From: Rian, Kathryn(Katie)@Wildlife

To: <u>Yandell, Rodney</u>
Subject: RE: CDP 18989

Date: Thursday, November 7, 2024 11:33:16 AM

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Rodney,

Thanks for seeking clarification. If the landowner chooses to upgrade the existing structure with a shallow well or more permanent cistern, it should be shifted farther away from the mapped wetland boundary, or at minimum, installed within the existing footprint. Measures should be taken to avoid or minimize impacts to the adjacent wetland, such as flagging its perimeter and avoiding ground disturbance or staging within that area.

Katie

From: Yandell, Rodney <RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Thursday, November 7, 2024 8:27 AM

To: Rian, Kathryn(Katie)@Wildlife <Kathryn.Rian@Wildlife.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: CDP 18989

WARNING: This message is from an external source. Verify the sender and exercise caution when clicking links or opening attachments.

Do you have any conditions you would like to add for the ongoing use and maintenance of the well within the wetland?

From: Rian, Kathryn(Katie)@Wildlife < Kathryn.Rian@Wildlife.ca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 4:11 PM **To:** Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: RE: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Rodney,

Mike and I agreed it would be acceptable to use the existing cistern for the scaled-down development of just one residence and the garage. However, once the structures have been built, CDFW recommends getting on the waiting list for connection to the Westhaven CSD. If I understand correctly, the new water treatment plant will be able to support some new connections, primarily limited to existing residences. I also encourage him to reach out to Paul

Rosenblatt, General Manager of Westhaven CSD; he can speak to the challenges of shallow groundwater wells and may have some suggestions. Finally, I'd like to reiterate my earlier recommendation about avoiding impacts to nesting birds (copied below).

• Vegetation removal should occur outside of nesting season (generally March 15 – August 15) to avoid impacts to native resident and migratory birds. If that's not feasible, a qualified biologist shall survey for active bird nests no more than seven days prior to tree removal or significant trimming. If an active nest is found, the permittee should consult with CDFW regarding appropriate site-specific avoidance measures and buffer distances. If there is a lapse in project-related activities of seven days or more, the biologist should re-survey the area before work resumes.

Thanks (and again, sorry for the delay), Katie

From: Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 8:57 AM

To: Rian, Kathryn(Katie)@Wildlife < Kathryn.Rian@Wildlife.ca.gov>

Subject: FW: CDP 18989

WARNING: This message is from an external source. Verify the sender and exercise caution when clicking links or opening attachments.

From: Yandell, Rodney

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2024 8:56 AM

To: Rian, Kathryn@Wildlife < Kathryn.Rian@Wildlife.ca.gov>

Subject: FW: CDP 18989

From: Scott Cyr < sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 1:05 PM

To: Yandell, Rodney <<u>RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us</u>>

Subject: Re: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Sorry for the inconvenience, not sure why the site won't let me upload docs anymore. Please see attached.

Thanks Scott Cyr

On Thu, Oct 24, 2024 at 1:00 PM Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us > wrote:

You can email it to me.

From: Scott Cyr <<u>smjcyr@gmail.com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 12:55 PM

To: Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Re: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Good Morning Rodney, I have received the Botanical Report and was having trouble loading up on the site. Do you want me to go through Trevor to help load it or send it directly to you?

Thanks

Scott Cyr

On Fri, Jul 5, 2024 at 8:04 AM Scott Cyr < smjcyr@gmail.com> wrote:

Good morning Rodney, are you in the office today? Any chance we can talk about the project?

Thank you

Scott Cyr

On Thu, Jun 20, 2024, 3:29 PM Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us wrote:

Thank you,

Rodney Yandell

Senior Planner
Planning and Building Department
707.268.3732

From: Scott Cyr <<u>smjcyr@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 3:28 PM

To: Yandell, Rodney <<u>RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us</u>>

Subject: Re: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hey Rodney....diameter....should have probably stated that on the drawing

Thanks

Scott Cyr

On Thu, Jun 20, 2024, 11:10 AM Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us > wrote:

Scott,

Do the dimensions on Site Plan 2 reflect the diameter or the circumference of the trees?

Thank you,

Rodney Yandell

Senior Planner

Planning and Building Department
707.268.3732

From: Scott Cyr < sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2024 12:15 PM

To: Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: Re: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Rodney, I continue having problems uploading documents in the portal. Trevor has been helping me by loading them for me. I just sent Trevor a revised Site Plan plus page 2 of Site plan per our discussions. Sorry it took so long to get to you. I was waiting for a revision of my conversion map (also sent to Trevor) from my forester showing a slightly larger area around the leach field to accommodate a larger system per Environmental input.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thank You

Scott Cyr

805-570-1439

On Tue, Jun 11, 2024 at 8:27 AM Yandell, Rodney

<RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us> wrote:

Scott,

Please see County Code for Major Vegetation Removal below.

313-64

64.1 VEGETATION REMOVAL, MAJOR

64.1.1 **Purpose.** The purpose of these provisions is to: (1) preserve and protect major vegetation within the County Coastal Zone that directly and indirectly prevents soil erosion, landslide and flood hazard; (2) reduce runoff, provide windbreaks or provide protection to adjacent trees from irreparable wind damage; and (3) protect property values and the local economy by maintaining the visual quality of the County, while respecting and

recognizing individual rights to develop, maintain, and enjoy private property to the fullest possible extent. (Former Section CZ#A314-20(A))

- 64.1.2 **Major Vegetation Removal Permitted With a Special Permit in All Zones as an Accessory Use.** Major vegetation removal may be permitted with a Special Permit in all zones, as an accessory use associated with a specified principal or conditionally permitted use. Major vegetation removal may be permitted with a Special Permit in conjunction with or prior to the establishment of a principal or conditionally permitted use. (Former Section CZ#A314-20(B))
- 64.1.3 **Applicability.** These regulations shall apply to major vegetation removal as defined in this section, within the Humboldt County Coastal Zone, except that the following development shall be exempt: (Former Section CZ#A314-20(C))
 - 64.1.3.1 Timber management and timber harvesting activities regulated by the California Department of Forestry and the Board of Forestry, and forest improvement activities carried out under the Forest Incentives Program (FIP), Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP), or California Forest Improvement Program (Cal FIP); (Former Section CZ#A314-20(C)(1))
- 64.1.3.2 Major vegetation removal necessary to carry out activities authorized by: (1) an approved building permit, Coastal Development Permit, Use Permit, or Special Permit; or (2)

satisfying improvement requirements of an approved subdivision; (Former Section CZ#A314-20(C) (2))

- 64.1.3.3 Major vegetation removal subject to the Coastal Streams and Riparian Corridor regulations; and (Former Section CZ#A314-20(C)(3))
 - 64.1.3.4 Major vegetation removal associated with general agriculture, in zones where the General Agriculture use type is a principal permitted use, except where the Director determines that pursuant to subsection 313-64.1.4.3., that the major vegetation removal may result in a significant environmental impact. (Former Section CZ#A314-20(C)(4))
- 64.1.4 **Definition of Major Vegetation Removal.** For purposes of this section major vegetation removal shall be defined to include one or more of the following: (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D))
- 64.1.4.1 The removal of one or more trees with a circumference of thirty-eight inches (38") or more measured at four and one-half feet (4½') vertically above the ground; (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(1))
- 64.1.4.2 The removal of trees within a total aggregate contiguous or non-contiguous area or areas exceeding 6,000 square feet, measured as the total of the area(s) located directly beneath the tree canopy; or (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(2))
 - 64.1.4.3 The Director may determine that a proposal to remove woody vegetation constitutes major vegetation removal if the Director finds that it may result in a significant environmental impact pursuant to this section. In making a finding that the proposed major vegetation removal may result in a significant environmental impact, the Director shall review the proposal and determine if any of the following conditions exist or are proposed: (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3))
 - 64.1.4.3.1 The major vegetation removal involves the use of heavy equipment; (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3)(a))
 - 64.1.4.3.2 The major vegetation removal:
 - 64.1.4.3.2.1 is proposed on either a steep slope (15% or greater), or on a slope designated on the Geological Map of the General Plan with slope stability index of "2" moderate instability, or "3" high instability; and (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3)(b))
 - 64.1.4.3.2.2 may result in soil erosion or landslide; (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3)(b))
 - 64.1.4.3.3 The major vegetation removal is located within or adjacent to an environmentally sensitive habitat as identified in the applicable coastal area plan; or (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3)(c))
- 64.1.4.4 The major vegetation removal may result in significant exposure of adjacent trees to wind damage. (Former Section CZ#A314-20(D)(3)(d))
- 64.1.5 **Appeal of the Director's Determination of Major Vegetation Removal.** Appeals may be filed pursuant to the appeal procedures in Chapter 2, Section 312-13.

Thank you,

Senior Planner
Planning and Building Department
707.268.3732

From: Scott Cyr <<u>smjcyr@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2024 11:08 AM

To: Yandell, Rodney < RYandell@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Subject: CDP 18989

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Rodney, washoping I could talk with you for a minute today. Any chance I could get you to call me?

Thanks

Scott Cyr

805-570-1439