivisions (b) and (c)."). We have never known the commission to meet this quick of a deadline for any rural project!

We respectfully ask that your committee oppose AB 2395.

Sincerely,

Virginia Bass, Vice Chair

Viginia Bass

Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

ML:kh

cc Assembly Member Jim Wood Senator Mike McGuire

### AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 17, 2016

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE-2015-16 REGULAR SESSION

#### ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2395

### Introduced by Assembly Member Low

February 18, 2016

An act to amend Section 372 of add Section 711 to the Public Utilities Code, relating to electrical restructuring. telecommunications.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2395, as amended, Low. Electrical restructuring: cogeneration. Telecommunications: replacement of public switched telephone network. Under existing law, the Public Utilities Commission has regulatory authority over public utilities, including telephone corporations. Existing law, until January 1, 2020, prohibits the commission from regulating Voice over Internet Protocol and Internet Protocol enabled service (IP enabled service), as defined, except as required or delegated by federal law or expressly provided otherwise in statute.

This bill would require a telephone corporation that is transitioning to IP enabled services and networks to complete a customer education and outreach program explaining the transition from legacy public switched telephone network services regulated by the commission to IP enabled services, the benefits and advantages of IP enabled services, a description of the advanced services available to consumers, and information regarding the projected timeframes for the transition, including that withdrawal of any voice grade single-line telephone service will not take place prior to January 1, 2020. The bill would prohibit a telephone corporation from withdrawing any voice grade single-line telephone services without first giving prior notice to the commission certifying (1) that the telephone corporation has completed

the education and outreach program, and (2) that an alternative voice service is available for the affected customers in the affected area. The bill would require the commission to conduct a technical review to confirm that the replacement service has specified elements. Upon completion of these steps, but no sooner than January 1, 2020, the bill would authorize a telephone corporation to elect to discontinue legacy telephone service upon providing not less than 90-days' notice to the affected customers and to the commission, as specified. The bill would authorize a customer of the telephone corporation, within 30 days after receipt of the notice of withdrawal of legacy voice service to petition the commission to review the availability of the alternative service at the customer's location. The bill would require the commission to issue an order disposing of the petition not later than 60 days after its filing. The bill would authorize the commission, if it determines after investigation that no alternative service is available to that customer at the customer's location, to attempt to identify a willing provider of voice service to serve the customer, and if no willing provider is identified, to order the withdrawing telephone corporation to provide voice service to the customer for a period no longer than 12 months after withdrawal. The bill would require the commission to establish a universal connectivity program by September 1, 2019, to ensure that those customers for whom the commission has ordered the withdrawing telephone corporation to provide voice services for the 12-month period will continue to have voice service available after that period.

Under existing law, a violation of the Public Utilities Act or any order, decision, rule, direction, demand, or requirement of the commission is a crime.

Because the provisions of this bill are within the act and require action by the commission to implement its requirements, a violation of these provisions would impose a state-mandated local program by creating a new crime.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Under existing law, the Public Utilities Commission has regulatory authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. Provisions of the Public Utilities Act restructuring the electrical services

-3- AB 2395

industry state the policy of the state to encourage and support the development of cogeneration as an efficient, environmentally beneficial, competitive energy resource that will enhance the reliability of local generation supply, and promote local business growth.

This bill would make nonsubstantive changes to the policy of the state relative to cogeneration.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no-yes. State-mandated local program: no-yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the 2 following:

3 (a) California continues to be the world's advanced technology 4 leader, the center of the innovation economy, and a pioneer in 5 clean and sustainable technology. The state must adopt a strategy to build our digital infrastructure while retiring outdated 7 technology. The transition from 20th century traditional circuit-switched and other legacy telephone services to 21st century 9 next-generation Internet Protocol (IP) networks and services is 10 taking place at an extraordinary pace. A significant majority of 11 Californians have already transitioned to 12 communications services such as high-speed Internet, Voice over 13 Internet Protocol (VoIP), and mobile telephony services.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) Between 1999 and 2015, California witnessed an estimated 85 percent decline in landlines providing legacy telephone services and relying on dated technology. At the same time, consumer adoption of advanced services over IP-based networks has continued to grow. Californians have quickly adopted new technologies to communicate. More than 9 out of 10 Californians use a smartphone or other mobile devices, 86 percent use the Internet, and there are over 5.7 million VoIP subscriptions. As of 2014, approximately 6 percent of Californians resided in households with only a landline, a 44 percent decline from 2010.

(c) So many California consumers have made this transition so quickly because IP-based services offer greater functionality than legacy phone service. The gap will only widen with the continuing integration of IP networks with cloud computing and the Internet of Things. The policy of the state is to help all Californians

transition to advanced and clean technologies and services so that

AB 2395 —4—

everyone, including low-income, senior, and rural communities, can benefit from and participate fully in 21st century modern life.

- (d) The legacy telephone network is outdated, underutilized, and carbon-unfriendly when compared to the IP network. Vital economic, educational, health, and civic opportunities, including online learning, telemedicine, remote working, e-government services, and public safety, are not optimized on the outdated network. The transition from older, dated technologies to newer, more advanced technologies is nearly complete, and at some point in the not-too-distant future it will no longer be economically viable or environmentally sound to maintain legacy networks and services. The consumer demand will not be there, the economics will not support it, and the associated environmental burden will be disproportionate to its long past benefits.
- (e) Recent studies show that transitioning from a legacy switched network to an all IP network can reduce energy costs by as much as 70 percent, reduce water use for cooling by as much as 70 percent, and reduce emissions of greenhouse gases by as much as 40 percent. IP services themselves provide even further benefits, including reduced fuel and electricity use through smart logistics and telematics for efficient traffic and route management, and automated monitoring of energy use related to lighting and climate control. IP-based technologies, including remote water leakage detection and control and smart irrigation solutions for agriculture, may also serve to enable efficient use of water by consumers.
- (f) (1) This act will provide a path for the telecommunications industry to make significant contributions toward the state's goals for energy use and emissions of greenhouse gases, as set forth in the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code) and the Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (Chapter 547 of the Statutes of 2015).
- (2) This act will establish state policy for a clearly communicated, planned, and orderly transition from outdated technology to cleaner advanced technologies, so that continuity of service for consumers and businesses is ensured, while maintaining safeguards to preserve universal connectivity.
- (3) This act will ensure that the advanced services replacing legacy services provide quality voice service and access to

\_5\_ AB 2395

emergency communications as part of a 21st century policy framework.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- (4) This act will ensure that advanced services are available to replace legacy services before the transition, so that all Californians are able to benefit from the opportunities presented by advanced technologies and services.
- 7 SEC. 2. Section 711 is added to the Public Utilities Code, to 8 read:
  - 711. (a) A telephone corporation transitioning to IP-enabled services and networks shall complete a customer education and outreach program explaining the IP transition, its benefits and advantages, including the environmental benefits and advantages, and a description of the advanced services available to consumers. The customer education and outreach program shall also include information regarding the projected timeframes for the transition, including the fact that the withdrawal of any voice grade single-line telephone service will not take place prior to January 1, 2020.
  - (b) A telephone corporation planning to discontinue any voice grade single-line telephone service shall first give prior notice to the commission certifying both of the following:
- 21 (1) The telephone corporation has completed the education and outreach program prescribed in subdivision (a).
  - (2) An alternative voice service is available for the affected customers in the affected area.
  - (c) Upon receipt of the notice to withdraw, the commission shall conduct a technical review to confirm that the alternative service has all of the following elements:
  - (1) Voice grade access to the public switched telephone network or its successor.
    - (2) Real-time, two-way voice communications.
- 31 (3) Access for end users of those services to the local emergency 32 telephone systems described in the Warren-911-Emergency 33 Assistance Act (Article 6 (commencing with Section 53100) of 34 Chapter 1 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government 35 Code), and where available, enhanced 911 access.
- (4) Alternative services that require a residential power supply
   to operate shall also provide backup-battery capability consistent
   with the standard established by the Federal Communications
   Commission.

**AB 2395** 

(d) The commission's technical review shall be limited to the determination of whether the alternative service has the elements set forth in subdivision (c) and shall be completed within 120 days from receipt of notice from the telephone corporation pursuant to subdivision (b). If the commission fails to complete its technical review within 120 days from receipt of notice, the telephone corporation will be conclusively presumed to have complied with the requirements of subdivisions (b) and (c).

(e) Upon completion of the requirements of subdivisions (b). (c), and (d) for voice grade single-line services, but no sooner than January 1, 2020, a telephone corporation may elect to discontinue any legacy telephone service, upon giving no less than 90-days' prior notice to the affected customers and to the commission. If the discontinuance of legacy telephone service includes voice grade single-line services, the notice shall include information regarding the availability of an alternative service as verified by the commission in the technical review, how to petition the commission for review of the availability of the alternative service at the customer's location, and any environmental benefit that will come with the discontinuance of legacy services and the migration to alternative services. During the notice period, the telephone corporation shall continue to provide the legacy telephone service to the affected customers, except a customer that disconnects or changes the features of the service, but shall have no obligation to provide the legacy telephone service to any new customers in the affected area.

(f) Notwithstanding Section 710, within 30 days after receipt of a telephone corporation's notice of withdrawal of legacy voice service, a customer may petition the commission to review the availability of the alternative service at the customer's location. The commission shall issue an order disposing of the petition not later than 60 days after the filing of the petition. If the commission determines after an investigation that no alternative service is available to the customer at the customer's location, the commission shall attempt to identify a willing provider of voice service to serve the customer. If no willing provider is identified, the commission may order the withdrawing telephone corporation to provide voice service to the customer at the customer's location for a period no longer than 12 months after withdrawal. The willing provider or the withdrawing telephone corporation may utilize

-7- AB 2395

any technology or service arrangement to provide the voice services as long as it meets the requirements of subdivision (c).

- (g) By September 1, 2019, the commission shall establish a universal connectivity program to ensure that those customers for whom the commission has ordered the withdrawing telephone corporation to provide voice services for the 12-month period in subdivision (f) will continue to receive voice service.
- (h) Nothing in this section grants the commission jurisdiction or control over an alternative service except as specifically set forth in this section.
- (i) Nothing in this section affects a telephone corporation's ability to withdraw services under any other law.
- SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

SECTION 1. Section 372 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

- 372. (a) It is the policy of the state to encourage and support the development of cogeneration as an efficient, environmentally beneficial, competitive energy resource that will enhance the reliability of local generation supply, and promote local business growth. Subject to the specific conditions provided in this section, the commission shall determine the applicability to customers of uneconomic costs as specified in Sections 367, 368, 375, and 376. Consistent with this state policy, the commission shall provide that these costs shall not apply to any of the following:
- (1) To load served onsite or under an over-the-fence arrangement by a nonmobile self-cogeneration or cogeneration facility that was operational on or before December 20, 1995, or by increases in the capacity of a facility to the extent that the increased capacity was constructed by an entity holding an ownership interest in or operating the facility and does not exceed 120 percent of the installed capacity as of December 20, 1995, provided that before June 30, 2000, the costs shall apply to over-the-fence arrangements

AB 2395 —8—

1 entered into after December 20, 1995, between unaffiliated parties.
2 For the purposes of this subdivision, "affiliated" means a person
3 or entity that directly, or indirectly through one or more
4 intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common
5 control with another specified entity. "Control" means either of
6 the following:

- (A) The possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or to cause the direction of the management or policies of a person or entity, whether through an ownership, beneficial, contractual, or equitable interest.
- (B) Direct or indirect ownership of at least 25 percent of an entity, whether through an ownership, beneficial, or equitable interest.
- (2) To load served by onsite or under an over-the-fence arrangement by a nonmobile self-eogeneration or cogeneration facility for which the customer was committed to construction as of December 20, 1995, provided that the facility was substantially operational on or before January 1, 1998, or by increases in the capacity of a facility to the extent that the increased capacity was constructed by an entity holding an ownership interest in or operating the facility and does not exceed 120 percent of the installed capacity as of January 1, 1998, provided that before June 30, 2000, the costs shall apply to over-the-fence arrangements entered into after December 20, 1995, between unaffiliated parties.
- (3) To load served by existing, new, or portable emergency generation equipment used to serve the customer's load requirements during periods when utility service is unavailable, provided the emergency generation is not operated in parallel with the integrated electric grid, except on a momentary parallel basis.
- (4) After June 30, 2000, to a load served onsite or under an over-the-fence arrangement by a nonmobile self-eogeneration or eogeneration facility.
- (b) Further, consistent with state policy, with respect to self-cogeneration or cogeneration deferral agreements, the commission shall do the following:
- (1) Provide that a utility shall execute a final self-cogeneration or cogeneration deferral agreement with a customer that, on or before December 20, 1995, had executed a letter of intent (or similar documentation) to enter into the agreement with the utility, provided that the final agreement shall be consistent with the terms

**-9**-**AB 2395** 

and conditions set forth in the letter of intent and the commission shall review and approve the final agreement.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

38

- (2) Provide that a customer that holds a self-eogeneration or eogeneration deferral agreement that was in place on or before December 20, 1995, or that was executed pursuant to paragraph (1) in the event the agreement expires, or is terminated, may do any of the following:
- (A) Continue through December 31, 2001, to receive utility service at the rate and under terms and conditions applicable to the customer under the deferral agreement that, as executed, includes an allocation of uneconomic costs consistent with subdivision (c) of Section 367.
- (B) Engage in a direct transaction for the purchase of electricity and pay uneconomic costs consistent with Sections 367, 368, 375, and 376.
- (C) Construct a self-eogeneration or cogeneration facility of approximately the same capacity as the facility previously deferred, provided that the costs provided in Sections 367, 368, 375, and 376 shall apply consistent with subdivision (c) of Section 367, unless otherwise authorized by the commission pursuant to subdivision (c).
- (3) Subject to the firewall described in subdivision (c) of Section 367, provide that the ratemaking treatment for self-eogeneration or cogeneration deferral agreements executed before December 20, 1995, or executed pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be consistent with the ratemaking treatment for the contracts approved before January 1995.
- (e) The commission shall authorize, within 60 days of the receipt of a joint application from the serving utility and one or more interested parties, applicability conditions as follows:
- (1) The costs identified in Sections 367, 368, 375, and 376 shall not, before June 30, 2000, apply to load served onsite by a nonmobile self-eogeneration or eogeneration facility that became operational on or after December 20, 1995.
- (2) The costs identified in Sections 367, 368, 375, and 376 shall 36 not, before June 30, 2000, apply to a load served under over-the-fence arrangements entered into after December 20, 1995. between unaffiliated entities.

AB 2395 — 10 —

(d) For the purposes of this subdivision, all onsite or over-the-fence arrangements shall be consistent with Section 218 as it existed on December 20, 1995.

- (c) To facilitate the development of new microcogeneration applications, electrical corporations may apply to the commission for a financing order to finance the transition costs to be recovered from customers employing the applications.
- (f) To encourage the continued development, installation, and interconnection of clean and efficient self-generation and cogeneration resources, to improve system reliability for consumers by retaining existing generation and encouraging new generation to connect to the electric grid, and to increase self-sufficiency of consumers of electricity through the deployment of self-generation and cogeneration, both of the following shall occur:
- (1) The commission and the Electricity Oversight Board shall determine if a policy or action undertaken by the Independent System Operator, directly or indirectly, unreasonably discourages the connection of existing self-generation or cogeneration or new self-generation or cogeneration to the grid.
- (2) If the commission and the Electricity Oversight Board find that a policy or action of the Independent System Operator unreasonably discourages the connection of existing self-generation or cogeneration or new self-generation or cogeneration to the grid, the commission and the Electricity Oversight Board shall undertake all necessary efforts to revise, mitigate, or eliminate that policy or action of the Independent System Operator.