

ATTACHMENT F



COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT
PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT
CODE ENFORCEMENT

3015 H Street • Eureka CA 95501
Phone: (707) 476-2429 • Fax: (707) 268-3792

April 7, 2021

GLEND A M. RASELLE
2225 WALKER AV
MCKINLEYVILLE, CA 95519

RE: 2225 WALKER AVE, MCKINLEYVILLE, CA 95519 APN: 510-281-002-000

Dear Glenda Raselle,

The Code Enforcement Unit received a complaint on your above-referenced parcel for violations of the Humboldt County Code involving:

- ***371-2 Maintaining a Junkyard***
- ***521-4 Improper Storage and Removal of Solid waste***
- ***331-28 Construction of Building/Structure in Violation of Building, Plumbing and/or Electrical Codes***
- ***314-81.1 Use of a Recreational Vehicles or Mobile Home as a Residence***
- ***354-1 Junk and/or Inoperable Vehicles***
- ***314-43.3 Animal Keeping***

I will need to inspect the property in order to refute or confirm these allegations. Consequently, I am writing you seeking consent to inspect the parcel. Please contact me at (707) 441-2633, or by email at dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us to schedule an inspection.

As you may be aware, you have the right to refuse my request for consent to inspect your parcel. If I do not hear from you by April 19, 2021, I will assume that you have refused my request for consent.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this request or the code enforcement process in general. All Humboldt County codes can be found at humboldt.county.codes/Code

Sincerely,

Danielle Soto
Code compliance Officer II

From: [Soto, Danielle](#)
To: [Glenda Rasella](#)
Subject: RE: Delay of inspection til I receive my request for copy or copies of complaints
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:37:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[NtA w Lacys POS.pdf](#)

Glenda,

We are willing to reschedule the inspection on 4/19/2021 until you get your Public Records Request. You will have one week (7 days) after receiving your Public Records Request to schedule an inspection otherwise we will pursue other enforcement actions. As you were told on Friday 4/09/2021 the Public Records Request is done through another department who is currently working on your Public Records Request. The Code Enforcement Unit does not handle Public Records processing or requests. As for the request as to why we don't give a copy of the complaint with the letter to inspection is due to it not being our practice. Your Public Records Request will give you the day a complaint came in and what the complaint is about but you will not receive any complainant information from Code Enforcement unless ordered by a court to reveal the information. Our practice is we get a complaint then we conduct a drive-by of the property and take photo's of what we can see from the public right of way than we are for an inspection then we will post a Notice to Abate Nuisance/ Notice of Violation. I do want to advise you your property already has already been posted with a Notice to Abate back in 2017. There is no time limit on that Notice from 2017. I have attached that document to this email.

Please contact me with any further questions.



Danielle Soto

Code Compliance Officer II

[Planning and Building Department](#)

3015 H Street | Eureka, CA 95501

Phone: 707-476-2429

Email: dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us

From: Glenda Rasella <glendarasella500@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:26 PM
To: Soto, Danielle <dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Delay of inspection til I recieve my request for copy or copies of complaints

I am requesting a delay in the inspection of code enforcement for the alleged violations on my property at 2225 Walker Ave Mc Kinleyville til I recieve my document request for copies on the complaints and I have two days to make a decision on proceeding with inspection You stated that these were complaints that were received beginning this year 2021 that causes the letter of April 7 2021 on April 7 2021. You had stated that on our discussion on April 7th that the inspection was to be made by the 19th of april. So I agreed at that time to April 19 2021 at two o'clock. I have reread

the letter and it states that "If I do not hear from you by April 19,2021 I will assume that you have refused my request for content." There was a discussion on April 7 with the other woman who was behind the counter with you that you needed to have a discussion with another person on the release of the copies of the complaints . But on April 12 2021 you have stated that my document request will not be denied and that someone was processing the request which surprised me since it your assigned case. Waiting to hear that my request is ready to be picked up. Will be calling .I also requested a copy of code enforcement policies and procedures because of my interest in why a copy of the complaints was not served with the letter because you stated that was not a policy and procedure for humboldt county. You stayed that you would have talk your superior about releasing that copy of information I stated that I was willing to make a document request but you that shouldn't be necessary. IF YOU CANT REACH ME BY PHONE (POOR RECEPTION HERE) PLEASE JUST EMAIL ME LOOKING TO HEAR FROM YOU SOON.

From: [Soto, Danielle](#)
To: [Glenda Rasella](#)
Subject: RE: Delay of inspection til I recieve my request for copy or copies of compliants
Date: Friday, April 16, 2021 9:34:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good Morning Glenda,

I tried calling you this morning but your phone went straight to voicemail and your mailbox is full so I am unable to leave a message. I just heard from the person doing your Public Records Request and it is done. He wanted me to advise you that if you access it through nextrequest.com it is free. If you pick it up from the planning dept. is will be \$22.60 for a paper copy.

Your next request number is: [21-302](#).



Danielle Soto

Code Compliance Officer II

[Planning and Building Department](#)

3015 H Street | Eureka, CA 95501

Phone: 707-476-2429

Email: dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us

From: Glenda Rasella <glendarasella500@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:26 PM
To: Soto, Danielle <dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Delay of inspection til I recieve my request for copy or copies of compliants

I am requesting a delay in the inspection of code enforcement for the alleged violations on my property at 2225 Walker Ave Mc Kinleyville til I recieve my document request for copies on the complaints and I have two days to make a decision on proceeding with inspection You stated that these were complaints that were received beginning this year 2021 that causes the letter of April 7 2021 on April 7 2021. You had stated that on our discussion on April 7th that the inspection was to be made by the 19th of april. So I agreed at that time to April 19 2021 at two o'clock .I have reread the letter and it states that "If I do not hear from you by April 19,2021 I will assume that you have refused my request for content." There was a discussion on April 7 with the other woman who was behind the counter with you that you needed to have a discussion with another person on the release of the copies of the complaints . But on April 12 2021 you have stated that my document request will not be denied and that someone was processing the request which surprised me since it your assigned case. Waiting to hear that my request is ready to be picked up. Will be calling .I also requested a copy of code enforcement policies and procedures because of my interest in why a copy of the complaints was not served with the letter because you stated that was not a policy and procedure for humboldt county. You stayed that you would have talk your superior about releasing that copy of information I stated that I was willing to make a document request but you that shouldn't be necessary. IF YOU CANT REACH ME BY PHONE (POOR RECEPTION HERE) PLEASE

JUST EMAIL ME LOOKING TO HEAR FROM YOU SOON.

From: [Soto, Danielle](#)
To: [Glenda Rasella](#)
Subject: RE: Inspection of 2225 walker ave.
Date: Monday, April 26, 2021 4:56:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Screenshot 2021-04-26 164814.png](#)

Glenda,

Let me be clear, your case has never been closed. The Building code violations, maintaining a junkyard, improper storage and removal of solid waste, junk and or inoperable vehicle, use of a recreational vehicle or mobile home as a residence, and animal keeping violation that are listed on the Notice of Violation back in 2017 are still present and therefor still need to be corrected or abated. I am still getting emails and phone calls for the same violations that date back to 2011 all of which have been listed above. Let me also remind you that you had a partial inspection back in 2019 were all the violations were still occurring due to you not allowing the code inspector to do a full inspection of your property which kept your case active. I have your case dating back to 2011 and another case with similar violations dating back to 2001. Your 2001 case is closed and has been combined with your 2011 case. I would also like to point out that just because a case is transferred to another Officer does not mean the case gets closed and new one is opened. The case will remain open until the violations are corrected no matter the date the case was first opened As for your question about the Environmental Health Officer for the inspection; Environmental Health Department handles all vector control complainants. Since the biggest complainant I have been receiving is about rats the Environmental Health Officer would be the one to make the determination on if the rodent problem is human cause or not. As for the other questions about the codes please refer to your Notice of Violation that lists all the codes as well as the corrective actions on it, I have also attached a screenshot of that section. With that said since you are refusing my request for an inspection I will be seeking an inspection warrant for your property.



Danielle Soto
Code Compliance Officer II
[Planning and Building Department](#)
3015 H Street | Eureka, CA 95501
Phone: 707-476-2429
Email: dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us

From: Glenda Rasella <glendarasella500@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 11:51 PM
To: Soto, Danielle <dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us>
Subject: Inspection of 2225 walker ave.

I wish to thank the thee of you for trying to get my document request.even though it took the last lady of you three to do it on the computer and she said it would public buy printing on the web on the computer but she would return to make it private again afterwards .Since all of us could not get

it to download to my phone.also we split the cost in half.

But I will inform you that I am refusing your request to inspect the property for the complaints that you said on April 7 2021 that the complaints were from this year on a different date later you stated that the complaints where from 2017 (letter of abatement) the 2017 contract does state a different time table for abating the problems.please refer to your copy because my copy is a little worn it would I believe the last document of the 2017 case which I believe was closed because of the length of time from early 2018 til now (over 3 years without any further notice) that you wanted to do a FULL property search not the the HALF one done previously (2018) by the previous code officer .You stated that officer is now on two high profile cases so my case was assigned to you . You asked for an inspection and we agreed on April 19 at 2 PM. I requested a stay for document request and it was granted for 7days after I received the documents til April 23 2021. When I was at planning and building getting my documents you stated that you would be bring a health

Inspector also but your letter of April 7 2021 said that YOU would be making the inspection and decision on whether the complaints were valid or not. I am requesting why there is a change status of the inspection since you stated that you were going by the 2017 case why is there a change without notice to me? Have you recieved a new complaint that would require a health inspector to be present. Also what trailer and what section in the animal keepng code since there is no sub section indicated You stated that there is NO STATUTE OF LIMITATION ON CODE ENFORCEMENT CASES I can not find that code p M I section/s I believe it would not cover all the complaints since some of them would changed in three years. Therefore I refuse to grant an inspection based on the above statements.

THERE ARE TOO MANY CHANGES IN THIS INSOECTION IN TOO SHORT OF TIME IF THIS TO CLOSE AN OLD CASE.

Therefore I again state this inspection is not valid for 2017 complaint because the length of time

From: [Soto, Danielle](#)
To: [Glenda Rasella](#)
Subject: RE: Inspection
Date: Thursday, April 29, 2021 3:43:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Please refer to my previous email. We will be seeking an inspection Warrant as was stated in my previous email. All Violations and code sections are listed on the Notice To Abate Nuisance that was in your Public Records Request as well as on the letter to inspect that was posted on your gate on April 7th, 2021.



Danielle Soto

Code Compliance Officer II

[Planning and Building Department](#)

3015 H Street | Eureka, CA 95501

Phone: 707-476-2429

Email: dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us

From: Glenda Rasella <glendarasella500@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2021 1:44 AM

To: Soto, Danielle <dsoto@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Inspection

I being a janitor and farm worker most of my working life I may not get things right. I do have a choice to let you inspect or not per your letter April 7 2021
Is that not true?? You stated on April 7 2021 that that letter the complaints were from this year is that not correct? Did you state that YOU would be doing the inspection and determining if there were violations or not did you make that statement in the letter? So when the next week when I came in because I could not get the documents download on to my phone didn't the three of you who work there tried to get the documents on my phone but couldn't is that not true? In the end did not the third lady doing it on the office computer and we agreed to split the cost is that not correct? Then you stated that the health inspector would be coming along with you is that not correct? You didn't state in your letter of April 7 2021 that second person was coming with you to inspect my property is that not correct?? You stated that complaints were from 2017 and that case was still open is that not correct? Then you stated that was an open case from 2011 and you combining it with the 2001 case. How is possible to combine complaints from 2021, 2017, 2011, and 2001? You have not provided the code sections for no statute of limitations on code enforcement's case, no statute of limitation for abatement of a nuisance, no sections allowing the combining complaints that are 3 plus years apart (2017 to 2021), 5 plus years apart (2011 to 2017), 10 plus years apart (2001 to

2011) and no sections for combining a closed case to an open or active case.

I HEREBY REQUEST FROM YOU TO FURNISH THOSE SECTIONS PLEASE.

ALSO I WOULD TO RESPOND TO THE STATEMENTS THAT I WOULD NOT LET THE CODE

OFFICER FINISH THE INSPECTION OF MY PROPERTY(MY COMPLAINT TO HER WAS THAT SHE SAID THAT THE ABATEMENT LETTER WAS . EQUAL TO THE NOTARIZED CONTACT THAT I HAD SIGNED . I STATED I DID NOT BELIEVE THAT WAS TRUE AND THERE WERE SEVERAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THEM . I STATED I WANTED TO SEE THE CONTACT .WHICH SHE STATED SHE DIDNT HAVE WITH HER. SHE ENDED THE INSPECTION AND SENT ME A COPY OF THE CONTRACT SEVERAL DAYS LATER. I HAVE RECIEVED NO FURTHER CONTACT NO TEXTS , NO EMAILS, NO VOICE MAILS, NO LETTERS, NO PHONE CALLS , NO OTHER COPIES OF THE ABATEMENT LETTER. NO OTHER NOTRICED DOCUMENTS , NO OTHER LEGAL NOTICES . SHE DID NOT PROCEED ON THE CONTRACT TIME LINE TABLE SO I ASSUMED THAT SHE HAD SEEN ALL THE AREAS OF VIOLATIONS THAT THEY WERE ABATED. OR THE VIOLATIONS ASSIGNED TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS I ASSUMED AFTER SEVERAL YEARS WITH NO CONTACT FROM ANYONE THAT THE CASE WAS CLOSED. SHE HAS HAD YEARS TO PROCEED WITH THE CASE BUT SHE HAS CHOSE NOT TO DO SO. PERHAPS SOMEBODY SHOULD ASK HER WHY SHE DIDNT BECAUSE I DID NOTHING TO STOP HER FROM GETTING A WARRENT OR OTHER MEANS TO PROCEED