

HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

#1-195

PART A: *Part A may be completed by the applicant*

Applicant Name: Douglas Rose, Kelsey Beehrle APN: 220 - 272 - 022
220 - 311 - 004

Planning & Building Department Case/File No.: CUPIU - 839

Road Name: Elk Ridge Road (complete a separate form for each road)

From Road (Cross street): Briceland Road

To Road (Cross street): _____

Length of road segment: 2.8 miles miles Date Inspected: 6-1-18

Road is maintained by: ☐ County ☒ Other Road association
(State, Forest Service, National Park, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal, etc)

Check one of the following:

Box 1 ☒ The entire road segment is developed to Category 4 road standards (20 feet wide) or better. If checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.

Box 2 ☒ The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If checked, then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant.

An equivalent road category 4 standard is defined as a roadway that is generally 20 feet in width, but has pinch points which narrow the road. Pinch points include, but are not limited to, one-lane bridges, trees, large rock outcroppings, culverts, etc. Pinch points must provide visibility where a driver can see oncoming vehicles through the pinch point which allows the oncoming vehicle to stop and wait in a 20 foot wide section of the road for the other vehicle to pass.

Box 3 ☐ The entire road segment is not developed to the equivalent of road category 4 or better. The road may or may not be able to accommodate the proposed use and further evaluation is necessary. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California.

The statements in PART A are true and correct and have been made by me after personally inspecting and measuring the road.

Kelsey Jeanine Beehrle
Signature

6-1-18
Date

Kelsey Jeanine Beehrle
Name Printed

Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.7205.



PART B: Only complete Part B if Box 3 is checked in Part A. Part B to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California. Complete a separate form for each road.

Road Name: _____ Date Inspected: _____ APN: _____
From Road: _____ (Post Mile _____) Planning & Building
To Road: _____ (Post Mile _____) Department Case/File No.: _____

1. What is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of the road (including other known cannabis projects)?

Number of other known cannabis projects included in ADT calculations:
(Contact the Planning & Building Department for information on other nearby projects.) _____

ADT: _____ Date(s) measured: _____

Method used to measure ADT: ☐ Counters ☐ Estimated using ITE Trip Generation Book

Is the ADT of the road less than 400? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If YES, then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with the design standards outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤ 400)*. Complete sections 2 and 3 below.

If NO, then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the design of local roads and streets presented in AASHTO *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, commonly known as the "Green Book". Complete section 3 below.

2. Identify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but are not limited to: (Refer to Chapter 3 in AASHTO *Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤ 400)* for guidance.)

A. Pattern of curve related crashes.

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes, see attached sheet for Post Mile (PM) locations.

B. Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred trees, or scarred utility poles

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.

C. Substantial edge rutting or encroachment.

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.

D. History of complaints from residents or law enforcement.

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes (☐ check if written documentation is attached)

E. Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design speed of the road (20+ MPH higher)

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes.

F. Need for turn-outs.

Check one: ☐ No. ☐ Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations.

3. Conclusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one:

☐ The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above.

☐ The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above, if the recommendations on the attached report are done. (☐ check if a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is also required and is attached.)

☐ The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. It is not possible to address increased traffic.

A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B is attached. The statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made by me after personally evaluating the road.

Signature of Civil Engineer _____

Date _____

SP-100

Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 707.445.7205.