

| | DRAFTING: |
|--------|--|
| | |
| | THE MILL YARD |
| | Brian Reilly |
| | 4949 West End Road Arcata, CA 95521 (707) 826-9860 |
| 12 0.5 | savagedrafting@gmail.com |
| | JOB TITLE: |
| | SFR |
| | Einat Rozenblum 24131 Kittridge St West Hills, CA 91307 |
| | PROJECT: |
| | APN: 109-161-063 37 Redcrest Court Shelter Cove, CA 95589 |
| I'-O" | ENGINEERING: |
| | REVISIONS |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 MECHANICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING CODE, & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |
| | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING CODE, & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |
| | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING CODE, & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |
| | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING CODE, & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA GRIENANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |



| | јов №. 21-057 |
|-------|--|
| | DRAFTING: |
| | THE MILL YARD |
| | Brian Reilly 4949 West End Road Arcata, CA 95521 (707) 826-9860 savagedrafting@gmail.com |
| -0.5 | JOB TITLE: |
| | SFR |
| | Einat Rozenblum 24131 Kittridge St West Hills, CA 91307 |
| | PROJECT: |
| | APN: 109-161-063 37 Redcrest Court Shelter Cove, CA 95589 |
| ATION | ENGINEERING: |
| | REVISIONS |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING CODE, & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |
| | 10/07/02/02 |
| | ENGR. JOB No. |
| | DRAWN BY: BAR |
| | SHEET No. |
| | 4 |

| | | ЈОВ No. 21-057 |
|--|--------|---|
| | | DRAFTING: |
| | | THE MILL YARD |
| | | Brian Reilly 4949 West End Road Arcata, CA 95521 (707) 826-9860 savagedrafting@gmail.com |
| | | JOB TITLE: SFR |
| | | Einat Rozenblum 24131 Kittridge St West Hills, CA 91307 |
| | | PROJECT: |
| | | APN: 109-161-063 37 Redcrest Court Shelter Cove, CA 95589 |
| | | ENGINEERING: |
| 8 ¹ / ₂ " 1'-6" 3020 FIX | | |
| KITCHEN | | |
| | ¯ο | |
| LIVING | 28'-O" | REVISIONS |
| ATIO 8060 FIX DECK | | ALL WORK SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 2019 EDITIONS OF THE CALIFORNI/ BUILDING CODE. CALIFORNIA 2019 ELECTRICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 MECHANICAL CODE, CALIFORNIA 2019 PLUMBING CODE, CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE, |
| 19'-0" | | & LOCAL ORDINANCES. THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING8STANDARDS CODE IS BASED ON THE 2018-IBC. |
| | | |
| C | | 12/27/2022 |
| | | 12/27/2022 ENGR. JOB No DRAWN BY: RAR |
| | | 12/27/2022 ENGR. JOB No DRAWN BY: BAR SHEET NO. |

NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN SURVEY

Your project is being reviewed for compatibility with neighborhood design. A finding required for approval PLANNING of your secondary dwelling unit is that the new development is compatible with the character of the neighborhood.

To assist the Planning Department in our review of your project, please complete the following Survey for representative samples of existing development within a two or three block radius of your property. Please include the developed parcels nearest your project site in your neighborhood survey.

- 2. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 // REDEREGT LOURT Strengther Cover Strengther
- 3. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 3. Boost Parcel No. or Addre
- 4. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 1049 SPRING RoAD

 Use of Building:
 SEE

 Number of Stories:
 Z

 Approx. Height:
 28'

 Exterior Building Material: Siding:
 HORIT'

 Architectural Style:
 BUNGALON
- 5. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 103 S SPRING ROAP

 Use of Building:
 SPRING

 Exterior Building Material: Siding:
 HORIZ

 Architectural Style:
 MÉDITERRANERM
 - Note: Photographs of the above developments will be accepted, but are **not** a substitute for this survey.

¹ Project Use Codes: (**R**) = residential; (**C**) = commercial; (**I**) = industrial; (**O**) = other _____

² What is the architectural impression of the building, for example: Cape Cod, Spanish, bungalow, cabin, Victorian, contemporary, etc.

- 6. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 46 MBACE POINT

 Use of Building³:
 6FR

 Number of Stories:
 1½

 Approx. Height:
 18³

 Exterior Building Material:
 Siding:

 Hot
 Roofing:

 Architectural Style⁴:
 CONTEMPORARY
- 7. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site: <u>575</u> <u>RIDGE Rolf P</u>
 Use of Building: <u>SFR</u> Number of Stories: <u>1</u> Approx. Height: <u>17</u>¹
 Exterior Building Material: Siding: <u>HORIE VILANALES</u> Roofing: <u>TIES</u>
 Architectural Style: <u>COTTAGE</u>
- Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site: <u>646 Ripsic Roap</u>
 Use of Building: <u>SFR</u> Number of Stories: <u>2</u> Approx. Height: <u>28 36</u>
 Exterior Building Material: Siding: <u>VERTICAL</u> Roofing: <u>Comp</u>
 Architectural Style: <u>Constract</u>
- 9. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 Lo34
 Fuesd Boad

 Use of Building:
 SFR
 Number of Stories:
 2.1/2
 Approx. Height:

 Exterior Building Material: Siding:
 VERTILAR
 Roofing:
 Crept P

 Architectural Style:
 FRENCH
 Countrary
- 10. Assessor Parcel No. or Address of survey site:
 362
 Rooge Roard

 Use of Building:
 SFR
 Number of Stories:
 1
 Approx. Height:
 166

 Exterior Building Material:
 Siding:
 VERTICAL
 Roofing:
 ComP

 Architectural Style:
 Building Acom
 Roofing:
 ComP
 - Note: Photographs of the above developments will be accepted, but are **not** a substitute for this survey.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

³ Project Use Codes: (**R**) = residential; (**C**) = commercial; (**I**) = industrial; (**O**) = other _____

⁴ What is the architectural impression of the building, for example: Cape Cod, Spanish, bungalow, cabin, Victorian, contemporary, etc.

R-2 SOILS REPORT

ź

AP No. 109-161-063

REDCREST COURT

SHELTER COVE, CA 95589

+ + + +

PREPARED BY:

PACIFIC AFFILIATES, INC.

A Consulting Engineering Group 990 West Waterfront Drive Eureka, Ca 95501 (707) 445-3001

٠

APRIL 6, 2022

TRAVIS SCHNEIDER, R.C.E. #67393

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|------|---|---|
| 2. | SITE DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| 3. | SITE TOPOGRAPHY | 2 |
| 4. | SITE DEVELOPMENT | 2 |
| 5. | SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS | 4 |
| 6. | SEISMIC HAZARDS | 6 |
| 7. | SLOPE STABILITY | 7 |
| 8. | LIQUEFACTION HAZARD | 8 |
| 9. | SETTLEMENT | 8 |
| 10. | FOUNDATION | 8 |
| 11. | GRADING | 9 |
| 12. | PLACEMENT OF FILL | 9 |
| 13. | CONCLUSIONS | 9 |
| 14. | References | 1 |
| APPE | NDIX I – SITE PLAN | |
| APPE | NDIX II – SEISMIC DESIGN PROVISIONS | |
| APPE | NDIX III – BORING LOG AND HISTORICAL SOILS AND GEOLOGIC REPORTS | |

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The following Soils Report is provided in regard to specific site conditions at the subject property, AP No. 109-161-063, located at Redcrest Court, Shelter Cove, in Humboldt County. Proposed development on the property includes a single family residence.

This assessment is based on data gathered from the site by this office on March 24, 2022 and is supplemented by a review of additional resources. Our database consists of data from a review of aerial photographs, and information from pertinent literature and geologic maps. This report addresses the suitability of the subject parcel for development as described in Section 4 below. An analysis of the site conditions and recommendations for the proposed development are provided in this report.

2. <u>SITE DESCRIPTION</u>

The subject property is an irregular shaped parcel (± 0.23 acres) located in a cul-de-sac in north-western Shelter Cove, CA. The property is in a residential area with many parcels currently undeveloped. The adjacent lot to the north is developed with a single-family residence.

Figure 1 - Aerial view of subject property (photo courtesy of Humboldt GIS, 2022)

3. <u>SITE TOPOGRAPHY</u>

Elevation at the site ranges from 110 feet above mean sea level to the west, along Redcrest Court, to 144 feet above mean sea level along the east property lines. Sloping on the site ranges from 12-35%, decreasing to the west. (See Topographic Map by this office, Appendix I)

4. <u>SITE DEVELOPMENT</u>

The site is currently undeveloped with evidence of recent tree removal (Figures 2-4).

Figure 2 - Subject property, photo taken facing east (photo date: March 24, 2022)

Figure 3 - Subject property, photo taken facing west (photo date: March 24, 2022)

Figure 4 - Subject property, photo taken facing east (photo date: March 24, 2022)

5. <u>SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS</u>

Exposed ground from natural terracing at the site and recent logging activity were inspected during the site visit on March 24, 2022. No evidence of groundwater was observed during the time of the site visit. Site soils consisted of clayey sandy gravel overlain with one and a half feet of topsoil.

Figure 5 -site soils (photo date: March 24, 2022)

Figure 6 - Subject property, photo taken facing south (photo date: March 24, 2022)

Figure 7 – Site soils (photo date: March 24, 2022)

6. SEISMIC HAZARDS

Based on the criteria established in the 2019 California Building Code, the proposed development falls under Occupancy Category II and has an Importance Factor, I = 1.0. Due to the stiff soil profile, the site soil is classified as Site Class D. As a result, the site coefficients for the mapped acceleration parameters are: Fa = 1.0 (short period, SS), and Fv = 1.5 (one-second period, S1). Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters (SRAP) for the site are based on the property location of approximately latitude: 40.044097°, and longitude: -124.076308°, and are as follows:

| Mapped SRAP | $S_S = 3.28g$ (0.2-second spectral response) |
|--------------|--|
| | $S_1 = 1$ g (1-second spectral response) |
| · · · · | |
| Maximum SRAP | $S_{MS} = 2.73g (0.2$ -second period) |

| | $S_{M1} = 2.48g$ (1-second period) |
|--------------------------|--|
| Design SRAP | $S_{DS} = 1.82g$ (0.2-second period) |
| | $S_{D1} = 1.65g$ (1-second period) |
| Peak Ground Acceleration | $PGA_m = 0.98$ per ASCE7-10, Equation 11.8-1 |

Per §11.6 of ASCE 7-10, the project site is assigned to Seismic Design Category E because $S_1 > 0.75g$.

According to local geologic maps, the parcel falls within the Alquist Priolo Fault Hazard Zone with a branch of the Alquist Priolo Fault running northwest-southeast through the eastern side of the property. An additional branch of the Alquist Priolo Fault runs south-north approximately one half mile to the east. Based on the regional geology and history of the area, strong ground motion generated by moderate to large earthquakes is likely to occur at the site within the economic lifespan of the proposed development (50 years). Suitable building design in accordance with current codes will reduce the potential for property damage and injury.

Large earthquakes are possible but less likely to occur than are small earthquakes. The probability that a seismic event will cause a ground motion intensity of MM VIII (Modified Mercalli Intensity scale) at the site is high. Shaking of MM VIII is likely to cause damage to a low-rise, wooden-frame, standard-construction structure; damage is likely to be "light" (defined as "significant localized damage of some structural components generally not requiring repair") to moderate (localized damage of many components warranting repair). Physical damage resulting from smaller earthquakes (MM VII or less) is likely to be "light" to "none". Although seismic shaking cannot be avoided, the effects of seismic shaking can be minimized by selecting an appropriate home style, upgrading its structural design, using high quality materials and workmanship, and building to the appropriate Seismic Design Category standards. In addition, the interior of the structure can be designed and maintained to minimize the falling and/or toppling of heavy objects.

There is a high likelihood of seismically induced surface rupture at the site in the event of a moderate to severe seismic event along the mapped branch of the Alquist Priolo Fault line that runs through the eastern portion of the property. Damage sustained by a wood framed structure during a seismic event can be minimized by building in conformance with the appropriate codes and providing a well founded, conventional spread footing into compacted subgrade.

7. <u>Slope Stability</u>

The site has 15-30% sloping and is within an area identified as, "High Instability", according to Humboldt County Hazard Mitigation Mapping. Areas of sloping greater than 50% are west of the parcel, on the west side of Ridgcrest Court. (County of Humboldt, 2022).

8. <u>LIQUEFACTION HAZARD</u>

Liquefaction of sediment occurs when its shear strength is lost as a result of an increase in pore water pressure in response to cyclic loading. As such, liquefaction is a potentially damaging response to seismic shaking. Ground settlement, lateral spreading and/or sand boils may result from liquefaction. Structures supported on liquefied soils could suffer foundation settlement or lateral movement that could be severely damaging to the structure. Poorly consolidated, clean to moderately silty sands beneath the groundwater level are prone to undergo liquefaction during strong earthquakes. Dense soils or soils that exhibit cohesion are less likely to be susceptible to liquefaction.

Native soil at the site is a clayey sandy gravel. The soil appeared to be well consolidated and groundwater did not appear to be near the surface, though this will vary with the seasons. Taking into consideration the nature of the native soils, there is a low to moderate probability of liquefaction occurring on this site during a significant seismic shaking event in the future. According to the County of Humboldt GIS, the property is not located in an area of potential liquefaction.

9. <u>Settlement</u>

The foundation of the proposed structures shall bear on the underlying sandy soils. Given the nature of the native soils, and the proposed construction, differential settlement is expected to be very minimal. Differential settlement is expected to be no more than one half inch over any fifty foot length of foundation. Total settlement of the structure is expected to be no more than one inch. These settlement values assume adequately sized footings that are well constructed and placed on well consolidated, undisturbed native soils. Settlement will occur in a short time frame as the bearing materials are sandy in nature. Settlement can be minimized by adequate compaction of the subgrade prior to foundation placement.

10. FOUNDATION

A conventional reinforced perimeter foundation with post and pier supports shall be provided for the new structures. Enlarged spread footings for concentrated loads shall be designed by a California Registered Civil Engineer. All load bearing foundation elements shall be embedded a minimum of 12 inches into the native bearing soil. All topsoil and fill shall be removed to allow foundation members to bear on the native clayey/sandy soils. In the event loose material, fill, debris or organic material (roots, stumps, etc.) is encountered during excavations, the area shall be over-excavated to the native material described herein and filled in accordance with Section 12 of this report.

Bearing pressures shall not exceed 1,500 pounds per square foot (psf), except for short-term loading due to wind or seismic activity where the bearing pressure may be increased by one-third. Footing excavations are to be inspected by a Registered Civil Engineer prior to the placement of foundation concrete. On site soils shall not be used as backfill material unless approved by a Registered Civil Engineer. Structural fill materials must be approved by a Registered Civil Engineer prior to placement, except as noted in Section 12 below. Where fill is placed beneath foundation elements, compaction tests

must be completed to ensure the material has been compacted to a minimum of 95% of the relative compaction test value.

11. **GRADING**

All site grading shall be completed so as to control and direct water away from the buildings to minimize erosion adjacent to the foundation. It is recommended that a minimum slope of 5% be provided for a horizontal distance of ten feet from each structure. Impervious surfaces within ten feet of a structure shall maintain a minimum slope of 2% away from the building. Beyond ten feet, it is recommended that a minimum slope of 1% be maintained away from the structure. All cut slopes shall be as flat as possible, but shall maintain a maximum slope of 2:1 (H:V) in well consolidated, tight clay soils and 3:1 in sandy or gravelly soils. Best Management Practices (i.e. silt fence, straw wattles, straw bales, etc.) shall be employed at the site during the rainy season or as required by the governing agency to minimize the transfer of sediment away from the site. Impacted areas shall be reseeded prior to the first winter using a Caltrans erosion control mix or equal.

12. PLACEMENT OF FILL

Where fill is required beneath load bearing, structural components, engineered fill compacted to 95% relative compaction per ASTM Test Method D6938 shall be used. Fill samples should be tested for dry density prior to placement using ASTM Test Method D1557. All topsoil, deleterious and/or organic material shall be removed prior to placement of fill and the subgrade shall be compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. Fill material should be Class 2 aggregate base meeting Caltrans Standard Specification 26-1.02B, unless otherwise approved by a Registered Civil Engineer. All fill shall be free of organic and deleterious material and clasts larger than three inches (3") in diameter. Fill shall be placed in loose lifts not greater than eight inches thick at uniform moisture content (at or near optimum) and mechanically compacted.

13. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Based upon the results of the site investigation, no geotechnical conditions were encountered which would preclude the proposed development, provided the following recommendations are adhered to:

- All construction shall be in accordance with the most recently approved California Building Code, County of Humboldt Municipal Code and meet current criteria for the appropriate Seismic Design Category.
- Maximum allowable soil bearing pressure shall be 1,500 psf for live and dead loads.
- All topsoil shall be removed from footing and slab locations prior to construction. All fill beneath footings must meet a minimum 95% relative compaction test.

- Footing trenches and pads shall be excavated to a minimum depth of 12 inches into the native bearing material as specified in this report. Footings are to be inspected by a Registered Civil Engineer prior to the placement of concrete.
- Foundations shall be set back from slopes in accordance with the most recently approved California Building Code. Structures should be set back a distance equal to the minimum of H/2 or 15 feet from the toe of a slope and H/3 or 40 feet from the top of a slope, where H = height of slope.
- Areas of concrete slab construction shall be proof rolled prior to placing base material. Contractors are encouraged to have compaction tests completed on fill placed under slabs, although it is not required.
- Concrete slabs shall have structural separations (i.e. footings) at a maximum of 25 foot intervals. Slabs shall be designed for a bearing pressure of no more than 1,000 psf unless a proper engineered design is provided.
- No fill shall be allowed on site unless engineered by a Registered Civil Engineer, with the exception of material that meets Caltrans Standard Specification 26-1.02B for Class 2 aggregate base. Fill shall be free of organic and deleterious material and clasts larger than three inches (3") in diameter.
- If any fill material, including areas with organic debris or uncompacted materials, or areas of low density, noncohesive material is encountered during excavations, construction is to be discontinued until the excavations and materials are inspected by a Registered Civil Engineer or Certified Engineering Geologist.
- Cut slopes shall be as flat as possible, but not exceed 2:1 for well consolidated, tight clay soils; cut slopes shall not exceed 3:1 in sandy or gravelly deposits.
- Excavations into the native soils may encounter caving soils and possibly perched water, depending on the final depth of excavation. Individual contractors should be made responsible for designing and constructing stable, temporary excavations as required to maintain stability of the excavation sides and bottom and to provide human safety.
- All site drainage shall be controlled and directed away from the buildings to minimize erosion. Best Management Practices shall be employed during the rainy season to minimize sediment transfer off the site.
- Impacted areas shall be reseeded prior to the first winter using a Caltrans erosion control mix or equal.

Any changes in site location or building configuration should be evaluated for compliance with this report. The Engineer shall be notified immediately of any conditions noted during excavation and grading that differ from those noted in this report. The findings of this report are valid only for the development described herein. Future development on the property shall be evaluated on a case specific basis.

14. <u>References</u>

ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineer) 7-10, Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, 2006, Structural Engineering Institute.

ATC Hazards by Location. https://hazards.atcouncil.org/, 2019

CBC (California Building Code) 2019 California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2, Volume 2 California Building Standards Commission.

California Geologic Survey, 1999, North Coast Watersheds Mapping DMG CD 99-002

California Geologic Survey, 2000, Digital Images of Official Maps of Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones of California, Northern and Eastern Region, Fortuna Quadrangle, DMG CD 2000-05.

County of Humboldt, (1984) General Plan Maps

County of Humboldt, Planning Department, Humboldt County Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Web Mapping, 2022

County of Humboldt, Humboldt County Community Development Services, 2002, *Central Humboldt County Bedrock and Faults.*

Craig, R. F. Craig's Soil Mechanics, seventh edition. New York: Spon Press, 2004.

Day, Robert W. Foundation Engineering Handbook: Design and Construction with the 2006 International Building Code. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2006.

Maptech, Terrain Navigator, California - North Coast Eureka. Maptech, Inc., 1998

÷

APPENDIX I - TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

<u>APPENDIX II – SEISMIC DESIGN PROVISIONS</u>

ASCE 7 Hazards Report

Address: No Address at This Location Standard:ASCE/SEI 7-22Risk Category:IIISoil Class:D - Stiff Soil

Elevation: 172.62 ft (NAVD 88) Latitude: 40.044097 Longitude: -124.076308

Wind

Results:

| Wind Speed | 99 Vmph |
|--------------------|----------|
| 10-year MRI | 63 Vmph |
| 25-year MRI | 70 Vmph |
| 50-year MRI | 74 Vmph |
| 100-year MRI | 78 Vmph |
| 10,000-year MRI | 112 Vmph |
| 100,000-year MRI | 128 Vmph |
| 1,000,000-year MRI | 147 Vmph |
| | |

| Data Source: | ASCE/SEI 7-22, Fig. 26.5-1C and Figs. CC.2-1-CC.2-4, and Section 26.5.2 |
|----------------|---|
| Date Accessed: | Fri Apr 08 2022 |

Value provided is 3-second gust wind speeds at 33 ft above ground for Exposure C Category, based on linear interpolation between contours. Wind speeds are interpolated in accordance with the 7-22 Standard. Wind speeds correspond to approximately a 3% probability of exceedance in 50 years (annual exceedance probability = 0.000588, MRI = 1,700 years). Values for 10-year MRI, 25-year MRI, 50-year MRI and 100-year MRI are Service Level wind speeds, all other wind speeds are Ultimate wind speeds.

Site is not in a hurricane-prone region as defined in ASCE/SEI 7-22 Section 26.2. https://asce7hazardtool.online/ Page 1 of 4

Site Soil Class: Results:

| PGA _M : | 0.98 | T _L : | 12 |
|--------------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| S _{MS} : | 2.73 | S _s : | 3.28 |
| S _{M1} : | 2.48 | S ₁ : | 1 |
| S _{DS} : | 1.82 | S _{DC} : | |
| S _{D1} : | 1.65 | V _{S30} : | 260 |

 $\label{eq:MCER} \mbox{Vertical Response Spectrum} \noalign{\mbox{Vertical ground motion data has not yet been made} available by USGS. \end{\mbox{USGS}}$

Design Vertical Response Spectrum Vertical ground motion data has not yet been made available by USGS.

Data Accessed:

Fri Apr 08 2022

Date Source:

USGS Seismic Design Maps based on ASCE/SEI 7-22 and ASCE/SEI 7-22 Table 1.5-2. Additional data for site-specific ground motion procedures in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7-22 Ch. 21 are available from USGS.

The ASCE 7 Hazard Tool is provided for your convenience, for informational purposes only, and is provided "as is" and without warranties of any kind. The location data included herein has been obtained from information developed, produced, and maintained by third party providers; or has been extrapolated from maps incorporated in the ASCE 7 standard. While ASCE has made every effort to use data obtained from reliable sources or methodologies, ASCE does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability, currency, or quality of any data provided herein. Any third-party links provided by this Tool should not be construed as an endorsement, affiliation, relationship, or sponsorship of such third-party content by or from ASCE.

ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, the results provided by this Tool to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this Tool or the ASCE 7 standard.

In using this Tool, you expressly assume all risks associated with your use. Under no circumstances shall ASCE or its officers, directors, employees, members, affiliates, or agents be liable to you or any other person for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages arising from or related to your use of, or reliance on, the Tool or any information obtained therein. To the fullest extent permitted by law, you agree to release and hold harmless ASCE from any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from any use of data provided by the ASCE 7 Hazard Tool.

Ť.

Soils Report Job No. 22-2970

APPENDIX III – Boring Log

| PROJECT NAME ROZENBLUM | PRO | DJECT NO. | 22-2970 |) DA | TE M | AR 2 | 24, 20 | 22 |
|--|---|------------------|---------------|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|
| HOLE NO. TH-1 HOLE DIAMETER | N/A DRILI | _ RIG <u>N/A</u> | | AP | N: <u>10</u> | 9-10 | 51-06 | 3 |
| HOLE ELEV. N/A GROUND | VATER ELE | V. <u>N/A</u> | LOC | GED BY E | BA | | | |
| SOIL DESCR | IPTION | _ | | SOIL | H. | SA | MPLES | WS/ |
| DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS | COLOR | MOISTURE | CONSIST. | TYPE | | JARS | SACK | BLO |
| TOPSOIL | DK BRN | DRY | MED. STIFF | OL | -1- | | | |
| CLAYEY SANDY GRAVEL | LT BRN | DRY | MED STIFF | $\begin{array}{c} SC - & \Delta^{\Delta} \\ GC & \Delta^{\Delta} \\ & & \Delta^{\Delta} \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$ | - 2 - - 3 - - 4 - - 5 - - 6 - | | | |
| BOTTOM OF TEST PIT @ 6.0 FT, NO GROUNDWATER | | | | | - 7 - - 8 - - 9 - - 10- | | | |
| | | | | | - 11- - 12- - 12- | | | |
| PACIFICAFFIL consulting eng 990 W. WATERFRONT DRIVE, EUREH TEL (707) 445-3001 • FAX(7 | I A T E I N E E R (A, CA 95501 07)445-3003 | S _s | SI | ROZE REDCRE HELTER CO APN 10 | NBL ST CC OVE, (9-161- | UN. DURT CA 9 -063 | [r 5589 | |