

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 742**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Member Jackson  
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Kalra)**

February 13, 2023

---

---

An act to add Section 13653 to the Penal Code, relating to law enforcement.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 742, as introduced, Jackson. Law enforcement: police canines.

Existing law authorizes a peace officer to use reasonable force to effect the arrest, to prevent escape, or to overcome resistance of an individual. Existing law requires law enforcement agencies to maintain a policy on the use of force.

Existing law prohibits the use of kinetic energy projectiles or chemical agents by any law enforcement agency to disperse any assembly, protest, or demonstration, except in compliance with specified standards.

This bill would prohibit the use of an unleashed police canine by law enforcement to apprehend a person, and any use of a police canine for crowd control. The bill would prohibit law enforcement agencies from authorizing any use or training of a police canine that is inconsistent with this bill.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares the following:

1 (a) The use of police canines has been a mainstay in the constant  
 2 dehumanizing, cruel abuse of Black Americans and people of color  
 3 in this country. Be it in response to the Black Lives Matter protests  
 4 over the murder of George Floyd, during the Los Angeles Race  
 5 Riots and the Civil Rights Movement, or by slave catchers, police  
 6 canines are a carryover from a dark past that is not often discussed.

7 (b) The use of police canines has serious consequences. Research  
 8 on this topic found that canine bites resulted in hospital visits 67.5  
 9 percent of the time, while other uses of force, including batons  
 10 and tasers, resulted in hospital visits 22 percent of the time or less.  
 11 Research has also found cases of permanent physical disfigurement  
 12 and injuries to bones, blood vessels, nerves, breasts, testicles, faces,  
 13 noses, and eyes, sometimes causing blindness, as a result of canine  
 14 bites. Based on these findings, the researchers stated that canine  
 15 bites should be considered a level of force immediately below  
 16 deadly force. They equated a police canine bite to an officer  
 17 swinging a baton with three-centimeter spikes attached.

18 (c) The use of police canines mirrors other biases in use of force  
 19 by police. Per the Department of Justice Use of Force data from  
 20 2016 to 2019, inclusive, Black people are 3.5 times more likely  
 21 than any other group to be subjected to use of force due to police  
 22 canine use, with Hispanic people being the second most likely  
 23 compared to cases involving White people at six per one million  
 24 people.

25 SEC. 2. Section 13653 is added to the Penal Code, to read:

26 13653. (a) It is the intent of the Legislature to prevent the use  
 27 of police canines for the purpose of arrest, apprehension, or any  
 28 form of crowd control.

29 (b) A peace officer shall not use an unleashed police canine to  
 30 arrest or apprehend a person.

31 (c) A police canine shall not be used for crowd control at any  
 32 assembly, protest, or demonstration.

33 (d) A police canine shall not be used in any circumstance to  
 34 bite.

35 (e) A law enforcement agency shall not authorize any use or  
 36 training of a police canine that is inconsistent with this section.

37 (f) This section shall not be interpreted as to prevent the use of  
 38 police canines by law enforcement for purposes of search and

- 1 rescue, explosives detection, and narcotics detection that do not
- 2 involve biting.

O