HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

PART A: Part A may be completed by the applicant						
Applicant Name: Lauren Nance APN: 208-241-018						
Planning & Building Department Case/File No.: 12162						
Road Name: Ridge Rd., Mad River (complete a separate form for each road)						
From Road (Cross street): .1 miles to Salver-Mad River Rd.						
To Road (Cross street): 6 Miles on Salyer-Mad River Rd. To HWY 36						
Length of road segment: miles miles Date Inspected: 9/15/18						
Road is maintained by: County Other Private						
(State, Forest Service, National Park, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal, e Check one of the following:						
Box 1 The entire road segment is developed to Category 4 road standards (20 feet wide) or better. If checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant						
Box 2 The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If check then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.						
An equivalent road category 4 standard is defined as a roadway that is generally 20 feet in width, but has pinch points which narrow the road. Pinch points include, but are not limited to one-lane bridges, trees, large rock outcroppings, culverts, etc. Pinch points must provide visibility where a driver can see oncoming vehicles through the pinch point which allows the oncoming vehicle to stop and wait in a 20 foot wide section of the road for the other vehicle to pass.						
Box 3 The entire road segment is not developed to the equivalent of road category 4 or better. The romay or may not be able to accommodate the proposed use and further evaluation is necessary. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California.						
The statements in PART A are true and correct and have been made by me after personally inspecting and measuring the road. A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART A is attached.						
09/15/18 Deta						
Signature Date Lauren Nance Name Printed						

Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.7205.

PAR' Engir	ΓB: neer li	Only complete Part B if Box 3 is checked in Part A. Part B censed by the State of California. Complete a separate form for	is to be co	mpleted by a Civil
Road				APN:
From Road: To Road:)	Planning & Building Department Case/File No.:
		(Post Mile	- /	
1.	Wh	at is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of the road (including other	— / : known car	nnahis projects)?
	Nur	nber of other known cannabis projects included in ADT calculation at the Planning & Building Department for information on other nearby p	ons:	intauts projects):
	AD	Γ: Date(s) measured:		
	Met	hod used to measure ADT: Counters Estimated using ITI	E Trip Gen	eration Book
	Is th	e ADT of the road less than 400? Yes No		
12		If YES , then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AAS <i>Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT \le 400). Complete sections 2 and 3 beat the control of the cont</i>	SHTO) Guid	standards outlined in the elines for Geometric Design of
		If NO , then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the de AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, commo section 3 below.	esign of local nly known a	roads and streets presented in s the "Green Book". Complete
2.	Ider AAS	tify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but ar SHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local	e not limite al Roads (A	ed to: (Refer to Chapter 3 in $ADT \le 400$) for guidance.)
	A.	Pattern of curve related crashes.		
		Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for Post Mi	,	
	В.	Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred		carred utility poles
	-	Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM loca	itions.	
	C.	Substantial edge rutting or encroachment.		
	Б	Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM loca	tions.	
	D.	History of complaints from residents or law enforcement.		
	Е	Check one: No. Yes (check if written documentation is a	/	
	E.	Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design sp	peed of the	road (20+ MPH higher)
	F.	Check one: No. Yes. Need for turn-outs.		
	Γ.		tions	
3.	Con	Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM local clusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one:	tions.	
J.		The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffi	a from this	project and all lenews
	cann	abis projects identified above.	e nom uns	project and an known
		The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffi	c from this	project and all known
	cann Neigh	abis projects identified above, if the recommendations on the attacker borhood Traffic Management Plan is also required and is attached.)	ched report	are done. (check if a
	addr	The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the pless increased traffic.	roposed us	e. It is not possible to
A map		ing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B	is	
		e statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made l		
me afte	r pers	onally evaluating the road.		
01	40 · C	Civil Engineer		
		Civil Engineer Date ad the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of F	Public Works F	and Use Division at 707 445 7505



839-5401

AVIATION

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

MAILING ADDRESS: 1106 SECOND STREET, EUREKA, CA 95501-0579 AREA CODE 707

PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING SECOND & L ST., EUREKA

 FAX 445-7409
 445-7741

 NATURAL RESOURCES
 445-7741

 NATURAL RESOURCES PLANNING
 267-9540

 PARKS
 445-7651

 ROADS & EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE
 445-7421

CLARK COMPLEX HARRIS & H ST., EUREKA FAX 445-7388 LAND USE 445-7205

ROAD EVALUATION REPORT INSTRUCTIONS

445-7652

445-7377

445-7493

ADMINISTRATION

FACILITY MAINTENANCE

BUSINESS

ENGINEERING

PURPOSE: The *Road Evaluation Report* is intended as a way for an applicant to document the condition of the access road(s) serving the subject property for cannabis projects that require a Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Permit (SP), or Zoning Clearance Certificate (ZCC). This report is not intended to be used for any other type of Planning & Building Department permit application. This will enable Public Works staff to determine if the existing roadway network [excluding on-site driveway(s)] is suitable to accommodate the proposed use on the subject property.

In rural areas, a category 4 road is usually adequate for most uses. If the road is paved and has a centerline stripe it is considered by the Department to be a category 4 road. In urban and suburban areas, the road may also need to accommodate other road users (pedestrians, bicycles, equestrians, etc.). When roads meet or exceed this standard, the roadways can typically accommodate increased traffic. This evaluation is accomplished by the applicant completing Part A of the *Road Evaluation Report*.

When the roadways do not meet a category 4 standard, there is a question that road may not be able to accommodate traffic from the proposed use. The goal is to evaluate roads that do not meet road category 4 standards in order to determine if the roads can accommodate increased traffic. This evaluation is accomplished by the applicants engineer completing Part B of the *Road Evaluation Report*.

In lieu of constructing road improvements to meet a category 4 road standard, the Department may approve a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan. A neighborhood traffic management plan may include (but is not limited) the following elements: restricting the times that project traffic will use the road to off-peak hours; combining trips to reduce the volume of project traffic; carpooling to reduce the volume of project traffic; the use of signs and CB radios to coordinate traffic using the road(s); etc. The Department's criteria for approving a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is based upon site specific conditions; sound engineering judgment; the proposed ADT and DHV of the roads; the need to accommodate other road users (pedestrians, bicycles, equestrians, and other cannabis projects using the road, etc.); and the frequency and quantity of traffic associated with the proposed use. The applicant's Civil Engineer can address this in Part B of the Road Evaluation Report.

There may be other cannabis projects that use the same access road(s) as your project. Part B of the *Road Evaluation Report* needs to address the cumulative impacts from your project and all other cannabis projects that will also use the same road(s). There may be benefits of applicants collectively working together with one engineer to complete the *Road Evaluation Reports* for all of the projects.

(continued on next page)

REFERENCES:

- Humboldt County Road Design Manual, Chapter 7, Design Standards for Roadway Categories.
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT* ≤400).
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (AKA "Green Book")
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation

INSTRUCTIONS: The *Road Evaluation Report* consists of two parts. The first part (Part A) <u>may</u> be completed by the applicant. If the second part (Part B) is needed, it <u>must</u> be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California. The .pdf version of this document provides fields that can be filled in.

A separate Road Evaluation Report is required for each road. Save Time: before completing these forms consult with the Land Use Division at 707.445.7205 to make sure you are evaluating all of the necessary roads for your project; that other cannabis projects in the vicinity have been included; and to make sure that you understand what is needed.

Special instructions to the applicant's Civil Engineer in completing Part B:

- Engineer will need to contact the Department for a list of other cannabis projects that may be using all or some of the same roads in the roadway network.
- Engineer will need to determine which of these projects utilize the roads within the same roadway network by personally reviewing the cannabis project applications at the Planning & Building Department. Many of the cannabis project applications are incomplete; therefore the engineer may need to directly contact other applicants to determine how these other cannabis projects will utilize the roads in question.
- Engineer may propose a master plan in which any required roadway improvements are incrementally divided among several cannabis projects. However, the master plan must be designed so that improvements to the road(s) will be adequate when constructed incrementally.

// END //

HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Na	me: Lauren Nance	APN:	208-241-018			
Planning & 1	Building Department Case/File No.: 12162					
Road Name:	Salyer-Mad River Rd.	_(comple	ete a separate form for each road) NE			
From Road (Cross street):6 Miles on Salyer-Mad River	Rd. To				
Го Road (Cr	oss street): Ridge Rd/ Salyer-Mad River	Rd	Humboldt Coun Cannabis Svos			
Length of ro	ad segment: 6 miles	miles	Date Inspected: 09/15/18			
Road is mair	ntained by: County Other Priva	te				
Check one of	(State, Forest Service, Nat f the following:	ional Park	, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal, etc.			
Box 1	The entire road segment is developed to Categorian checked, then the road is adequate for the proportion					
Box 2	The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If che then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.					
	An equivalent road category 4 standard is defined width, but has pinch points which narrow the recone-lane bridges, trees, large rock outcropping visibility where a driver can see oncoming vehicle to stop and wait in a 20 foot very pass.	oad. Pinch s, culverts cles throug	h points include, but are not limited to, , etc. Pinch points must provide gh the pinch point which allows the			
Box 3 🗌	The entire road segment is not developed to the may or may not be able to accommodate the property B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer lie	posed use	and further evaluation is necessary.			
he statemen leasuring the	ts in PART A are true and correct and have been are road. A map showing the location and limits of the	nade by n e road bein	ne after personally inspecting and ag evaluated in PART A is attached.			
1	and Min	1/	09/15/18			
ignature			Date			
Lauren N	ance					

Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.7205.

Road Name: Date Inspected: APN:	
From Road: (Post Mile) Planning & Building Department Case/File I	Jos
To Road: (Post Mile)	чо
1. What is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of the road (including other known cannabis projects)? Number of other known cannabis projects included in ADT calculations: (Contact the Planning & Building Department for information on other nearby projects.)	
ADT: Date(s) measured:	
Method used to measure ADT: Counters Estimated using ITE <i>Trip Generation</i> Book Is the ADT of the road less than 400? Yes No If YES, then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with the design standards outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) <i>Guidelines for Geometric Desig</i>	n of
Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400). Complete sections 2 and 3 below. If NO, then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the design of local roads and streets presented AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, commonly known as the "Green Book". Comp section 3 below.	ete
2. Identify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but are not limited to: (Refer to Chapter AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400) for guidance	
A. Pattern of curve related crashes.	5
Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for Post Mile (PM) locations.	
B. Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred trees, or scarred utility poles	
Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	
C. Substantial edge rutting or encroachment.	
Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	
D. History of complaints from residents or law enforcement. Check one: No. Yes (Check if written documentation is attached)	
Check one: No. Yes (check if written documentation is attached) E. Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design speed of the road (20+ MPH higher	
Check one: No. Yes.	1
F. Need for turn-outs.	
Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.	
3. Conclusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one:	53
The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above.	
☐ The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above, if the recommendations on the attached report are done. (☐ check if a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is also required and is attached.)	
The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. It is not possible to address increased traffic.	
A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B is	
attached. The statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made by	
me after personally evaluating the road.	
Signature of Civil Engineer Date Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.72	



AVIATION

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

MAILING ADDRESS:

1106 SECOND STREET, EUREKA, CA 95501-0579 AREA CODE 707

PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING SECOND & L ST., EUREKA FAX 445-7409

NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES PLANNING 267-9540

CLARK COMPLEX HARRIS & H ST., EUREKA FAX 445-7388

445-7205 LAND USE

FAX 839-3596 839-5401

BUSINESS 445-7652 **ENGINEERING** 445-7377 FACILITY MAINTENANCE 445-7493

ROADS & EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

445-7651

ROAD EVALUATION REPORT INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE: The Road Evaluation Report is intended as a way for an applicant to document the condition of the access road(s) serving the subject property for cannabis projects that require a Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Permit (SP), or Zoning Clearance Certificate (ZCC). This report is not intended to be used for any other type of Planning & Building Department permit application. This will enable Public Works staff to determine if the existing roadway network [excluding on-site driveway(s)] is suitable to accommodate the proposed use on the subject property.

In rural areas, a category 4 road is usually adequate for most uses. If the road is paved and has a centerline stripe it is considered by the Department to be a category 4 road. In urban and suburban areas, the road may also need to accommodate other road users (pedestrians, bicycles, equestrians, etc.). When roads meet or exceed this standard, the roadways can typically accommodate increased traffic. This evaluation is accomplished by the applicant completing Part A of the Road Evaluation Report.

When the roadways do not meet a category 4 standard, there is a question that road may not be able to accommodate traffic from the proposed use. The goal is to evaluate roads that do not meet road category 4 standards in order to determine if the roads can accommodate increased traffic. This evaluation is accomplished by the applicants engineer completing Part B of the Road Evaluation Report.

In lieu of constructing road improvements to meet a category 4 road standard, the Department may approve a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan. A neighborhood traffic management plan may include (but is not limited) the following elements: restricting the times that project traffic will use the road to off-peak hours; combining trips to reduce the volume of project traffic; carpooling to reduce the volume of project traffic; the use of signs and CB radios to coordinate traffic using the road(s); etc. The Department's criteria for approving a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is based upon site specific conditions; sound engineering judgment: the proposed ADT and DHV of the roads; the need to accommodate other road users (pedestrians, bicycles, equestrians, and other cannabis projects using the road, etc.); and the frequency and quantity of traffic associated with the proposed use. The applicant's Civil Engineer can address this in Part B of the Road Evaluation Report.

There may be other cannabis projects that use the same access road(s) as your project. Part B of the Road Evaluation Report needs to address the cumulative impacts from your project and all other cannabis projects that will also use the same road(s). There may be benefits of applicants collectively working together with one engineer to complete the Road Evaluation Reports for all of the projects.

(continued on next page)

REFERENCES:

- Humboldt County Road Design Manual, Chapter 7, Design Standards for Roadway Categories.
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT* ≤400).
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (AKA "Green Book")
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation

INSTRUCTIONS: The *Road Evaluation Report* consists of two parts. The first part (Part A) <u>may</u> be completed by the applicant. If the second part (Part B) is needed, it <u>must</u> be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California. The .pdf version of this document provides fields that can be filled in.

A separate Road Evaluation Report is required for each road. Save Time: before completing these forms consult with the Land Use Division at 707.445.7205 to make sure you are evaluating all of the necessary roads for your project; that other cannabis projects in the vicinity have been included; and to make sure that you understand what is needed.

Special instructions to the applicant's Civil Engineer in completing Part B:

- Engineer will need to contact the Department for a list of other cannabis projects that may be using all or some of the same roads in the roadway network.
- Engineer will need to determine which of these projects utilize the roads within the same roadway network by personally reviewing the cannabis project applications at the Planning & Building Department. Many of the cannabis project applications are incomplete; therefore the engineer may need to directly contact other applicants to determine how these other cannabis projects will utilize the roads in question.
- Engineer may propose a master plan in which any required roadway improvements are incrementally divided among several cannabis projects. However, the master plan must be designed so that improvements to the road(s) will be adequate when constructed incrementally.

// END //