



---

Dyerville loop road myers flat cannabis public hearing

---

From dyerville loop <dyervilleloop@gmail.com>

Date Tue 5/12/2026 11:13 AM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

**Caution:** This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

sorry we did not get this in on time hoping you can still include it or share it have no idea what the agenda item # is . Thanks CJ

After being priced out of single-family homes throughout the greater Humboldt Bay area, finding property on Dyerville Loop Road felt like a dream. Within one year, the property adjacent to ours was permitted for a pond and a large commercial cannabis operation. The following year, another large commercial cannabis operation was permitted one property away on the opposite side of us.

Realizing there were no requirements for these commercial cannabis operations to submit water use records, I began keeping records of our own well usage. Every year since I started documenting it, our well has run later into the season and produced less water. This year, we have had nothing — zero water all year.

Our well and pump house are located within 100 feet of a permitted cannabis growers well and pump house, the growers pump house is 10 ft from the shared property line. To my knowledge, a drawdown test has never been performed, even though one is required.

The permitted commercial cannabis growers on Dyerville Loop Ridge are fully aware that there is not enough water to sustain these operations. They are also aware that there appears to be little to no meaningful oversight from the county, the state, or the Water Board. Throughout the last two summers, water was being delivered to these cannabis properties at all hours of the day and night.

Because of this lack of oversight, we are now in the same position as the cannabis operations surrounding our property: we will be ordering water this month for the first time in this property's history.

Moving forward, the county should never consider rezoning rural residential neighborhoods into unclassified or commercial zones for the benefit of cannabis businesses. This is unfair to the residents and families who pay taxes, occupy their homes year-round, and rely on these limited water resources. Humboldt County is making water wars a reality.

When Dyerville Loop Ridge runs out of water, will cannabis permits be revoked? Who will make those determinations? The Water Board? How would any governing body even know if the ridge has run out of water? Are officials relying on the cannabis growers themselves to report that they are out of water?

And when families are forced to leave Dyerville Loop Ridge because the water table has collapsed, will anyone be held accountable for the number of cannabis permits allowed on this watershed?



---

**indexing information to the record**

---

From Magret Draper <maggi00jd@gmail.com>

Date Tue 5/12/2026 3:37 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

**Caution:** This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Humboldt Board Of Supervisors

Re: County's Granted Development Rights: Parcel 2, Map 2415 (Book 21 of Parcel Maps, Pages 90 and 91)

Dear Chairman Wilson and Members of the Board:

This letter is *not* about the Arcata Cell Tower CUP application that was withdrawn today. We are writing simply to ask that the County's granted development rights on this parcel **be part of the Board's permanent record for any future action affecting APN 503-092-019.**

As detailed in our May 8, 2026 letter (incorporated herein by reference along with all public comments in PLN-2025-19343), the County was granted all non-timber development rights on this parcel in 1986 as consideration for a Subdivision (see Map, Book 21 of Parcel Maps, Pages 90 and 91), formalized by a recorded Conveyance and Agreement (Vol. 1800, Pg. 1136 et seq) and Notice of Development Plan (Vol. 1800, p. 1142 et seq, both running with the land and never released.

These rights are a public asset. Any reconveyance would be a disposition of County property under Government Code § 25303, requiring Board action and satisfaction of the Agreement's conditions — including community sewer service, which remains unmet. The policy behind that condition — preventing premature conversion of timberland and protecting watershed integrity — is more relevant today than in 1986.

We respectfully ask that this letter be indexed to APN 503-092-019 in the Board's general correspondence record. We are grateful for the Board's attention and happy to answer any questions.

Please confirm that this is in your record. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kate Lancaster | Margaret Draper, Esq.  
President | Vice President  
Friends of Grotzman Creek Watershed