

MCKINLEYVILLE MULTIMODAL CONNECTIONS PROJECT

March 2023



PREPARED FOR
County of Humboldt



MARK THOMAS

Acknowledgements

The McKinleyville Multimodal Connections Project was funded through a Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant provided by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). The County of Humboldt, in collaboration with multidisciplinary partner agencies and stakeholders, collaborated to create a plan with concept designs for enhanced walking and bicycling connectivity between McKinleyville and community destinations to south of the Mad River. This project arose from McKinleyville Municipal Advisory Committee public meetings and has strong community support. The study was managed by Tom Mattson of the County's Department of Public Works. Community outreach and engagement was performed by Redwood Community Action Agency (RCAA). A consulting team led by Mark Thomas and RCAA advanced the project and prepared the final report. A Project Task Force (PTF) was established to help identify key issues and guide development of project recommendations.



Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	1
Executive Summary	4
Project Study Area.....	5
Background Review	7
Community Demographics.....	9
Existing Conditions	10
Multimodal Improvements	16
Countermeasure Toolbox.....	16
Recent and Ongoing Off-Street Improvements	23
Recent and Ongoing On-Street Improvements.....	26
Planned Land Development Projects	28
Public Engagement.....	29
Phase 1.....	29
Phase 2.....	34
Project Needs and Recommendations	37
Project Area Focus Corridors.....	37
Azalea Avenue	38
Central Avenue	40
Hiller Road.....	43
Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and Heindon Road	45
McKinleyville Avenue	47
North Bank Road	49
Ocean Avenue.....	51
School Road.....	52
Washington Avenue	53
Transit Access Improvements	54
Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments	55
Connectivity to North Arcata	63
Future Planning and Engineering Design Efforts	63
Connectivity to Annie & Mary Trail	64
East-West Connectivity Along the Mad River	64
Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities.....	64
Recommended Phasing	65
Next Steps	66
Conclusion.....	67
Appendices.....	68

List of Figures

Figure 1 McKinleyville Multi-Modal Connections Project – Project Area	6
Figure 2 Study Area Age of Population	9
Figure 3 Study Area Race and Ethnicity	9
Figure 4 Study Area Mode of Transportation to Work	9
Figure 5 Study Area Land Use in McKinleyville	10
Figure 6 Study Area Street Classifications in McKinleyville	11
Figure 7 Study Area Posted Speed Limits in McKinleyville	12
Figure 8 State-Owned Facilities Traffic Volumes (2018)	13
Figure 9 Pedestrian-Involved and Bicycle-Involved Collisions in McKinleyville (2015-2019)	14
Figure 10 HTA Redwood Transit Route, Stops, and Average Ridership (2022) in McKinleyville ..	15
Figure 11 Demographic results Survey 1: Age	30
Figure 12 Demographic results Survey 1: Race	30
Figure 13 Photovoice Activity Submissions	32
Figure 14 Central Avenue Cross Section Options	42
Figure 15 Central Avenue Between Henry Lane and North Bank Road – Existing Conditions Cross Section	55
Figure 16 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments	60
Figure 17 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments – Evaluation Results	62
Figure 18 Central Avenue Between Henry Lane and North Bank Road – Alternative Alignment 2 Cross Section	62

List of Tables

Table 1 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments Evaluation Metrics and Weighting	61
Table 2: Recommended Project Phasing and Estimated Cost	65
Table 3 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternatives and Estimated Costs	66
Table 4 Near-Term Project Prioritization	66
Table 5 Medium-Term Project Prioritization	67

Table of Appendices

Appendix A: Existing Conditions Maps
Appendix B: Phase 1 Engagement: Presentations and Materials
Appendix C: Phase 2 Engagement: Presentations and Materials
Appendix D: Project Area Focus Corridors: Concept Cross Sections
Appendix E: Project Area Focus Corridors: Cost Estimates
Appendix F: Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignment Cost Estimates

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Hailie Smith

Signature

(707) 273-9083

Phone #

Hailie Smith

Name

hail78hhh@gmail.com

Email address

10/15/2025

Date

It just seems like there are other options that can be done besides reducing the lanes on Central Ave. Cameras, patrolling by law enforcement, and developing some if not all of that 20ft of Public right of way.

On the EIR report it was like we were going to have a meeting to approve it then it was canceled due to possible law suit issues and then it was approved. I thought I missed something but someone who follows all of this much closer than I do missed it too. Interesting.

I have all the concerns everyone has like Emergency Vehicles, School Buses and safety of pedestrians. We have two more grades coming into the Middle School, projected approximately 6,000 people for the Town Center, New Life Senior Housing project and the project going in behind Grocery Outlet and they want to narrow Central Ave down from 4 lanes to 2 lanes? Traffic will be backed up possibly even to the freeway. It most certainly won't be safe. I have included a picture of a Semi Truck unloading cars at the BMW Dealership 10/14/2025. They were in the turn lane but turning into the anyone of the businesses next to the Dealership was difficult.

I urge you the Board to vote "NO" on the Reduction of lanes for Central Ave and revising the Town Center plan to something a little smaller to fit in with the rural living of McKinleyville we all love.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Deborah Lankila', with a small circular flourish at the end.

Deborah Lankila
Concerned Citizen of McKinleyville

Executive Summary

The County of Humboldt (County) and the Redwood Community Action Agency (RCAA) applied for and was awarded a Caltrans Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant in the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Cycle. The application was submitted in cooperation with the McKinleyville Municipal Advisory Committee (MMAC). The Caltrans grant program encourages local and regional planning that furthers state goals, including, but not limited to, the goals and best practices cited in the Regional Transportation Plan Guidelines adopted by the California Transportation Commission.

The County, RCAA, and a consultant team led by Mark Thomas (MT) collaborated with community members, schools, social service organizations, and project partners to create the McKinleyville Multimodal Connections Project (MMCP). Multimodal improvement concepts were developed to promote connectivity between the unincorporated McKinleyville area, the fastest growing community in Humboldt County, and employment, schools, and community destinations around Humboldt Bay. The project kicked-off in the Spring of 2021 and included a comprehensive site analysis, public engagement, and concept development through the Fall of 2022.

The project team identified locations for enhancements to multimodal user facilities to improve travel by walking, bicycling, or utilizing other wheeled devices (mobility assistance devices, wheelchairs, strollers, etc.) in the project area. The project aims to foster transportation equity for disadvantaged community members, encourage active commuting, and support greenhouse gas reduction goals.

Through public outreach and engagement, a total of nine corridors within the project area were identified for focused improvements:

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 1. Azalea Avenue | 5. McKinleyville Avenue |
| 2. Central Avenue | 6. North Bank Road |
| 3. Hiller Road | 7. Ocean Avenue |
| 4. Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and
Heindon Road | 8. School Road |
| | 9. Washington Avenue |

In addition, the project team performed an evaluation of seven alternative routes to provide a high quality active transportation connection between McKinleyville and the City of Arcata via connection to the existing 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path from the intersection of Central Avenue and School Road (Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments).

Project Study Area


Situated between California's Pacific Coast and the Klamath Mountains range, the County of Humboldt (County) is the 14th largest county in the State of California. It is surrounded by Del Norte County to the North, Trinity County to the East, Mendocino County to the South, the Pacific Ocean to the West, and is accessible by US-101 from the North and South and State Route 36 (SR-36) and State Route 299 (SR-299) from the East. Over 30% of the County is forested public and/or tribal lands, and less than 1% of the County's land mass is occupied by its incorporated cities including Trinidad, Arcata, Blue Lake, Eureka, Ferndale, Fortuna, and Rio Dell. Approximately 6% of the County land is owned and managed by Native American Tribes and Rancherias.

Approximately 35% of the County's population resides in unincorporated communities, while 65% reside in incorporated cities. The majority of unincorporated communities and incorporated cities within the County are established along US-101, with exceptions to the latter including Blue Lake along State Route 299 and Ferndale along State Route 211. The community of McKinleyville surrounds US-101 and is located just north of the Mad River and 5-miles north of the City of Arcata. McKinleyville approximately measures 4-5 miles east/west by 7-8 miles north/south for a total of 21 square-miles.

McKinleyville has a population of approximately 17,000 residents, or 12% of the County's population. The community is situated on a bluff overlooking the Pacific Ocean to the West, surrounded by Westhaven-Moonstone to the North, Klamath North Coast forests to the east, and the Mad River to the South. McKinleyville provides a variety of parks, trails, and beaches, all of which are enjoyed by residents and visitors.



Figure 1 McKinleyville Multi-Modal Connections Project – Project Area



Background Review

McKinleyville Transit Study (2021)

The McKinleyville Transit Study was prepared in 2021 to inform future investments in public transportation in and around McKinleyville. The study assessed the potential investment in fixed route transit service in McKinleyville. When accounting for public input, current demands, and costs for additional transit service, the study recommends introduction of microtransit service in the area. Monitoring of the microtransit ridership levels can be utilized to determine whether fixed route service could be introduced in the future.

https://www.mckinleyvilletransitstudy.com/uploads/1/3/3/7/133791725/2021_mckinleyville_transit_study_final_report_for_hcaog.pdf

MCSD Parks and Recreation Master Plan (2019)

The McKinleyville Community Services District (MCSD) Parks and Recreation Master Plan was adopted in 2019 to provide recreational facilities and programs to the district. The Plan serves as a planning tool for the MCSD Recreation Advisory Committee and MCSD staff to support development and funding strategies for priority projects used for funding decisions and grant applications. It can also be used by residents as a source of information on recreational opportunities. The Plan includes existing recreational facilities and level of service, opportunities and constraints for future planning, and goals and strategies for recreational projects.

<https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/5383264/parks-recreation-master-plan-mckinleyville-community-services->

McKinleyville Middle School Walkability Report (2019)

In May 2019, the Humboldt County Department of Health and Human Services and RCAA held a Walkability Assessment attended by multiple stakeholders that identified constraints and barriers regarding walking and biking in the McKinleyville Middle School area. The resulting Walkability Report identifies areas of concern and recommends strategies for pedestrian, bicycle, vehicle, and wayfinding improvements around McKinleyville Middle School.

https://www.hcaog.net/sites/default/files/mck_ms_walkability_assessment_final_outcomes_final_reduced_file_size.pdf

Humboldt Regional Bicycle Plan (2018)

The Humboldt County Association of Governments (HCAOG) developed the Humboldt Regional Bicycle Plan (HRBP) to facilitate regional projects and programs that support building an enhanced bikeway network throughout the County. The HRBC includes a framework of objectives to measure progress toward increasing quantity and quality of trips made by bicycle within Humboldt County.

<https://www.hcaog.net/documents/humboldt-regional-bicycle-plan-2018>

Humboldt County Circulation Element (2017)

The 2017 Humboldt County Circulation Element is included as part of Humboldt County's General Plan. The Element describes the existing transportation network and proposes transportation routes, terminals, and other local transportation facilities including bicycle and pedestrian improvements. The Circulation Element provides goals, policies, and implementation measures to reduce vehicle miles

traveled, enhance communities, increase opportunities for active and healthy lifestyles, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

<https://humboldt.gov.org/205/General-Plan>

Humboldt County Variety in Rural Options of Mobility (2022-2042)

The Humboldt County Association of Governments adopted Variety in Rural Options of Mobility (VROOM) 2022-2042, its Regional Transportation Plan to facilitate State goals. VROOM advances the California State Transportation Agency's priority toward creating a sustainable multimodal transportation system to reduce individual vehicle trips and total miles traveled. The community was actively involved through public outreach and draft chapters were published as they were ready for stakeholder review. VROOM includes objectives and corresponding projects with a funding source, estimated cost, and implementation timeframe to facilitate better transportation options throughout Humboldt County.

<https://www.hcaog.net/documents/regional-transportation-plan-vroom-2022-2042>

Humboldt County Regional Trails Master Plan (2012)

The 2011 Regional Trails Master Plan was adopted in response to growing community demands for an enhanced active transportation network in Humboldt County. Input from the community through workshops and a focus group was utilized to create goals and policies that promote active transportation facility connections within and between communities. The plan provides development strategies, funding sources, and trail design guidelines to implement an active transportation network in Humboldt County.

https://www.hcaog.net/sites/default/files/bike_plan_2012_full_final_0.pdf

Humboldt County Regional Pedestrian Master Plan (2008)

The 2008 Regional Pedestrian Master Plan was developed to make walking an integral transportation mode within the County. Pedestrian network improvements were identified based on engagement with agency staff, the public, and community-based organizations. Corridor improvements in the McKinleyville area were identified along Washington Avenue, Hiller Road, and School Road.

https://www.hcaog.net/sites/default/files/2008_final_draft_-_hc_regional_ped_plan.pdf




Community Demographics

Age of Population

As the fastest growing unincorporated area of Humboldt County, McKinleyville is home to seventeen thousand (17,000) residents. Of those residents, 20.9% are 14 years old and under, 13.7% are between the ages of 15 to 24 years old, 16.4% are between the ages of 25 to 34 years old, 11.6% are between the ages of 35 to 44 years old, 9.4% are between the ages of 45 to 54 years old, 12.7% are between the ages of 55 to 64 years old, and 15.3% are age 65 years old and over.


Figure 2 Study Area Age of Population



Race and Ethnicity

The five largest ethnic groups in McKinleyville are White (Non-Hispanic) (73%), Hispanic or Latino (10%), populations of two or more races (9%), American Indian or Alaska Native (Non-Hispanic) (5%), and Asian (Non-Hispanic) (2%).


Figure 3 Study Area Race and Ethnicity



Mode of Commute to Work

According to available United States published Census data, 80.3% of persons over the age of 16 years commute alone to work by car, truck, or van and 8.8% carpooled. 4.1% of community members walked to work.

Figure 4 Study Area Mode of Transportation to Work




Existing Conditions

Land Use

McKinleyville is characterized by a commercial core along Central Avenue, stretching South from Murray Road to Bartow Road. Immediately surrounding the commercial core is a mixture of medium-density and low-density residential with some mixed-use and public land/facility properties throughout.

The project study area is bound by Railroad Drive, the Hammond Trail, south of Mad River, North Bank to Azalea Avenue and Central Avenue.

Figure 5 Study Area Land Use in McKinleyville



Roadway Classification


The majority of the roadways throughout McKinleyville and the project study area are classified as Local Roads. As defined by the County's Circulation Element, local roads are intended to provide access or entrance to residences.

Minor Collectors and Major Collectors are intended to move local traffic to and from Arterial Roads. There are four corridors classified as Minor Collectors within the project study area, including School Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Washington Avenue, and Central Avenue (North of Bartow Road). Central Avenue between Bartow Road and US-101/State Route 299 is the only Major Collector in the project area.

Arterials are intended to provide service between major traffic generators, such as Cities, large towns, and Highways. Within the project study area, North Bank Road is the only Minor Arterial and US-101 is the only Principal Arterial.

Caltrans owns and operates US-101 in the project area, as well as North Bank Road (State Route 200), and Central Avenue between North Bank Road and south of Henry Lane.

Figure 6 Study Area Street Classifications in McKinleyville



Posted Speed Limits

The posted speed limit is defined by the assigned roadway classification. Humboldt County assigns maximum speed limits as 25 miles per hour (MPH) for Local Roads, 35 MPH or less for Collectors, and 30-50 MPH for Arterials.

Figure 7 Study Area Posted Speed Limits in McKinleyville



Traffic Volumes

Travel volume data on US-101, North Bank Road [State Route 200 (SR 200)], and State Route 299 (SR 299) was provided by Caltrans in the vicinity of McKinleyville. Based on the available data it is estimated that up to 12,000 vehicles travel on Central Avenue, to and from the access ramp at Central Ave/US-101/SR 200 interchange, throughout a 24-hour period.

Caltrans also collected pedestrian and bicycle counts on the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path in 2014 and 2017. In each year counts were conducted on a Thursday, Saturday, and Sunday. The average number of users per day on the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path in 2014 was ten pedestrians and twenty-one bicyclists. The average number of users per day on the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path in 2017 was seven pedestrians and twenty-three bicyclists.

Figure 8 State-Owned Facilities Traffic Volumes (2018)



Collision History

Between 2015 and 2019, a total of twenty-eight collisions between a vehicle and a pedestrian or between a vehicle and a bicyclist occurred in McKinleyville. Approximately 2.2 collisions involving a bicyclist occurred each year, whereas 18% resulted in severe injury. Approximately 3.4 collisions involving a pedestrian occurred each year, whereas 36% resulted in a severe injury or fatality. All pedestrian fatalities occurred along Central Avenue and US-101 within the project area.

Figure 9 Pedestrian-Involved and Bicycle-Involved Collisions in McKinleyville (2015-2019)



Multimodal Improvements

Countermeasure Toolbox

Based on background review, discussions with agency staff, and feedback from the community, the following improvement types were considered for implementation within the project area. While all improvements within the Countermeasure Toolbox may not be featured in the recommendations, the list will serve as a reference for future roadway improvement projects applicable throughout the County.

Shoulder Widening



Shoulder widening was considered for roadways with constrained conditions, such as limited right-of-way, sight clearances, or which are not owned nor operated by the County. Widening the roadway to include a shoulder or increase shoulder width can improve mobility by creating space for multi-modal users on corridors where standardized bicycle and pedestrian facilities require extensive planning or design.

An alternative to additional roadway paving is a combination of restriping roadway pavement markings and narrowing motor vehicle lane widths to provide widened shoulders. Narrowing motor vehicle lanes is recognized as a traffic calming tool to both slow motor vehicle speeds and increase space for bicyclists and pedestrians.

Class III Shared Arrow (Sharrows)



Class III Bike Routes may also be referred to as Bicycle Boulevards and often are marked with Shared Arrow (Sharrow) pavement markings. The treatment is often utilized for streets with low traffic volumes, low posted vehicle speed limits, and is intended to connect discontinuous segments of bicycle lanes where roadway right of way is not wide enough to provide full bicycle lanes. The sharrows can be complimented with standardized posted signage stating as "BIKES MAY USE FULL LANE".

Advisory Lanes



Advisory lanes may also be referred to as Edge Lanes. The treatment has been implemented nationwide, within the State of California, and has been growing in popularity with local agencies and communities in the past 5-10 years. The treatment creates usable shoulders for bicyclists, pedestrians, etc. on a roadway that is otherwise too narrow to accommodate additional travel lanes. The shoulder is delineated by pavement marking and optional pavement color. Motorists may only enter the shoulder when no bicyclists, pedestrians, etc. are present. Motorists must overtake roadway users traveling on the shoulder with caution, and whichever user is further ahead having right-of-way.

Class II Bicycle Lanes



Class II Bike Lanes, or Bicycle Lanes, are designated lanes defined by pavement striping and signage for bicyclists adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes. Bike Lanes are one-way facilities that follow the flow of motor vehicle traffic. Bike lanes are typically five- to seven-feet wide and can be enhanced with green paint, including solid, stripes, along roadways as well as through intersections or conflict points to increase motor vehicle awareness of the bicyclists' dedicated space.

Class II Buffered Bicycle Lanes



Class II Buffered Bicycle Lanes are an enhanced version of Class II Bicycle Lanes. This facility includes the addition of a painted buffer area that further separates the bicycle lanes from the adjacent motor vehicle travel lane. Painted buffers can be a minimum of 1.5-feet wide, although 3- to 5-feet wide is desired when located next to a motor vehicle parking lane. The buffer treatment is a low-cost method of enhancing bicyclist and pedestrian travel.

Class IV Separated Bikeways



Class IV Separated Bikeways may also be referred to as Cycletracks and are a more recent facility type having been adopted into roadway design standards in 2018, per the Caltrans published Design Information Bulletin 89 (DIB 89). This facility includes the addition of a buffer area including a vertical element which further separated the bicycle lanes from the adjacent motor vehicle travel lane. Vertical elements may include bollards, landscaping, parked cars, or hardscape medians, and control access at intersections to reduce points of conflict between motor vehicles and bicyclists. The facility can be designed to accommodate one-way bicycle traffic on each side of the roadway, or bi-directional bicycle traffic on one side of the roadway.

Class I Off-Street Trails



Class I Off-Street trails are dedicated facilities for pedestrian, bicycle, and equestrian use and fully separated from motor vehicle traffic by existing on their own dedicated right-of-way. Class I trails provide striped lanes for bicycle travel in both directions, a striped lane for two-way pedestrian travel, and shoulder for equestrian use. Class I trails require the largest amount of right-of-way to construct as they require a minimum designated width to accommodate all user modes. The Hammond Trail is a local example of a Class I trail.

Widened Sidewalk/Multi-Use Trails



Widened Sidewalk/Multi-Use Trails are off-street facilities shared by pedestrians, bicyclists, and other users. The treatment is at curb height, separated from the roadway, and increases the width of existing sidewalk or constructs new sidewalk where none exists. The facility provides excess width of paved surface compared to standard ADA-accessible sidewalks yet and less width compared to Class I Off-Street Trails. Multiple user types can share the space, though bicyclists and other fast-paced modes must travel at slower speeds on widened sidewalk/multi-use trails to avoid conflict with slower-moving user modes.

Sidewalk Gap Closure



Sidewalk Gap Closure provides continuous sidewalks and routes for pedestrians, people with wheelchairs, strollers, and youth on bicycles. The treatment constructs sidewalks between locations of existing sidewalks where gaps exist. It helps to remove pedestrians from motor vehicle travel lanes, parking lanes, and roadway shoulders to reduce conflicts with motor vehicles. Sidewalk Gap Closure focuses on providing an all-weather pavement where pedestrian access otherwise is a dirt or unpaved area that is subject to the elements. Priority corridors considered for this treatment were those which provide connections to schools and community centers.

Sidewalk Parkway



Sidewalk parkways, also known as sidewalk strips or tree buffers, are buffer areas to increase separation between the curb and the sidewalk. The treatment can be enhanced with trees and/or landscaping to improve curb appeal and increase urban tree canopy. Sidewalk parkways enhance comfort for pedestrians on the sidewalk visual relief and sound buffer from the impacts of motor vehicular traffic. The preferred minimum width for a sidewalk parkway is 5-feet to 7-feet to ensure adequate distance between pedestrians and motor vehicles.

ADA-Accessible Curb Ramps



Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Accessible Curb Ramps, provide an accessible route between the sidewalk, or curb, and roadway for people using wheelchairs, strollers, walkers, crutches, and other mobility devices. ADA-Accessible Curb ramps are installed at intersections and midblock locations where there are pedestrian crosswalks. The treatment enhances mobility for pedestrians with visual impairments by directing them towards the crosswalk and indicating a change between curb and roadway through truncated domes. Mobility is also enhanced for pedestrians with physical restrictions by eliminating the need to step between the curb and roadway and providing adequate slope and landing area to accommodate the change in grade.

Curb Extensions



Curb Extensions may also be referred to as bulb-outs. The treatment provides an extension of existing curbs at intersections or mid-block crossings through concrete or pavement striping. The facility visually and physically narrows the roadway, reduces the turning radius for motor vehicles, and shortens street crossing distances for pedestrians while increasing their visibility to drivers. On roadways that permit on-street parking, curb extensions typically extend to the edge of the vehicle parking lane. The treatment also serves as a traffic calming measure by providing a visual cue to drivers that they are entering a restrictive street requiring slower vehicle speeds.

Continental Crosswalks



Continental Crosswalks are also referred to as High-Visibility. The treatment enhances standard crosswalks (longitudinal striping perpendicular to travel lanes) with interior transverse stripes 6-inches to 24-inches wide. The treatment provides a visual cue for motorists and bicyclists of upcoming pedestrian crossing activity and helps to increase visibility of people within the crosswalk from a further distance. Studies indicate higher yielding to pedestrians by motorists at continental crosswalks compared to standard crosswalks. Crosswalks are painted white, and yellow when nearby a school.

Pedestrian Refuge Islands



A pedestrian island is typically constructed in the middle of a 2-way street and provides a higher comfort level when crossing the roadway and can provide a place for pedestrians to stand and wait for motorists to stop or yield. This countermeasure is highly desirable for midblock pedestrian crossings on roads with four or more lanes. Design typically will create a two-stage crossing with the island to encourage pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time and look towards oncoming traffic before completing the second part of the crossing.

Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons



Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB's) enhance crossing activity for pedestrians and bicyclists crossing at unsignalized locations. Users activate flashing light emitting diode (LED) advanced signage and/or in-ground crosswalk LED lights through use of a push-button to notify drivers of intention to cross at a marked crosswalk. Flashing LED lights are visible to motorists in both night and day conditions for 24-hour benefits. The treatment is often recommended for high-activity crossings locations such as at schools, parks, trails, civic buildings, etc. RRFB's are effective at multi-lane crossings with speed limits less than 40 mph to increase pedestrian crossing visibility and cue for motorists to yield. RRFB's are provided locally along Central Avenue and multiple locations north of School Road.

Leading Pedestrian Intervals



Leading Pedestrian Intervals (LPI's) are traffic signal modifications that provide pedestrians an advanced "WALK" indication prior to motor vehicles in the parallel travel lane during the equivalent green light phase. The treatment provides pedestrians a three- to seven-second head start to enter the intersection, enhancing their visibility to drivers and reinforcing their right-of-way ahead of motorists making a right-turn on green. The treatment may be prioritized at intersections near schools or senior centers where crosswalk users may need additional time to cross. Assembly Bill 2264 (2022) requires state-owned or operated traffic signals to include the LPI treatment upon initial construction or replacement of the signal.

Bicycle Signals



Bicycle Signals are a traffic signal modification to help facilitate bicyclist crossings at intersections. The treatment enhances crossing activity for bicyclists by clarifying when to enter an intersection and by restricting conflicting motor vehicle movements. Bicycle signals follow the traditional three-lens traffic signal with green, yellow, and red indicator lights and can be employed at standard signalized intersections.

Bike Box



Bike Boxes minimize collisions involving bicycles by positioning bicyclists in front of motor vehicle drivers at an intersection. The treatment designates an area at the head of a traffic lane at a signalized intersection to provide bicyclists with a dedicated and visible position ahead of queuing motor vehicle traffic during the red signal phase. The treatment prioritizes bicyclists transitioning from a right-side bicycle lane to the left turn lane and prevents conflict with motor vehicles turning right. Bike boxes are applied at intersections with frequent bicyclist left-turns and/or motor vehicle right-turns.

Bikeway Conflict Zone Marking



Bikeway Conflict Zone Markings provide green pavement striping within the bicycle lane or bicycle crossing-area to highlight typical conflict zones (weaving areas) between motor vehicle and bicycle traffic. The green pavement color alerts motorists of delineation between motor vehicle and bicycle travel lanes, and highlights the intended paths of bicyclists through intersections, merging lanes, and across driveways. The treatment may also be applied at retail center driveways to alert motorists to look for bicyclists before entering a roadway. The markings enhance bicyclists' visibility and encourage yielding behavior of motorists at conflict points.

Speed Feedback Signs



Speed-Feedback Signs (SFS) are treatments oriented toward motorists while providing benefits for other users of the roadway. The treatment provides drivers with a digital display of their moving speed compared to the posted speed limit. When complemented with enforcement, speed-feedback signs can be effective in reducing speeds at desired locations, such as streets in proximity to schools and parks.

Lane Reduction



A lane reduction reconfigures the roadway. A frequently-implemented lane reduction involves converting a 4-lane, undivided roadway into a 3-lane roadway with a center turn lane. This is a candidate treatment for any undivided road with wide travel lanes or multiple lanes that can be narrowed or repurposed to improve pedestrian crossing safety.

By reducing the width of the roadway, pedestrians benefit from shorter crossing distances and often bike lanes or streetscape features can be added. Lane reductions are often effectively accomplished during pavement resurfacing.

New Traffic Signal



Installation of a traffic signal at busy intersections can help to organize travel by multi-modal users. The traffic signal helps to limit interactions between vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists with conflicting movements. Inclusion of push-buttons on the signal pole helps provide right-of-way for pedestrians and bicyclists, simplifying the crossing movement for multi-modal users.

Vehicle Travel Lane Narrowing



Vehicle travel lane narrowing can be achieved depending on the classification of a roadway and the County's circulation plan. With additional right of way created from narrowing vehicle travel lanes, space can be redistributed to serve bicycle lanes, cycle tracks, transit lanes, widened sidewalks, sidewalk parkways, and curb extensions. The treatment helps slow motor vehicle speeds, further enhancing travel for multi-modal users. The width of travel lanes identified in this report range between 11-feet and 12-feet in width. Local agency staff can further evaluate lane widths to address the context of the roadway conditions and based on applicable design standards. For example, Caltrans designs typically require 12-foot wide lanes and the County may be willing to implement 11-foot wide lanes to help manage travel speeds. Where lanes are wider than 12-feet in width, we recommend shoulder striping to provide a defined 12-foot width.

Intersection Narrowing



Intersection narrowing is a method of simplifying the intersection to benefit multi-modal users. The treatment realigns acute or obtuse angles between roadways to improve intersection sight angles and distances. The treatment increases motorists' ability to see pedestrians and bicyclists.

Advanced Stop Lines



Advance stop lines are pavement markings in advance of the marked crosswalk at a stop sign or traffic signal. The treatment increases the distance between pedestrians in a marked crosswalk and motor vehicles. It may be supplemented with a "Stop Here for Pedestrians" sign only where the law specifically requires that a driver must stop for a pedestrian.

Roundabout Modification



Roundabouts are circular intersections designed to manage vehicular speeds and help to reduce pedestrian exposure at intersections. Modifications may involve designated lanes or travel areas for bicyclists and enhancing visibility of pedestrian and bicyclist at the street crossings.

Stop Signs



Converting from a two-way stop to an all-way stop prevents motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians from having to cross free-flowing lanes of traffic at a side-street stop-controlled intersection. The intersection treatment may be considered at locations with high pedestrian and bicyclist volumes and implementation of all-way stop-control must satisfy traffic engineering warrant requirements. The treatment reduces the risk of collision and enhances travel comfort for multi-modal users.

Transit Stop Enhancements



Transit Stop Enhancements improve transit users' access, comfort, and mobility at the transit stop by providing additional amenities and features. Examples include benches, shelters, trash receptacles, bicycle parking/storage, schedule information, next-bus real-time data, and informational materials, etc. Examples of features include lighting, emergency call buttons, ADA-compliant landings, etc. Bus stop placement can also enhance accessibility, such as co-locating bus stops downstream of adjacent crosswalks (far-side) to complement pedestrian crossings. Far-side stop location enhances visibility by removing the transit vehicle from view of right-turning motor vehicle traffic, where the transit vehicle may block view of pedestrians in the crosswalk.

Implementation of transit stop enhancement are under the purview of the Humboldt Transit Authority (HTA). The HTA continues to provide enhancements where possible and conduct studies to identify focus locations. The County can provide enhancements to accommodate transit stops, such as ADA-compliant landings and providing pedestrian and bicycle routes to access the transit stops.



Recent and Ongoing Off-Street Improvements

Hammond Trail

The Hammond Trail is a former railroad corridor that serves recreational, commute, and utilitarian active transportation trips in McKinleyville. The 5.5-mile Hammond Trail connects between a bridge over the Mad River northerly to Clam Beach and is a segment of the larger California Coastal Trail. The trail serves as the community's primary walking, bicycling, and equestrian facility and is often used as the alternative to US-101 via the Hammond Trail Bridge to cross the Mad River. The design and maintenance of the Hammond Trail is a partnership effort by County of Humboldt and the California State Coastal Conservancy. The historic trail offers coastal views for hikers and bicyclists and is separated from vehicular traffic apart from a few roadway crossings.



Mid-Town Trail

The Mid-Town Trail is planned as a paved off-street facility, located east of US-101, for pedestrians and bicyclists traveling in the north-south direction. It currently exists as a 0.5-mile segment that connects residential neighborhoods from Parkside Drive to Railroad Avenue. Smaller segments of the Mid-Town Trail also exist north of Washington Avenue, connecting Heartwood Drive, Elmwood Place, and Sagewood Way.

The McKinleyville Town Center Master Plan (Town Center) is anticipated to provide mixed-use zoning to reduce dependency on motor vehicles and encourage pedestrian and bicycle travel. Coordination with the Town Center is expected to connect the Mid-Town Trail between Railroad Avenue and Hiller Avenue.

The 2017 McKinleyville Community Plan proposes extensions to the Mid-Town Trail to connect to additional trails and roadways in the community. Such proposals include connecting to the Hammond Trail, extending the Mid-Town Trail to Murray Road, continuing the trail east to connect to Central Avenue, and extending the Mid-Town Trail south to School Road. The proximity of Mid-Town Trail to Morris Elementary School and McKinleyville High School allows for parents and students to use the trail as an active transportation corridor to travel to and from school.

Central Avenue Bridle Trail

The Central Avenue Bridle Trail is located within a 20-foot wide area of public right of way on the easterly side of Central Avenue, maintained by the McKinleyville Community Services District (MCSD) which includes planter beds, sidewalk, and a 5-foot wide bridle trail originally intended to serve equestrian travel.

The Bridle Trail is a meandering landscaped route composed of decomposed granite (DG) created for equestrian use, although pedestrians, bicyclists, and others using wheeled devices may use the trail. The community of McKinleyville's slogan is: "Where Horses Have the Right of Way", and the Bridle Trail is a source of local pride commemorating the community's past and historic roots. Given the strong local preference to support equestrian activity, we recommend maintaining the current design of the Bridle Trail along Central Avenue.



Boyd Draw

The Boyd Draw is a US-101 underpass previously used by farmers to transport cattle from one grazing pasture to another. Although no longer used by farmers, the underpass is obstructed by boulders which prevent accessible travel underneath the highway. Caltrans is completing a project to enhance the underpass to support pedestrian and bicycle access between Wymore Road and Heindon Road (south of Mad River). The Caltrans-led Boyd Draw Project will provide a 470-foot long paved trail for travel by bicyclists and pedestrians under the existing US-101 overpass. Once finished, the facility will connect Wymore Road to Heindon Road. According to Caltrans staff, the project is currently in the Environmental Analysis phase.



Recent and Ongoing On-Street Improvements

School Road Multimodal Improvements

A residential subdivision, planned adjacent to School Road, was approved by the County in 2011 and expected to increase traffic volumes along School Road. To accommodate higher volumes of traffic, a roundabout was constructed on School Road in 2014 at the junction of School Road/Salmon Avenue/McKinleyville Avenue. The roundabout facilitates motor vehicle throughput and slows vehicle speeds entering and exiting US-101 via School Road. Subject to future private sector development, additional pedestrian and bicycle facilities may be constructed near the roundabout to facilitate multi-modal travel.

In 2015 the County reconstructed School Road between US-101 and Washington Avenue. Within the project, the County added Class II bike lanes, a center median with landscaping, and posted "share the road" signage to enhance visibility of bicyclists within the corridor. The County will incorporate additional enhancements through land developments discretionary approvals.

In 2016 the County reconstructed School Road between Fisher Avenue and Anderson Avenue to include sidewalks, twelve ADA curb ramps, and continental crosswalks at stop-controlled intersections. Other roadway improvements included designated on-street parking, Class III bike routes, and curb extensions to increase visibility of pedestrians crossing School Road. The addition of an off-street multi-use/widened sidewalk trail from Ocean Avenue to School Road, on the southerly side of the roadway, provides off-street connection to the Hammond Trail. Improvements to the intersection of Fischer Avenue and School Road included brick inlay curb extensions, raised median, and ADA accessible sidewalks to enhance separation between vehicles and multimodal users. An updated wayfinding signage directs pedestrians and bicycles to key destinations accessible from the Hammond Trail.



Central Avenue Roadway Improvements

Central Avenue is a north-south Minor/Major Collector road in McKinleyville and the primary connection to US-101 to the South. Central Avenue is the former alignment of US-101, prior to the construction of the highway's new alignment 0.8-miles further west, at which time Central Avenue was dedicated to the County of Humboldt. Due to high traffic volumes and high vehicle speeds, 46% of pedestrian-involved and bicycle-involved collisions within the project area (2015-2019) have occurred on Central Avenue. The County's Department of Public Works has invested efforts toward enhancing travel on Central Avenue and received funding from the State of California in 2016 to construct improvements along Central Avenue between Anna Sparks Way and Hiller Road.

The 2016 County led Improvements to Central Avenue included the addition of green paint at conflict areas along the existing Class II bike lanes, mid-block pedestrian crossings with refuge islands and user-activated rapid rectangular flashing beacons (RRFB's), and continental crosswalks at the intersections. Additional improvements to slow vehicle speeds within the multi-modal corridor included "35 MPH" painted pavement markings, and speed feedback signs installed north of School Road for northbound traffic and south of Sutter Road for southbound traffic. Traffic signals along Central Avenue were also updated to include emergency vehicle preemption devices to improve response times during emergency events.

Community feedback received during MMCP engagement expressed appreciation for the Central Avenue improvements and interest toward extending the green paint in Class II bike lanes north of Railroad Avenue.



McKinleyville Avenue Bicycle Lanes

McKinleyville Avenue is a two-lane, 25 MPH residential street in the project area and provides connection to McKinleyville High School and Morris Elementary School. As part of a Safe Route to School project, the County Public Works Department has implemented pedestrian and bicycle improvements to McKinleyville Avenue between Murray Road and Hiller Road. Improvements include Class II striped bicycle lanes, high-visibility crosswalks, reflective school zone signage, continuous crosswalks, and speed feedback signs.

Washington Avenue On-Street Parking

A residential subdivision has been approved by the County of Humboldt, located between Oakdale Drive, Washington Avenue, and School Road. The Washington Avenue residential project is conditioned to provide roadway enhancements on Washington Avenue to enhance bicycle and pedestrian travel. Improvements will include restriction of on-street parking to provide Class II bike lanes on the west side of Washington Avenue and sidewalk gap closure along the project frontage on Washington Avenue.


Planned Land Development Projects

McKinleyville Town Center

The Town Center project is a planned private sector land development within the project study area located between Railroad Drive, Central Avenue, Heartwood Drive, and McKinleyville Avenue. The project was introduced to the community in Fall 2019 and is incorporating public feedback with agency planning to develop the anticipated streetscape design, land uses, and zoning regulations. The project is intended to create a town center within McKinleyville and provide housing, dining and retail, and space for community social interactions. Streetscape design and site access to the Town Center continues to evolve; therefore, the MMCP provides recommendations that are consistent with the current Town Center plans.

MCSD BMX Track and Park

MCSD is working with consultants to plan a BMX Track and Park north of School Road and west of Washington Avenue. The project has received State of California, Parks & Recreation Proposition 68 grant funding for the design and construction. The new BMX Track and Park is expected to increase multi-modal demands in the vicinity of the Washington Avenue/School Road intersection.



Public Engagement

Public engagement for MMCP occurred in two phases throughout 2021 and 2022. Phase 1 involved efforts to understand community concerns and needs throughout the project area between Spring and Fall of 2021. The project team developed draft design concepts and returned to the community in Phase 2 to collect feedback and inform changes to the concepts between Spring and Fall of 2022.

Promotion of public engagement activities was facilitated through distribution of flyers at local civic buildings such as the McKinleyville Library, Azalea Hall, McKinleyville Activity Center, and the McKinleyville Resource Center. Additionally, flyers were posted in receptive businesses to promote the survey and engagement activities. RCAA worked with County staff to host a project webpage that included project information and status and an opportunity for providing feedback and input. The events described below were promoted by the MMAC and involved stakeholders were encouraged to promote the project further with their established networks.

Phase 1

MMAC Presentation #1

The project team presented to the MMAC on March 31, 2021, to provide an overview of the MMCP, study area boundaries and project timeline.

Project Task Force Meeting #1

The first Project Task Force (PTF) meeting was held on May 17, 2021, with a total of twenty-seven people attending the virtual meeting. The PTF is composed of members of the community that have shown interest in improving transportation within the McKinleyville area, members of the MMAC, and agency representatives. PTF members discussed their interests in the project outcomes, and the project background, timeline, goals, objectives, and roles. The project's Community Outreach Plan was reviewed by the PTF, and the meeting included discussion to identify the most challenging areas for people to walk or bike in the project area and project next steps.

Walk Audits

Concurrent walk audits in three locations were held on August 16, 2021, on three key corridors in the McKinleyville area (Hiller Road, Central Avenue, and the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path between Wymore Road and North Bank Road), with the participation of 33 community stakeholders. Over thirty comments were made



at each location, highlighting improvements to landscaping, bike facilities, ADA access, and other priorities. In a debrief session, fifty-eight comments were submitted which offered solutions or concerns on the comfort level of walking and bicycling. Concerns about motor vehicle traffic and the ability to cross streets comfortably were amongst the most discussed topics.

Community Workshop #1

The first Community Workshop was held in an online format on August 23, 2021, with a total of twenty-six participants attending virtually. A slideshow presentation was led by the consultant team and included opportunities to receive feedback from attendees. The major priorities identified by the community included enhancements for cycling and rolling, ability to cross the streets comfortably, improving bicycle facilities, and improving walking and biking conditions along Central Avenue within McKinleyville's commercial area. The event included a breakout session among four groups where participants' comments were recorded digitally using Google's My Maps geo-comment feature. A total of fifty comments were collected during the breakout session. Participant comments were shown densely near Hiller Park, the Central Avenue commercial area, and near School Road. Comments received were specific to locations within the study area and covered topics including addressing traffic and comfort while bicycling and walking.

Public Survey #1

Public survey #1 was hosted online between July 12th and September 24th, 2021. A total of eighty-four participants completed the survey and provided input around their priorities toward transportation within McKinleyville. Figure 11 illustrates the age of the public survey #1 participants with 65% of participants ranging in age from 40 to 69 years of age.

Figure 11 Demographic results Survey 1: Age





Figure 12 illustrates the race of the public survey #1 participants with 74% of participants identifying as White or Caucasian. The goal for participation by race was achieved with the remaining 26% identifying as Hispanic, Latino, Multiracial, Asian or Pacific Islander, Native American, or Alaska Native.

Figure 12 Demographic results Survey 1: Race



One participant completed the public survey #1 in Spanish language. Approximately 70% of public survey #1 participants resided in the 95519 zip code which encompasses the McKinleyville area, and over 75% of the participants were age 40 and older. The primary mode of transportation used by participants to travel in the project area was a personal motor vehicle (80%). Most of the concerns about walking or bicycling within the project area referenced missing sidewalks, bicycle lanes, high motor vehicle speeds, and not enough separation from motor vehicles. Most participants wanted to see dedicated paths for walking and bicycling, bicycle infrastructure improvements, enhanced transit route coverage and increased availability of transit shelters, and general improvements to enhance pedestrian and bicycle travel.

Project Task Force Meeting #2


A second PTF meeting was held on November 8th, 2021, with a total of 27 PTF members attending the virtual meeting. PTF members reviewed the results of outreach and engagement to date and observations specific to focus areas of the project. PTF members provided input toward prioritization metrics to evaluate routing alignments for crossing the Mad River and accessing the US-101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. PTF members also discussed and prioritized potential locations for the pop-up demonstration event. The meeting included an overall project status and next steps discussion.



Photovoice Activity

Photovoice is a community input-gathering method for ethnographic and behavioral research that allows people to share images and ideas. A photovoice submission activity was launched with an announcement on October 26th, 2021. The announcement called for members of the public to email one or more photos showing a need for improved walking, bicycling, or access to transit within the project area and include a narrative describing the issues shown in submitted photos. Photovoice submissions were accepted between November 1st and 20th, 2021. Ten members of the community submitted Photovoice contributions. Narratives submitted included requests for off-street paths, bicycle locks and shelters, programming, and infrastructure oriented toward youth, and general infrastructure improvements to the existing conditions in McKinleyville.

Figure 13 Photovoice Activity Submissions



Phase 1 Summary of Feedback Received

Input from the walk audits, first community workshop, and public survey indicated a strong concern for the needs of pedestrians, bicyclists, and particularly vulnerable roadway users throughout the project area. Participants noted that Central Avenue in particular poses a significant challenge for all roadway users.

Priorities from the community:

- Improving comfort while walking or bicycling throughout the project area
- Enhancing ability to cross streets with intersection treatments
- Slowing traffic in areas near schools, parks, and shopping centers
- Addressing ADA access constraints including sidewalk gaps, narrow sidewalks, and curb connection improvement needs
- Enhancing connectivity for children and seniors using sidewalks as a primary route of transportation
- Identifying and developing a route of travel separated from motorized vehicles for bicycles and pedestrians along Central Avenue, particularly south of Bartow Road



Phase 2

MMAC Meeting #2

In January 2022, the project team presented an update to the MMAC that included dates for the upcoming Pop-up Demonstration Event, dates and community flyer for Community Workshop #2, and a project schedule update. The results of the Photovoice submission activity were presented to the MMAC at this meeting.


Pop-up Demonstration Event

A Pop-up Demonstration event was held on April 1st and 2nd, 2022, along Hiller Road just west of Central Avenue. A two-way separated bikeway was shown using temporary materials to allow people to see and experience a new form of bike infrastructure not yet deployed within Humboldt County. A total of fifty-two people walked or bicycled in the pop-up demonstration event area. These community members provided feedback about the infrastructure demonstration, along with comments about walking and biking in McKinleyville. The project team had two questionnaire boards for participants to vote on their preferred bikeway types and provide input on where they live within Humboldt County. The project team collected comments on informational boards including a map of the project area and renderings of bikeways. Approximately sixty-eight comments were collected over the two-day event.



Community Workshop #2

A second Community Meeting was held on April 28th, 2022, with a total of twenty-two participants. The meeting was held in-person at Azalea Hall and online via Zoom to allow participants to attend either in-person or via video conference. A total of ten people participated online and twelve people participated in person. Both online and in-person participants received the same presentation from the project team and submitted feedback in different methods. Online participants used an online survey tool and commented using the microphone feature, while in-person participants held roundtable discussions and commented using handwritten notes posted on display boards. A total of twenty-two handwritten comments were received from the in-person meeting. Feedback received from both online and in-person meetings provides input toward draft concepts of improvements on key corridors within the project study area.



Public Survey #2

A second online public survey was hosted between May 10th and 24th, 2022 and received a total of sixty-six responses. The online survey featured an abbreviated version of the presentation from the Community Meeting on April 28th and received input from the public on their preferred types of improvements for key corridors throughout the project study area.

Project Task Force Meeting #3

A third PTF meeting was held on June 30, 2022, with a total of five PTF members attending the virtual meeting. PTF members reviewed outreach and engagement conducted in Phase 2 of the MMCP, feedback on draft concepts for key project area corridors, and seven alternative alignments for multi-modal access to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path from Central Avenue/School Road intersection. The meeting included an overall project status and next steps discussion.

MMAC Meeting #3

On September 28, 2022, the project team presented to the MMAC in their monthly hybrid meeting format. The MMAC received a detailed presentation about the proposed recommendations and alternatives for representative segments of roadway throughout the project area. The MMAC provided feedback about how to make the content clearer to local roadway users and decision-makers and which alternatives they preferred in certain portions of the project area. The MMAC also shared their passion for roadway improvements in McKinleyville and their desire for this project to advance to future design and implementation stages.

Small Group and One-on-One Outreach

Throughout the project, the project team has held small group or one-on-one discussions and received communications from individuals about the project. In addition to the other forms of outreach described here, the project team received emailed input from sixteen individual community members. The project team met with two community groups comprised primarily of Spanish-speaking families and parents in the project area to discuss their concerns. The project team also held a discussion with landowners and the County Planning Director about the McKinleyville Town Center project to understand how the projects can complement one another. The project team met with HTA, and the MMAC subcommittee focused on trails and transportation to understand their concerns and history of the project. Early in the project, the project team also met with representatives from the Boys and Girls Club to hear youth and caregiver ideas.

Phase 2 Summary of Feedback Received

Input from the Photovoice project, pop-up demonstration, second community workshop, and public survey helped refine the project recommendations and prioritize roadway improvement concepts. Highlighted themes include providing bicycle routes and maintaining access to key destinations for all roadway users.

Priorities for improvement identified during public engagement include:

- Integrating Central Avenue roadway and transportation improvements with the future Town Center development
- Addressing missing infrastructure in specific locations throughout the project area
- Providing short-term improvements to the Central Avenue corridor while maintaining momentum on long-term improvements, such as a separate facility to serve as a major transportation corridor for active transportation travelers
- Evaluating and conducting further analysis of a lane reduction concept on Central Avenue, in addition to the preferred widened sidewalk multi-use trail concept which preserves the current number of travel lanes.
- Providing improvements to lower-volume roadways to ensure that all users can use the road, including in areas with limited right-of-way

Throughout the project, the PTF and MMAC provided feedback that helped to guide the outreach efforts and ensure as many voices as possible were heard. This guidance resulted in launching additional online surveying tools, hosting small group meetings to understand project ideas and concerns, and redistributing Spanish-language outreach to the community.



Project Needs and Recommendations

Public feedback collected throughout Phase 1 of the engagement process helped focus the project on areas within McKinleyville that the community prioritized for multi-modal enhancements including transit access improvements. A total of nine corridors within the project area were identified for focused transportation improvements. A needs analysis was performed for each of the corridors to review detailed existing conditions, collision histories, and opportunities for improvements. Feedback from the public and PTF collected in Phase 2 of the engagement process helped refine the recommendations for the nine corridors. The following section details existing conditions and recommendations for the nine Project Area Focus Corridors.

Project Area Focus Corridors

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 1. Azalea Avenue | 5. McKinleyville Avenue |
| 2. Central Avenue | 6. North Bank Road |
| 3. Hiller Road | 7. Ocean Avenue |
| 4. Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and
Heindon Road | 8. School Road |
| | 9. Washington Avenue |






Azalea Avenue

Existing Conditions


Azalea Avenue is a two-lane local road that connects to Cochran Road to the north and North Bank Road to the south. It is primarily fronted by single-family residential driveways and provides access to Azalea State Natural Reserve near North Bank Road. The corridor is characterized by street trees, vertical and horizontal curves, a steep elevation change between Hewitt Road and North Bank Road, and a lack of pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

On-street parking is prohibited along Azalea Avenue, and intermittent access to grass pathways fronting property lines is the informal walking facility. The lack of separated bike facilities requires bicyclists to travel in the motor vehicle lanes, and the parking lot for Azalea State Natural Reserve is separated from the reserve's trailheads by Azalea Avenue. Access between the reserve parking lot and trails is provided by one marked pedestrian crossing with advanced warning signs north of the parking lot.

While no collisions involving pedestrians or bicyclists have been reported on the corridor, Azalea Avenue has been frequently cited in both public feedback and in meetings with the Project Task Force as a corridor for improvement. There is an opportunity to enhance bicycle and pedestrian travel along and crossing the corridor.



AZALEA AVENUE - EXISTING
Cochran Road to Hewitt Road



AZALEA AVENUE - EXISTING
Hewitt Road to North Bank Road

Azalea Avenue

Recommendations

The Azalea Avenue corridor was first identified in Project Task Force meetings to discuss focused corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement process, public comments were submitted related to Azalea Avenue specifically at the corridor connection to Sutter Road and near the Azalea Avenue Cochran Road intersection.


*** Cost Estimate: \$4,874,000**

* Cochran Road to Hewitt Road

This segment focuses on improving bicycle signing and striping within the existing right of way. Recommendations include Class III bikeway sharrows and R4-11 signs (bicycle may use full lane) where the roadway is mostly flat and without curves.


* Hewitt Road to North Bank Road

This segment focuses on improving bicycle infrastructure between Hewitt Road and North Bank Road. Recommendations include shoulder widening where the roadway descends and features curves. The 4 feet wide shoulder will provide north-south travel paths for bicyclists and pedestrians on both sides of Azalea Avenue.



AZALEA AVENUE - PROPOSED

Cochran Road to Hewitt Road



AZALEA AVENUE - PROPOSED

Hewitt Road to North Bank Road



- 1 Class III Bikeway
- 2 Shoulder Widening





Central Avenue

Existing Conditions

Central Avenue is a five-lane major/ minor collector road that provides a connection between Clam Beach to the north and North Bank Road to the south while serving as a primary access route to Highway 101 to the south. The corridor is primarily fronted by commercial land use with access to six transit stops serving the Redwood Transit System for Humboldt Transit Authority. The Bridle Trail on the roadway's easterly side provides a semi-separated multi-use pathway for people walking, bicycling, and on horseback. Central Avenue provides access to schools, civic intuitions, community centers, and shops, restaurants, and other retail destinations.

Class II bicycle lanes with green paint and accessible sidewalks are available between Hiller Road and Anna Sparks Way on both sides of the roadway. At the five signalized intersections within this segment, pedestrian crossing facilities include continental crosswalks and ADA accessible curb ramps, and mid-block crossings between Hiller Road and Bartow Road provide pedestrian refuge islands in addition to continental crosswalks and reflective pedestrian crossing signage. The 0.3 mile segment between Bartow Road and Bella Vista Drive is a two-lane roadway with access to road shoulders on both sides of the roadway. The lack of pedestrian and bicycle facilities south of Bartow Road prompts pedestrians and bicyclists to use the road shoulder as informal walking and bicycling facilities.


Between 2015 and 2019, eight pedestrian collisions and three bicycle collisions were reported along Central Avenue, and of these eleven collisions, eight were concentrated between Heartwood Drive and Anna Sparks Way where there is a density of surrounding retail land uses. The retail density introduces high volumes of driveways and people movement thus increasing interactions and conflict points between vehicles and active transportation users. There is an opportunity to extend walking and bicycling facilities south of Anna Sparks Way and improve the visibility of pedestrians and bicyclists along Central Avenue.

Public commenter input:

"The addition of bike lanes along Central Ave a few years ago was great. I would feel safer having my children ride their bikes in the bike lane if there was a barrier between the bike lane and the car lane."



2.1
Miles



Major/Minor
Collector Road



8
Bus Stops



3
Schools



1
Parks



9
Civic
Institutions



4
Grocery
Stores



5.7
Pedestrian
Collision per Mile



1.4
Bicyclist
Collision per Mile



1
Trail
Access Point

Central Avenue

Recommendations

The Central Avenue Corridor was first identified in Project Task Force meetings to discuss focused corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement process, public comments were submitted related to Central Avenue specifically at the Central Avenue/ Railroad Drive intersection, in the downtown area, and near McKinleyville Middle School. While the complete corridor extends from Railroad Drive to Bella Vista Drive, improvements are categorized in segments due to the future Town Center Development Project.

* School Road to Railroad Drive

This project is anticipated to be conditioned by the future Town Center Development Project or otherwise completed by the County of Humboldt. Three concepts were presented to the public and project task force for the segment between Railroad Drive and School Road including a road diet lane reduction, buffered bike lanes, and a multi-use trail on the roadway's westerly side making it easier for users to safely walk and bike in the area. Based on public feedback, the preferred concept was the multi-use trail. Implementation provides pedestrians and bicyclists with a 10 feet wide, physically separated path for north-south travel on Central Avenue's westerly side.

*** Total Cost: \$4,830,000**

- 1 Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon
- 2 Shoulder widening
- 3 Roadway Restriping (Northbound)
- 4 Paved Pedestrian and Bicycle Path
- 5 Multi-Use Widened Sidewalk Trail

* North Bank Road/Reserve Road to School Road

A series of interim pedestrian and bicycle improvements are recommended between School Road and Bella Vista Drive. Implementation of a buffer treatment between northbound travel lanes and the shoulder/ bike lanes, where feasible, would provide separated walkways and bikeways along Central Avenue. Additional supportive infrastructure includes paving pedestrian and bicycle travel paths on the shoulder between School Road and Bartow Road where the existing footpath is well defined. Improvement to Central Avenue/ Bella Vista Drive includes the consideration of roadway restriping to remove the secondary northbound through lane. On the corridor's southern terminus, pedestrian improvements include an RRFB crosswalk and other enhancements at Reserve Road/ North Bank Road where frequent crossing occurs; it also includes shoulder widening south of Henry Lane to provide a 4 to 5 feet travel path. Additional improvements include landscaping maintenance to prevent plant overgrowth into useable support facilities which will help with visibility and possible sight line issues thus making the area safer for users.


Public commenter input:

"There should be a sidewalk or footpath installed along Central Avenue between Bella Vista Ave. and the Mill Creek Shopping Center."




Figure 14 Central Avenue Cross Section Options

Existing Cross Section




CENTRAL AVENUE - EXISTING
School Road to Railroad Drive

West-Side Multi Use Trail Cross Section



CENTRAL AVENUE - PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1
Bella Vista Avenue to Railroad Drive

Lane Reduction Cross Section



CENTRAL AVENUE - PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 2
Bella Vista Avenue to Railroad Drive



Hiller Road

Existing Conditions

Hiller Road is a two-lane local road providing connections between residential and park land uses on the west to retail and schools to the east. Hiller Road is primarily fronted by residential homes with street-facing private driveways. Hiller Road connects to recreational and commercial destinations such as Hiller Park, Hammond Trail, and the future Town Center development.

While on-street parking is available on both sides of the roadway throughout the corridor, the corridor is characterized by intermittent sidewalk availability; this prompts pedestrians and bicyclists to walk and bicycle in on-street shoulders and cross Hiller Road at undesignated mid-block crossings to access supportive facilities. For

example, the Highway 101 overpass provides a narrow sidewalk shared by pedestrians and bicyclists but only on the facility's northerly side. Pedestrians and bicyclists on the southerly side must cross Hiller Road to access the Highway 101 overpass sidewalk for a path separated from vehicles.

Between 2015 and 2019, two pedestrian and bicycle collisions have been reported along Hiller Road at the intersections of Hiller Road/Walker Avenue and Hiller Road/McKinleyville Avenue. The corridor offers a total of 0.20 miles of sidewalk. There is an opportunity to provide sidewalk gap closures and marked crosswalks at stop-controlled intersections.



1.0
Miles



Local Road



1
Grocery Stores



1.0
Pedestrian
Collision per Mile



1.0
Bicyclist
Collision per Mile




1
Parks



1
Trail
Access Point

Public commenter input:

"The bike lane is okay on Hiller, but the intersection at Hiller Rd and McKinleyville Ave is too large, with no crosswalks. Redesigning this intersection should be a high priority."



HILLER ROAD - EXISTING
Fischer Avenue to US-101

Hiller Road

Recommendations

The Hiller Road corridor was first identified in Project Task Force meetings in order to discuss focused corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement, public comments were submitted related to Hiller Road specifically near Hiller Park and the uncontrolled crossing at Fisher Road. While the full corridor includes Fisher Road to Central Avenue, recommendations vary east and west of McKinleyville Avenue due to the future Town Center Development Project which influences recommendations east of McKinleyville Avenue.

* Fisher Ave to Highway 101

This segment focuses on improving pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure within the existing right of way. Improvements include installing a mixed-use widened sidewalk trail on the roadway's northerly side. The proposed 12 feet wide facility will provide a separated area for people to walk and bicycle. The project will retain a parking/shoulder on the roadway's northerly side and remove parking on the roadway's southerly side.


* Highway 101 to McKinleyville Ave

This segment proposes widening the pedestrian and bicycle facility on the northerly side of the Highway 101 overpass, installing a mixed-use widened sidewalk trail on the roadway's northerly side between the overpass and McKinleyville Ave, and reconstruction of the intersection at Hiller Rd/McKinleyville Ave to provide narrower motor vehicle lanes, widen pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and reduce the crossing distance.

* McKinleyville Ave to Central Ave

This segment is anticipated to be conditioned by the future Town Center Development Project or otherwise completed by the County of Humboldt. Recommendations include a sidewalk gap closure and a one-way cycle track in both directions.

*** Cost Estimate: \$8,073,000**





HILLER ROAD - PROPOSED
Fischer Avenue to US-101

- 1 Mixed-Use Widened Sidewalk Trail
- 2 Sidewalk Gap Closure
- 3 Sidewalk Gap Closure and Cycletrack

Public commenter input:

"Better signage on Hiller for the Hammond Trail. Easy to miss the connection. Better, fill the gap!"





Mad River Road, Miller Lane, Heindon Road

Existing Conditions


The corridor consists of three roadways which are commonly used as bicyclist's and equestrians' routes to travel between McKinleyville and the City of Arcata: Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and Heindon Road. Mad River Road and Heindon Road are striped for two travel lanes while Miller Lane does not have a centerline stripe yet functions as a bidirectional roadway. The corridor is primarily fronted by agricultural land use and frequently used by motor vehicle farming equipment such as tractors and heavy trucks. Furthermore, it connects to the Hammond Trail Bridge, Mad River County Park, and the Mad River Beach.

The corridor is characterized by narrow roadways (20-feet, 15-feet, and 25-feet wide, respectively) and a scenic farmland drive and contains no on-street parking or shoulders. The lack of walking facilities along Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and Heindon Road prompts pedestrians to use grass pathways thereby fronting property lines as an informal walkway. Regarding bicyclists, they are required to travel in the motor vehicle travel lane.

Between 2015 and 2019, one bicycle collision occurred on Miller Lane. There is an opportunity to provide a formalized route for pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians who currently use the corridor and encourage the use of others by enhancing visibility of multi-modal users throughout.

Public commenter input:

"The southbound shoulder needs to open up to Heindon Rd S of the Mad River for those who must walk against traffic and bicycles that travel with the flow of traffic southbound."



MAD RIVER ROAD, MILLER LANE, AND HEINDON ROAD - EXISTING


Mad River Road, Miller Lane, Heindon Road

Recommendations

The Mad River-Miller Lane-Heindon Road corridor was identified in a public survey and at the community workshop to discuss priority corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement process, public comments were submitted related to the corridor specifically near Mad River Bridge, the southern trailhead of the Hammond Trail, and at the Heindon Road connection to the City of Arcata.

This project focuses on improvements to bicycle, pedestrian, and equestrian infrastructure within the existing right of way. Two concepts were presented to the public and project task force including advisory lanes and Class III bikeways with the preferred concept based on public feedback were advisory lanes. Implementation would reconfigure roadway stripping to create useable shoulders on a roadway that is otherwise too narrow to accommodate bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians. The shoulder would be 4 feet wide and delineated by pavement marking. Motorists may only enter the shoulder when no other users are present and must overtake these users with caution. With these improvements, bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians will have a safer space further removed from motorists.

*** Total Cost: \$429,000**




**MAD RIVER ROAD, MILLER LANE, AND
HEINDON ROAD - PROPOSED**

Hammond Trail Bridge to Giuntoli Lane

Public commenter input:

"The Hammond trail south of the Mad River Bridge needs improvements instead of being part of a country road."

- 1 Hammond Trail Bridge
- 2 Advisory Lanes





McKinleyville Avenue


Existing Conditions

McKinleyville Avenue is a two-lane minor collector road, primarily fronted by residential use, that provides connections to residential neighborhoods east of Highway 101. McKinleyville Avenue supports connections to nearby destinations such as Morris Elementary School, McKinleyville High School, segments of the Mid-Town Trail, and two religious institutions.

On-street parking is available between Railroad Drive and Hiller Road on the roadway's westerly side. Although parking is not prohibited by signage between Hiller Road and Heartwood Drive on the roadway's easterly side, the right-of-way is too narrow to accommodate on-street parking. Class II bicycle lanes exist on both sides of the

roadway between Railroad Drive and Hiller Road, and there are no bikeway facilities on McKinleyville Avenue south of Hiller Road. Accessible sidewalk is on the west side of the roadway between Railroad Drive and Hiller Road and intermittently on both sides of the roadway between Hiller Road and Chelsea Way.

Between 2015 and 2019, two pedestrian and bicycle collisions were reported along McKinleyville Avenue at the intersections of Railroad Drive/McKinleyville Avenue and Hiller Road/McKinleyville Avenue. While pedestrians must cross at unmarked crosswalks, bicyclists must travel in the motor vehicle lane or in on-street parking lanes due to the lack of continuous bicycling facilities. There is an opportunity to extend the Class II bicycle lane from Hiller Road to Chelsea Way, improve sidewalk gap closure, and provide marked crosswalks at all stop-controlled intersections.



0.6
Miles



Minor
Collector Road



1.8

Bicyclist
Collisions
per Mile



1.8

Pedestrian
Collisions
per Mile

Public commenter input:

"There is also a lot of vegetation encroaching on the sidewalks on McKinleyville Avenue that should be trimmed."

Public commenter input:

"There is a field on the East side of McKinleyville Ave that would be great for a separate bike/walk path."

McKinleyville Avenue

Recommendations

The McKinleyville Avenue corridor was identified in a public survey and at the community workshop regarding priority corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement, public comments were submitted related to McKinleyville Avenue specifically near Morris Elementary and at the stop-controlled Hiller Road/ McKinleyville intersection. While the complete corridor is from Railroad Drive to Chelsea Way, improvements are categorized in segments due to the future Town Center Development Project which influences recommendations north of Hiller Road.

* Hiller Road to Chelsea Way


This project focuses on improving bicycle facilities from Hiller Road to Chelsea Way. Two concepts were presented to the public and project task force including on-street bicycle lanes and Class III bikeways. Based on public feedback, the preferred concept was Class II on-street bicycle lanes. Implementation would provide 5 feet-wide bicycle lanes and require the removal of up to 17 on-street parking spaces on the roadway's westerly side limiting vehicular parking facilities which would simultaneously create a safer space for bicyclists and encourage more residents to bike.

- 1 Class II Bike Lanes
- 1 Sidewalk Gap Closure, Signing, and Striping

* Railroad drive to Hiller Rd

This project is anticipated to be conditioned by the future Town Center Development project or otherwise completed by the County of Humboldt. There are recommendations to improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities including sidewalk gap closures, enhanced signing, roadway striping, and street crossings. These improvements will not only develop walking and bicycling capabilities throughout the corridor but will also bolster nighttime visibility making it easier for all users to navigate throughout this space.

*** Total Cost: \$1,968,000**



MCKINLEYVILLE AVENUE - CLASS 2 BIKEWAY

Hiller Road to Heartwood Drive





North Bank Road

Existing Conditions

North Bank Road is a two-lane, East-West Caltrans-owned facility (State Route 200) connecting to State Route 299 freeway to the east and Central Avenue/Highway 101 to the west. The corridor runs along the north bank of the Mad River and is primarily fronted by residential and agricultural land use. North Bank Road connects to recreational destinations such as the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path and Azalea State Reserve.


The corridor is characterized by white edgelines, solid double yellow centerline stripe, and a lack of shoulders. While intermittent access to turnouts is available on roadway's southerly side allowing for motor vehicle passing, the corridor lacks formal pedestrian and bicycling facilities, and the lack of shoulders require bicyclists to travel in the motor vehicle travel lane.

Between 2015 and 2019, one fatal pedestrian collision occurred at the interchange of Central Avenue/Highway 101 and North Bank Road. The at-grade intersection lacks crossing facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists to travel from the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path to the northerly side of North Bank Road and Central Avenue. There is an opportunity to provide supportive facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians along North Bank Road and to enhance pedestrian and bicycle crossings from the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.



Public commenter input:

"North Bank Road going north towards Central Avenue and the Central Avenue Exit off the 101 intersections are both concerns. There are often near misses with pedestrians making a right turn onto the hill going to Central Avenue."



NORTH BANK ROAD - EXISTING


Highway 101 to SR 299

North Bank Road

Recommendations

The North Bank Road corridor was identified in a public survey and at the community workshop to discuss priority corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement process, public comments were submitted related to North Bank Road specifically at the Central Avenue/North Bank Road/Highway 101 intersection and at the corridor connection to Azalea Avenue.

*** Cost Estimate: \$13,094,000**



NORTH BANK ROAD - PROPOSED

- 1 Roadway Widening (Phase 1)
- 2 Roadway Widening (Phase 2)

* Central Ave/Hwy 101 intersection to Azalea Avenue


This project focuses on enhancing support facilities such as shoulder widening between Central Ave/Hwy 101 intersection and Azalea Avenue. The recommended 8 foot wide shoulders will provide east-west travel paths for bicyclists and pedestrians on both sides of North Bank Road.

* Azalea Avenue to State Route 299

The segment of Azalea Avenue to State Route 299 is outside of the project area which requires a separate study to evaluate the potential of a phase 2 project. Implementation of a phase 2 project could extend shoulder widening improvements along North Bank Road east to State Route 299. It is recommended the County work with Caltrans and the Humboldt County Association of Governments (HCAOG) to consider if SR-200 (North Bank Road) can be considered for a Highway to Boulevard concept and if appropriate, include in the future RTIP.

Public commenter input:

"Need for better lighting (corner of North Bank Road and Azalea Rd.)"





Ocean Drive

Existing Conditions

Ocean Drive is a two-lane local road, primarily fronted by residential homes with street-facing private driveways, connecting to Hiller Road to the north and School Road to the south. The corridor provides access to the Mad River Access and Mad River Bluffs recreational trails and the Hammond Trail and it is characterized by intermittent accessible sidewalks and on-street parking.

On-street parking is permitted on both sides of the roadway where the right-of-way is wide enough to support parking facilities. Sidewalk availability is intermittent throughout corridor requiring pedestrians to use gravel or grass pathways as the informal walking facility. The lack of bicycle facilities prompts bicyclists to travel in the motor vehicle lanes which are not marked for Class III bikeways.

While no collisions involving pedestrians or bicyclists have been reported on along Ocean Avenue, there is an opportunity to enhance travel for multi-modal users to support recreational access throughout the corridor.


Recommendations

The Ocean Drive corridor was identified in a public survey and at the community workshop to discuss priority corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement process, public comments were submitted related to Ocean Drive specifically near the School Road/ Ocean Drive intersection.

*** Total Cost: \$441,000**

* Hiller Road to School Road

This project focuses on improvements to bicycle, pedestrian, and equestrian infrastructure within the existing right of way. The recommendation includes advisory lanes which would reconfigure roadway stripping to create useable shoulders on a roadway that is otherwise too narrow to accommodate bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians. The shoulder would be 4 feet wide and delineated by pavement marking and optional pavement color. The center lane is dedicated to two-way motor vehicle traffic and motorists may only enter the shoulder when no other users are present and must overtake these users with caution. Similar to developments along Mad River Road to Heindon Road, these improvements will encourage various active transportation modes and create a safer space bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians as they are further removed from motorists.




OCEAN DRIVE - PROPOSED
Hiller Road to School Road



0.6
Miles




1
Parks



Local Road



2
Trail Access
Points



School Road

Existing Conditions

School Road is a three-lane minor collector road that links residential areas west of US-101 to Central Avenue commercial corridor to the east. The roadway is primarily fronted by residential driveways and connections to neighborhood streets. The corridor is characterized by Class II bicycle lanes on both sides of the roadway, intermittent accessible sidewalk connectivity, and the sidewalk parkways.

On-street parking is permitted on the roadway's southerly side throughout the corridor and is prohibited on the northerly side between US-101 and Washington Avenue. The roundabout at School Road/Salmon Avenue/McKinleyville Avenue includes splitter islands, continental crosswalks, and sidewalks. Due to gaps in the sidewalk, pedestrians travel in the shoulder in some segments between US-101 and Central Avenue.

Between 2015 and 2019, three pedestrian and bicycle collisions were reported along School Road, two of which occurred at the intersection of School Road and Central Avenue. There is an opportunity to enhance bicycle facilities at signalized intersections and improve sidewalk gap closure.

Recommendations

The School Road corridor was identified in a public survey and at the community workshop regarding priority corridors in the study area. Throughout the community engagement, public comments were submitted related to School Road specifically east of Highway 101 and near the roundabout.

*** Cost Estimate: \$3,379,000**

* Anderson Avenue to Central Avenue

This segment focuses on enhancing pedestrian facilities including sidewalk gap closure on the roadway's northerly side from Anderson Avenue to the Central Avenue intersection. This improvement will enhance the area's walkability, make it more accessible for all users, and thus encourage walking throughout the area.


* Roundabout at Salmon Avenue

This segment focuses on modifying the roundabout to include pedestrian and bicycle support facilities such as an off-street trail/widened sidewalk to allow cycling outside of the circulating vehicular traffic for less confident bicyclists.



1 Roundabout Modification

2 Sidewalk Gap Closure





Washington Avenue

Existing Conditions

Washington Avenue is a two-lane minor collector road that connects between McKinleyville Avenue and School Road and is entirely fronted by single-family residences. The corridor provides access to an existing segment of the Mid-Town Trail, with off-street connection to Heartwood Drive, Elmwood Place, and Sagewood Way.


The section between McKinleyville Avenue and 3 Cabins Lane is characterized by accessible sidewalks and ADA ramps on the northerly side of the roadway. There are four one-way stop-controlled T-intersections between McKinleyville Avenue and Dena Drive, with a total of three ADA-accessible curb ramps, and no marked crosswalks. A parcel development fronting Washington Avenue is

constructing sidewalk gap fill on the westerly side of the roadway, consisting of 10-foot wide sidewalk and 5-foot wide sidewalk parkway. No collisions involving pedestrians or bicyclists were reported on Washington Avenue during the study period.

Recommendations

Washington Avenue has been cited by the community during the public engagement process as a corridor needing improvements for pedestrian and bicycle facilities. In the concept development phase of this project, community feedback identified sidewalk gap fill and Class II Bike Lane improvements as preferred treatments. As of July 2022, the County of Humboldt Planning Commission received an ordinance to prohibit on-street parking on Washington Avenue to relieve right-of-way for Class II bicycle lanes. Sidewalk gap fill is recommended on the easterly side of Washington Avenue between School Road and Oakdale Drive. If the planned parcel development does not complete sidewalk gap fill on the westerly side of Washington Avenue, the improvements are recommended to be completed by the County of Humboldt.

*** Total Cost: \$1,043,000**



Transit Access Improvements

Based on collaborative discussions with HTA, improvements to transit stops are recommended. HTA operates the Redwood Transit System (RTS) which is a fixed-route bus route that serves the McKinleyville community. The RTS route travels primarily on Central Avenue with a segment on McKinleyville Avenue traveling adjacent Morris Elementary School and McKinleyville High School. This study recommends pursuit of transit stop improvements to include the following during capital projects led by the County, MCSD, Caltrans, or other applicable agencies:

- All-weather paved loading zone measuring at least 8-feet wide and 5-feet deep with 2% slope or less.
- A desired transit loading zone would measure 10-feet wide and 5-feet deep and meet ADA standards.
- Consider green infrastructure and hardscape improvements to highlight the transit stop.
- Provision of seating, trash receptables, shelter, bicycle parking, and passenger information and wayfinding.
- Consider other amenities such as real-time transit arrival information.

Subject to advancement the Town Center Development project, HTA will evaluate potential route modification of RTS and potentially consider routing along Hiller Road with transit stops serving the new land use and potential transit riders.



Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments


Throughout development of the MMCP, the need to provide bicycle and pedestrian connection to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path was repeatedly raised as a need during community engagement. The existing route on Central Avenue, south of School Road, is direct yet challenging and not a comfortable solution for active transportation users of all ages and abilities. Contra flow walking and cycling often occurs given the complexity of the Central Avenue couplet and crossings near North Bank Road. Crash history between 2015 and 2019 show five fatalities involving a pedestrian or a bicyclist on Central Avenue between School Road and the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.

Central Avenue between School Road and North Bank Road is managed by Caltrans for approximately 2,500-feet north of North Bank Road and managed by the County of Humboldt for the rest of the roadway to the north.

Interim or near-term solutions have been presented in this report to improve conditions along Central Avenue between School Road and North Bank Road. The interim improvements identified earlier in this report include items such as shoulder widening and paving an off-street path where possible. Parallel with the interim improvements, this report recommends advancing a long-term solution to provide a high quality/high comfort facility for people walking and cycling along Central Avenue between School Road and North Bank Road as discussed below.

As shown on Figure 16, the project team, in coordination with key project stakeholders, identified a series of seven alternatives along existing and potential right-of-way. All seven routes were conceptualized to connect between the intersection of Central Avenue/School Road and the US-101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path for comparative analysis using a uniform set of evaluation metrics.

Figure 15 Central Avenue Between Henry Lane and North Bank Road – Existing Conditions Cross Section



Alternative 1

Alternative 1 is a 3.0-mile route that diverts east of Central Avenue to travel through low density residential areas. The route would be a combination of bikeway facilities along Central Avenue, Bartow Road, Cochran Road, Azalea Avenue, and North Bank Road.

The detailed alignment for Alternative 1 is as follows: Class I off-street shared-use path on the easterly side of Central Avenue traveling south toward Bartow Road. Along Bartow Road the facility continues east as a Class III bikeway, continues east along Cochran Road, and continues south along Azalea Avenue to the Azalea Avenue/Hewitt Road intersection. From here the facility transitions to Class II bike lanes continuing south on Azalea Avenue toward North Bank Road. At the Azalea Avenue/North Bank Road intersection an RRFB (or similar) would be installed to facilitate crossing to the southerly side of North Bank Road. A Class I off-street shared-use path would be constructed along the southerly side of North Bank Road connecting westerly to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.

Alternative 2

Alternative 2 is a 1.2-mile route traveling along the easterly side of Central Avenue. The facility would be a Class I off-street shared-use path along the easterly side of Central Avenue and continue along the northerly side of North Bank Road to the North Bank Road/Reserve Road intersection. An RRFB (or similar) would be installed at the North Bank Road/Reserve Road intersection to facilitate crossing to the southerly side of North Bank Road to connect to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. Alternative 2 would serve desired travel routes as shown in the photograph below where a cyclist is riding contraflow along Central Avenue.




Alternative 3

Alternative 3 is a 1.5-mile route traveling along the westerly side of Central Avenue. The facility would be a Class I off-street shared-use path along the westerly side of Central Ave. A new bridge facility would be constructed adjacent to the existing southbound Central Avenue bridge over US-101 to facilitate crossing to the westerly side of US-101 to reach Silva Road. The Caltrans maintenance access road located west of US-101 would be improved for public access which currently travels under US-101 near the Mad River and links to the easterly side of US-101 and connects to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.

Alternative 4

Alternative 4 is a 2.0-mile route traveling along the westerly side of Central Avenue to Turner Road before diverting along Mill Creek on a new Class I off-street shared use path to US-101. A new over or underpass facility would be constructed to cross to the west side of US-101 in the vicinity of Mill Creek. The Class I would continue along the westerly side of US-101 to reach Silva Road. The Caltrans maintenance access road located west of US-101 would be improved for public access which currently travels under US-101 near the Mad River and links to the easterly side of US-101 and connects to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. The photograph below shows the context of Turner Road.



Alternative 5

Alternative 5 is a 2.5-mile route that diverts west of Central Avenue to travel on existing bicycle facilities and along US-101. The facility would utilize the existing Class II bike lanes on School Road, then travel along Class III along local roads including Salmon Avenue and Griffith Road, and Class I off-street shared-use path along the easterly side of US-101. A new over or underpass facility would be constructed to cross to the west side of US-101 in the vicinity of Mill Creek. The Class I would continue along the westerly side of US-101 to reach Silva Road. The Caltrans maintenance access road located west of US-101 would be improved for public access which currently travels under US-101 near the Mad River and links to the easterly side of US-101 and connects to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. The photograph below shows the US-101 bridge as it crosses over Mad River from the north bank.



Alternative 6

Alternative 6 is a 1.6-mile route traveling along the westerly side of Central Avenue to Turner Road which serves residential land uses. A Class III along Turner Road is proposed with improvements to the roadway for pavement, signage and striping. Right of way would need to be secured at the terminus of the existing Turner Road for approximately 600 to 800-feet to connect to US-101. A new over or underpass facility would be constructed to cross to the west side of US-101. The Class I would continue along the westerly side of US-101 to reach Silva Road. The Caltrans maintenance access road located west of US-101 would be improved for public access which currently travels under US-101 near the Mad River and links to the easterly side of US-101 and connects to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.

Alternative 7

Alternative 7 is a 2.3-mile route that diverts west of Central Avenue near Mill Creek and along the north bank of the Mad River. The facility would be a Class I off-street shared-use path constructed along the northerly side of Mill Creek and connect to the westerly side of US-101. A new over or underpass facility would be constructed to cross to the west side of US-101. The Class I facility would continue south until reaching Mad River with a Class I off-street shared-use path along the north bank of the Mad River until reaching the US-101 Mad River overpass. The Caltrans maintenance access road located west of US-101 would be improved for public access which currently travels under US-101 near the Mad River and links to the easterly side of US-101 and connects to the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. Alternative 7 includes the concept of a west extension to link to the existing Hammond Trail Bridge. The photograph below shows the existing Hammond Trail Bridge over the Mad River.





Figure 16 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments



ALTERNATIVE 1	3.0 MI
ALTERNATIVE 2	1.2 MI
ALTERNATIVE 3	1.5 MI
ALTERNATIVE 4	1.7 MI
ALTERNATIVE 5	2.5 MI
ALTERNATIVE 6	1.6 MI
ALTERNATIVE 7	2.3 MI

SCALE: 1" = 1500'

CENTRAL AVENUE SOUTH LONG-TERM ALTERNATIVE ALIGNMENTS

 **MARK
THOMAS**

Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments Evaluation

Evaluation metrics were developed to compare the Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments in a prioritization matrix. The PTF and the County met to identify evaluation metrics for the seven alternative alignments. Input received helped refine the metrics and determining evaluation weighting, including capital cost, bicycle level of stress, route directness, intersection crossings, operations and maintenance, right-of-way, and topography change. Descriptions of the metrics and the final weight assigned are shown in Table 1, below.

Table 1 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments Evaluation Metrics and Weighting

Metric	Description	Weight
Capital Cost	Capital construction costs to build alignment (bridges, asphalt, etc.)	1.7
	Environmental Impacts (potential impacts to environment to construct.)	
	Engineering Design Complexity (measure complexity of design plans and challenges to overcome.)	
Bicycle Level of Stress	Review if route is adjacent high volume/high speed traffic or not.	1.7
Route Directness	Review if out of the way travel is needed; reducing attractiveness of route.	1.6
Intersection Crossings	Complexity and volume of car traffic that bicycle and pedestrian users need to cross.	1.4
Operations & Maintenance	Costs for labor and materials to maintain high quality facility.	1.4
Right of Way / Easements	Need to secure property rights or easements to advance alignment.	1.2
Topography	Steepness of the route, in which a greater slope would present more burden on bicycle and pedestrian users.	1.0

Each corridor was independently evaluated to assign a score of 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) with higher numbers reflecting higher performance per metric. Weighting was then applied to each score to develop a composite result for each of the seven alternatives. The results of the prioritization matrix are illustrated in Figure 17, where greater sum values indicate greater performance.

Alternatives 2, Alternative 4, and Alternative 6 scored highest in the performance evaluation. We recommend the County advance to a Project Report to narrow the preferred alternative and subsequently Preliminary Design and Environmental Documentation in collaboration with local partners and the public to determine right-of-way needs, probable construction costs, and identification of key items needed for future implementation. Given the engineering design, permitting, construction complexity, potential right-of-way acquisition needs, and availability of funding, this is expected to have a long-term schedule for implementation.

Figure 17 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignments – Evaluation Results





Figure 18 Central Avenue Between Henry Lane and North Bank Road – Alternative Alignment 2 Cross Section



Connectivity to North Arcata

The McKinleyville area is physically separated from North Arcata via the Mad River which travels east-west, with two well-established connections crossing the Mad River:

1. Hammond Trail bridge over Mad River west of US-101 (former railroad trestle)
2. US-101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path (serving both bicycle and pedestrian traffic)

The following additional project recommendations are provided to enhance connectivity to North Arcata:

1. Provide Class III bike route improvements along Wymore Road between the southern terminus of the US-101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path and Caltrans planned Boyd Draw connection under US-101.
2. Provide Class III bike route improvements along Wymore Road between Caltrans planned Boyd Draw and Giuntoli Lane (which includes on-street bike lanes).
3. Provide Class III bike route improvements along Heindon Road between Boyd Draw and Giuntoli Lane (which includes on-street bike lanes).
4. Improve Fischer Avenue with all-weather pavement and Class III bike route or advisory bike lanes improvements between School Road and the existing Hammond Trail bridge over Mad River.
5. Reconstruct and widen the Hammond Trail bridge over Mad River consistent with preliminary design federal funding secured by County of Humboldt.
6. Provide Class III bike route or advisory bike lanes improvements along Mad River Road, Miller Lane, and Heindon Road to Giuntoli Lane.

Future Planning and Engineering Design Efforts

This evaluates specific multimodal improvements within the study area with McKinleyville. Future planning efforts might include the following:

1. Evaluate potential for "Highways to Boulevards" review of SR-200 (North Bank Road). The evaluation would consider modifications to the roadway to better accommodate multimodal needs.
2. Continue public engagement within McKinleyville through development of an Active Transportation Plan that includes a larger geographic area including areas north of Railroad Drive such as Murray Road and the Hammond Trail connectivity which was identified often during public engagement for additional improvements.
3. We recommend the County advance the Central Avenue South connectivity options to Project Report to narrow the preferred alternative and subsequently Preliminary Design and Environmental Documentation. Those efforts would be conducted in collaboration with local partners and the public to determine right-of-way needs, probable construction costs, and identification of key items needed for future implementation. Develop a funding program to address engineering design, permitting, construction complexity, potential right-of-way acquisition needs and maintenance.

Connectivity to Annie & Mary Trail

This project provides a potential connection to a new trail alignment along the Arcata & Mad River Railroad (known as the Annie & Mary Trail). The railroad corridor is publicly owned and has long been considered for implementation as a off-street trail for people to travel by walking, rolling, and cycling. The alignment of the Annie & Mary Trail would extend westerly from the City of Blue Lake along SR-299 and terminate around West End Road near the SR-299/Giuntoli Lane interchange. Providing improved connectivity to Giuntoli Lane will allow linkage to the Annie & Mary Trail when completed.

East-West Connectivity Along the Mad River

During the preparation of the report, improvements to the north bank of the Mad River was identified by agency staff. Upon implementation improvements such as a levee or maintenance access road, we recommend inclusion of a Class I off-street paved trail. The Class I along the northern bank of the Mad River could better link between two well-established connections crossing the Mad River; the Hammond Trail Bridge and the US-101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path.

Benefits to Disadvantaged Communities

The project recommendations benefit disadvantaged and historically underserved communities in the project area. Per statewide mapping, the McKinleyville area is designated as a low-income community. Per Assembly Bill 1550 (2016), low-income communities and households are defined as the census tracts and households, respectively, that are either at or below 80 percent of the statewide median income. Census Tracts 6023010501 and 6023010502 both are identified as low-income communities and encompass the project study area north of the Mad River. Therefore, the recommended project improvements will benefit disadvantaged community members.

Recommended Phasing

Conceptual projects developed for the MMCP range from low-cost improvements, such as signing and striping, to high-cost capital projects, such as bridge structure construction. The County of Humboldt can phase implementation of projects throughout McKinleyville to continue momentum towards improvement to the transportation network. Projects have been divided into three phasing categories based on estimated time to complete:

1. Near-Term (0-2 Years)
2. Medium-Term (2-5 Years)
3. Long-Term (5+ Years)

Table 2 summarizes recommended project concepts, likely phasing, and estimated engineering construction cost.

Table 2: Recommended Project Phasing and Estimated Cost

#	Corridor	Recommendation	Phasing	Estimated Cost
1	Azalea Avenue	Class III Bikeway	Near-Term	\$314,000
2	Azalea Avenue	Shoulder Widening	Medium-Term	\$4,560,000
3	Central Avenue	Widened Sidewalk Trail	Medium-Term (one alternative advanced)	\$4,830,000
		Lane Reduction		\$1,024,000
4	Central Avenue South	Interim Improvements	Near-Term	\$975,000
5	Hiller Road	Widened Sidewalk Trail	Medium Term	\$1,153,000
6	Hiller Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium-Term	\$2,432,000
7	Hiller Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure/Cycletrack	Near-Term	\$4,488,000
8	Mad River/Miller/Heindon	Advisory Lanes	Medium-Term	\$428,000
9	McKinleyville Avenue	Class II Bike Lanes	Near-Term	\$1,124,000
10	McKinleyville Avenue	Sidewalk Gap Closure/Signing/Striping	Medium-Term	\$844,000
11	North Bank Road	Shoulder Widening (Phase 1)	Long-Term	\$7,598,000
12	North Bank Road	Shoulder Widening (Phase 2)	Long-Term	\$5,496,000
13	Ocean Drive	Advisory Lanes	Medium-Term	\$441,000
14	School Road	Roundabout Modification	Medium-Term	\$608,000
15	School Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium Term	\$2,771,000
16	Washington Avenue	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium-Term	\$1,043,000

Table 3 summarizes the estimated engineering construction cost for each Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative. One of the seven alternatives identified is anticipated to advance for connection over the Mad River between McKinleyville and the City of Arcata.

Table 3 Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternatives and Estimated Costs

Alt	Alternative	Estimated Cost
1	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 1	\$2,590,000
2	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 2	\$13,631,000
3	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 3	\$12,790,000
4	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 4	\$10,749,000
5	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 5	\$8,433,000
6	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 6	\$8,961,000
7	Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative 7	\$6,942,000

Next Steps

The County will continue partnership with stakeholders and public engagement to advance conceptual project designs to enhance multi-modal connectivity throughout McKinleyville.

Near-Term Project Prioritization

The County of Humboldt will coordinate among departments and local organizations as needed to program conceptual project elements into annual maintenance and capital improvement programs. Funding may be required to complete preliminary and final design, environmental review, right-of-way acquisition, permitting, and construction. Table 4 summarizes recommended prioritization of near-term projects.

Table 4 Near-Term Project Prioritization

Corridor	Recommendation	Phasing	Estimated Cost
Central Avenue South	Interim Improvements	Near-Term	\$975,000
Azalea Avenue	Class III Bikeway	Near-Term	\$314,000
Hiller Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure/Cycletrack	Near-Term	\$4,488,000
McKinleyville Avenue	Class II Bike Lanes	Near-Term	\$1,124,000
NEAR TERM IMPROVEMENTS - GRAND TOTAL			\$6,901,000

Medium-Term and Long-Term Projects

The County of Humboldt will coordinate among departments, organizations, and local, regional, and state agencies as needed to apply or co-apply for funding resources or grant opportunities. Funding may be required to complete preliminary and final design, environmental review, right-of-way acquisition, permitting, and construction. Table 5 summarizes recommended prioritization of medium-term projects.

Table 5 Medium-Term Project Prioritization

Corridor	Recommendation	Phasing	Estimated Cost
Hillier Road	Widened Sidewalk Trail	Medium Term	\$1,153,000
Hillier Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium-Term	\$2,432,000
Ocean Drive (Hillier Road to School Road)	Advisory Lanes	Medium-Term	\$441,000
McKinleyville Avenue	Sidewalk Gap Closure/Signing/Striping	Medium-Term	\$844,000
Mad River/Miller/Heindon	Advisory Lanes	Medium-Term	\$428,000
Azalea Avenue	Shoulder Widening	Medium-Term	\$4,560,000
School Road	Roundabout Modification	Medium-Term	\$608,000
School Road	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium-Term	\$2,771,000
Washington Avenue	Sidewalk Gap Closure	Medium-Term	\$1,043,000
MEDIUM-TERM IMPROVEMENTS - GRAND TOTAL			\$14,280,000

Conclusion

The McKinleyville Multimodal Connections Project developed network recommendations to enhance multimodal connectivity based on feedback from the public, community stakeholders, project task force, and the County of Humboldt during an eighteen-month long engagement process. A total of sixteen Near-Term and Medium Term, and seven Long-Term conceptual projects and cost estimates have been developed for nine corridors within the project study area. The conceptual projects have been prioritized based on feedback and evaluation metrics. Preliminary engineering cost estimates were developed using the state funding program format for project concepts evaluated in this study, and are included in Appendix E.

The estimated total cost to construct prioritized conceptual projects is \$40 million. Next steps for the County of Humboldt and project partners includes confirming or refining conceptual projects and moving toward implementation. There is an opportunity to implement low-cost projects through coordination with the County's recurring roadway maintenance programs, such as projects involving signing and striping. Larger projects may be submitted to State and Federal grant programs with match funding from the County to receive funds for design and construction.





10/15/2025

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed road diet lane reduction concept for Central Avenue between Railroad Drive and Heartwood Drive. While I support enhancements for walking and bicycling connectivity, reducing the number of vehicular lanes on this critical corridor is contrary to the needs of our community, traffic realities, and the documented preference of the public.

Central Avenue functions as an essential vehicular corridor that must maintain its current capacity. It is classified as a five-lane major/minor collector road. Traffic volume data estimates that up to 12,000 vehicles travel on Central Avenue throughout a 24-hour period. Implementing a lane reduction, which typically involves converting a multi-lane roadway into a three-lane roadway with a center turn lane, threatens to undermine the efficiency of this vital commercial core artery.

The preferred concept for improvements in the Central Avenue segment between Railroad Drive and Heartwood Drive, based on public feedback collected on the published 2023 McKinleyville Multimodal Connections Project Report was explicitly the multi-use trail. This widely supported alternative was identified because it preserves the current number of travel lanes. The community chose a solution that delivers high-quality multi-modal facilities *without* diminishing the existing vehicle capacity needed for a major collector road.

The preferred multi-use trail concept offers pedestrians and bicyclists a 10 feet wide, physically separated path for north-south travel on Central Avenue's westerly side. This approach achieves the project goals of improving safety and comfort for active transportation users through a dedicated facility, rather than relying on capacity reduction to calm traffic. Furthermore, portions of Central Avenue already include significant safety infrastructure, such as mid-block pedestrian crossings with refuge islands and user-activated rapid rectangular flashing beacons (RRFB's) and continental crosswalks.

For these reasons—the necessity of maintaining major collector traffic capacity, and the clear community preference documented in the public engagement process—I urge you to discard the road diet lane reduction concept and focus resources on advancing the widened sidewalk multi-use trail concept for Central Avenue between Railroad Drive and Heartwood Drive.

Thank you for considering this input as you advance project recommendations toward implementation.

Sincerely,



Brenda Hinton

246 Anker Road McKinleyville, CA 95519

10/15/2025

Dear County Supervisors,

I am writing to register my strong objection to the proposed Central Avenue Road Diet (lane reduction concept) for the Town Center segment. This proposal conflicts directly with the established goals of the *McKinleyville Community Plan (MCP)*, particularly concerning the function of Central Avenue as both a commercial core and a critical vehicular corridor.

The McKinleyville Community Plan prioritizes multi-modal improvements in conjunction with, not instead of, adequate traffic capacity:

1. **Mandate for Convenient Automobile Access:** The MCP, in its section on the Town Center (Chapter 2350, Goal 2351, paragraph 2), states the objective is: "To develop an area of mixed land uses which encourages bicycle and pedestrian travel, yet allows for convenient and safe automobile access". Implementing a road diet, which intentionally reduces the number of vehicular travel lanes, directly contradicts the mandate to maintain "convenient... automobile access" on Central Avenue, which is designated a five-lane major/minor collector road.
2. **Requirement to Accommodate Future Growth:** The Circulation Element of the MCP (Chapter 4200, Goal 4220) specifies that the circulation system must "Provide for a circulation system which will accommodate growth in the McKinleyville area". Central Avenue serves as the primary access route to Highway 101 to the South and carries up to 12,000 vehicles daily. Reducing lanes on this major collector impedes its ability to "accommodate growth," prioritizing flow reduction over regional circulation needs required by the plan.

Instead of a road diet, the publicly supported alternative, the widened sidewalk multi-use trail concept, should be advanced, as it provides a physically separated path for active transportation users while successfully preserv[ing] the current number of travel lanes. This alternative better honors the MCP's comprehensive requirement to support multi-modal use without sacrificing the capacity necessary for a major collector road in a growing community.

Sincerely,

Jan M. Lonn

246 Anker road
McKinleyville CA 95519

Appendices

Appendix A: Existing Conditions Maps



Appendix B: Phase 1 Engagement: Presentations and Materials

Appendix C: Phase 2 Engagement: Presentations and Materials

Appendix D: Project Area Focus Corridors: Concept Cross Sections

Appendix E: Project Area Focus Corridors: Cost Estimates

Appendix F: Central Avenue South Long-Term Alternative Alignment Cost Estimates





Outlook

McKinleyville "Road Diet" 10/20/2025 Meeting

From Kalynne Hannah <k.j.hannah88@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 3:48 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 2 attachments (118 KB)

B Hinton.pdf; J Lonn.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Please see attached letter from 2 community members opposing the Road Diet on Central Ave for the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting on Monday October 20th. Thank you!

10/15/2025

Dear Humboldt County Supervisors

I am writing to express my concern regarding the potential implementation of a road diet lane reduction on Central Avenue, particularly within the segment encompassing the Town Center area (roughly between Railroad Drive and Heartwood Drive). While safety improvements for pedestrians and bicyclists are strongly supported, relying on generalized collision statistics to justify a reduction in vehicle travel lanes within this specific section is an unfair representation of the roadway's actual risk distribution.

The collision data provided in the McKinleyville Multimodal Connections Project report that was published in 2023 identifies specific areas of heightened danger on Central Avenue, and these areas do not primarily correlate with the commercial core segment where the road diet is being considered:

1. Collision Concentration is North: Between 2015 and 2019, Central Avenue recorded a total of eleven multi-modal collisions (eight pedestrian and three bicycle collisions). The report explicitly states that eight of these eleven collisions were concentrated between Heartwood Drive and Anna Sparks Way. Since the core Town Center area often referenced in planning discussions includes or begins near Railroad Drive and extends toward Heartwood Drive, arguing for a drastic capacity reduction in this section based on collisions concentrated *north* of Heartwood Drive (up to Anna Sparks Way) does not align with the geographical evidence of the primary safety hotspot. The high concentration of accidents in that specific area (Heartwood Drive to Anna Sparks Way) is linked to the density of surrounding retail land uses, which increases conflict points between vehicles and active transportation users.
2. Fatalities Occurred in the Far South: The most severe safety issues, including all recorded fatalities, occurred in the southern extremities of the corridor. The crash history between 2015 and 2019 shows five fatalities involving a pedestrian or a bicyclist on Central Avenue between School Road and the 101 Mad River Bridge Bike Path. Furthermore, all pedestrian fatalities within the project area (2015-2019) occurred along Central Avenue and US-101. This southern segment is specifically characterized as challenging and not a comfortable solution for users and often sees contra flow walking and cycling. These statistics highlight that the critical, high-severity safety needs are concentrated *south* of the Town Center area, not within the commercial core near Railroad Drive and Heartwood Drive.
3. Existing Countermeasures in the Commercial Core: The segment of Central Avenue that includes the commercial core has already benefited from significant infrastructure upgrades implemented by the County's Department of Public Works in 2016. These existing improvements were intended to enhance safety and include:
 - Mid-block pedestrian crossings with refuge islands.

- User-activated rapid rectangular flashing beacons (RRFB's).
- Continental crosswalks at intersections.

Given that the most frequent collision hotspot (Heartwood Drive to Anna Sparks Way) and the high-fatality zone (south of School Road) are geographically distinct from the primary Town Center segment where capacity reduction is debated, utilizing generalized corridor safety statistics to justify reducing Central Avenue from its current multi-lane configuration (it is a five-lane major/minor collector road) is disproportionate.

Instead of sacrificing vital vehicular capacity through a road diet, I urge the focus to remain on the preferred alternative identified by the public for the Heartwood Road to Railroad Drive segment: the widened sidewalk multi-use trail concept. This concept successfully enhances safety and comfort for pedestrians and bicyclists via a 10 feet wide, physically separated path, while simultaneously ensuring that the road preserves the current number of travel lanes, maintaining its essential function as the primary connection to US-101 to the South

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kalyne', followed by a long, horizontal, wavy line that extends to the right.

Kalyne Hannah

663 Montana Road McKinleyville, CA 95519




Outlook

McKinleyville "Road Diet" 10/20/2025 Meeting

From Kalynne Hannah <k.j.hannah88@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 4:20 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 1 attachment (100 KB)

KHannah.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Please see attached letter opposing the Road Diet on Central Ave for the upcoming Board of Supervisors meeting on Monday October 20th,2025. Thank you!



Outlook

Mck road diet

From Mike Prat 806 <allaxis707@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 4:22 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

This is the wrong idea. We need more lanes not less. Its 2025 not 1985!!! Have some vision about emergency of fire and road is jammed up?? Do you want that on your conscience? Mck is growing and people want shop and patronize other businesses. This plan is anti- community to the core. Your gonna make it worse not better and waste all this money and then more money to return it the way it is now!! I cant say it enough how bad this non plan is.

Vote it down!!

Mck resident

Michael Pratowski


Sent from my iPhone

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE

LENORA F. McCONNELL
NAME


PHONE #

memasialive@sbcglobal.net
EMAIL ADDRESS



MMAC Plan

From Lenora McConnell <mema5isalive@sbcglobal.net>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 10:07 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>; narroya@co.humboldt.ca.us <narroya@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

1. MMAC did not listen to the public opinion.
2. I wish to express my opposition to the plan submitted by MMAC and passed on by the Planning Dept.
3. We do not want or need Central Avenue to be decreased to single lanes. Police/Sheriff and fire would have a harder time to get through this section. The Middle school at the corner of Central and Railroad will be adding 2 grades next year. That intersection is already extremely busy now with busses and parent's cars. Cars will be very backed up on Railroad and Central. This would also cause other roads to be used more heavily. And all those OTHER ROADS are lined with homes.
4. The proposed roundabout at McKinleyville Ave and Hiller Rd is definitely not needed. There is not a heavy traffic problem at this four way stop. I have been driving and walking through this intersection on my way to Central Ave for 60 years without any problems. However, the only thing this four way stop could use is painted crosswalks to show walkers and cars exactly where they each should be.
5. We do not need 6,000 more people in a center square. What we need are businesses where we can buy more than food and small essentials.
6. And what about the wetlands?????? I have heard that is a real iffy deal.



Outlook

McKinleyville town center

From Chris Kellawan <ckellawan@gmail.com>

Date Thu 10/16/2025 9:39 AM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hello,

I'm a McKinleyville homeowner, and I want to express my sentiment in regards to the potential narrowing of Central Ave in McKinleyville. I'm not in favor.

Sincerely,

Chris Kellawan
ckellawan@gmail.com



Outlook

Humboldt Commons

From Jill Nichols <j5cents@live.com>

Date Mon 10/13/2025 9:53 AM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Please approve the eir for Mckinleyville town center. I'm hoping to qualify for the low cost housing there once it's developed! I love Humboldt. My children live here and I hope to still be around for grandchildren in the future. I'd like for them to be able to visit me there! Thank you.

Jill Nichols
Eureka CA 95501

Get [Outlook for Android](#)



Outlook

McKinleyville Town Ordinance.

From Ted Humphry <ted.humphry@gmail.com>

Date Mon 10/13/2025 12:52 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

This town ordinance has been discussed for a long time. It has been refined and updated. I hope you will pass it.

Ted and Cindy Humphry
McKinleyville residences

Town Center Ordinance

From Julie Fulkerson <juliefulkerson@mac.com>

Date Mon 10/13/2025 1:30 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Planning Clerk <planningclerk@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Please support the McKinleyville Town Center Ordinance and accept the FEIR.

Why?

Years of planning and inclusive input.

A community that deserves its heart to be preserved.

Economic opportunities will be supported.

Innovative development is underway...

Humboldt Commons enhances all aspects of McKinleyville

It is time to move forward.

Julie Fulkerson

Former Business Owner in McKinleyville



Outlook

In support of the McKinleyville Town Center Ordinance

From Tracy Jordan French <tracyjordanfrench@gmail.com>

Date Mon 10/13/2025 3:50 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Cc Planning Clerk <planningclerk@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

I have been a homeowner in McKinleyville since 1992 and have participated in MANY community meetings regarding the plans for a future Town Center ordinance. I support the EIR and look forward to living in the town center one day as a member of Humboldt Commons, aka Life Plan Humboldt.

Patricia Jordan French
1282 Azalea Ave, McKinleyville, CA 95519



Outlook


Public Comment for October 20 BOS Special Meeting from MCSD

From Joey Blaine <jblaine@mckinleyvillecsd.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 1:41 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Cc Ford, John <JFord@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Mary Burke <mburke5@gmail.com>; Pat Kaspari <pkaspari@mckinleyvillecsd.com>

 1 attachment (524 KB)

MCSD October 20 Special Meeting Public Comment.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Good afternoon,

Please find attached public comment from McKinleyville Community Services District. A copy was also been sent via USPS.


Best,

Joey Blaine, CMC


Board Secretary | Administrative Assistant | McKinleyville CSD

Phone: 708.222.1110, ext 1110

jblaine@mckinleyvillecsd.com



Please note that email correspondence with McKinleyville Community Services District, along with attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.



October 1, 2025

Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
825 5TH Street
Eureka, CA 95501

RE: McKinleyville Town Center Ordinance

Dear Board of Supervisors:

The McKinleyville Community Services District is supportive of the Town Center Project and looks forward to the economic growth, sense of place, and community pride that the future development will bring to McKinleyville.

While the District supports the overall goals of the McKinleyville Town Project, it continues to have concerns regarding the proposed road diet for Central Avenue between Heartwood Drive and Pickett Road. Currently, the District does not feel it has sufficient information about the design to confidently assess the impact of the proposed changes to our water and sewer infrastructure. The District Staff needs to be able to safely access and maintain our infrastructure, and the level of design presented to date does not allow us to fully assess these potential impacts. It should also be noted that the District Board Members have been hearing similar concerns from their constituents concerning the potential traffic impacts from the proposed cross section.

The Planning Commission's recommendation to the Board of Supervisors that a McKinleyville-wide Traffic and Circulation Study be completed prior to the Central Avenue design, and that the preliminary design be brought to the McKinleyville Municipal Advisory Committee and the Public for review and input is a good first step in the analysis of the impacts. The District respectfully requests that we also be actively engaged as a key stakeholder throughout the planning and design process and be given the opportunity to comment on the design.

The McKinleyville Community Services District recommends that the Board of Supervisors certify the EIR and adopt the Town Center Ordinance as written, with the addition of the Planning Commissions recommendations that a McKinleyville-wide Traffic Study be conducted and the future design of any changes to Central Avenue be reviewed with the MMAC and McKinleyville CSD prior to final design and implementation.

Thank you for your consideration of the District's concerns.

Sincerely,

James Biteman

MCSD Board President

Patrick Kaspari, PE

General Manager, MCSD

1656 SUTTER ROAD
MCKINLEYVILLE, CA 95519

PHONE
FA

1

mckinleyvillecsd.ca.gov

PO BOX 2037
MCKINLEYVILLE, CA 95519



Outlook

McKinleyville Town Plan

From Charles Perkins <cwperkins31416@icloud.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 8:09 AM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Planning Clerk <planningclerk@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

I am writing, as a McKinleyville resident, to say that I strongly approve of the McKinleyville Town Plan. Nearly all of the McKinleyville people I have discussed this with also agree. If McKinleyville is to become a town of it's own, we need to create a town center feel beyond a collection of strip malls and a 5-lane highway on Central Ave. Central Ave traffic is a major problem and narrowing the street is the right thing to do. Many people drive too fast and treat it like a highway.

Please proceed to implement the Town Plan.

Regards,

Charles Perkins

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

From : Dr. Patricia A Girczyc

Re: McKinleyville Town Center Ordinance

An Ordinance rezoning parcels in the McKinleyville Town Center from Community Commercial(C-2) with combining zones for Noise, Wetlands, and Airport Safety and Residential Multi-Family(R-3) with combining zones for a Q Zone for housing, Noise, Wetlands and Design Review to a Mixed Use Zoning Designation with a Q-Zone Overlay expressing development criteria and permitted uses in the Town Center on parcels 508-251-050, 510-133-013-000, 508-251-008, 508-251-061, 508-251-040, 508-251-051, 508-251-052, 508-251-034, 508-251-035, 508-251-023, 508-251-024, 510-133-006, 510-411-031, 510-133-032, 510-133-028, 510-411-025, 510-411-014, 510-411-006, 510-411-016, 510-401-027, 510-401-026, 510-401-022, 510-401-011, 510-401-028, 510-401-003, 510-341-015, 510-341-019, 510-341-039, 510-341-017, 510-341-041, 510-132-013, 510-132-031, 510-132-007, 510-132-032, 510-132-017, 510-132-015, 510-132-003, 510-122-022, 510-122-005, 510-122-036, 510-122-037, 510-122-024, 510-122-003, 510-122-031, 510-122-033, 510-134-022, 510-122-035, 510-122-032, 510-122-028, 510-122-027, 510-122-026, 510-122-025.

I have been a tax payer in Humboldt County since 1981 and a resident of McKinleyville for the past 42 years. Those were the years when gyppo mills spewed their smoke, raw sewage flowed along the ditches and horses and developers (think Knox Cove) had the right of way!

Thanks to the efforts and patience of dedicated community members many of these past discretions have been addressed by elimination, improvement and ordinance.

Though the concept of a town center began in 1965, the formation of the McKinleyville Municipal Advisory Committee in 2002 set the path for an actual development plan .


The years of work, the hours of meetings and over twenty two years of study, has resulted in informed recommendations, ordinances and a vision for the future.

My husband & I want to live out our days in McKinleyville. We are supporters of Life Plan Humboldt and want to move into Humboldt Commons. The Town Center Plan included broad and detailed input from the community over a five year period. What I have read and heard about current resistance to this plan is often poorly informed or misinformed. A delay of Board approval would be costly to Humboldt Commons development. Adoption of the EIR will allow Humboldt Commons to stay on schedule and move forward.

I am asking that the Board approve the McKinleyville Town Center Project and acknowledge the time and dedication given to the efforts that move our community forward.

The compromises necessary to reach a well informed consensus on this plan is reflected in the plan itself and in the Planning Commissions recommendation to the Board. Please pass this ordinance at the next meeting.

Thank you for your work and dedication,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pat Girczyc", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive. Above the signature, the words "are responsible for leaving" are partially visible in a printed font.

Patricia A Girczyc FNP, MPH, Edd

Pat Girczyc



Outlook

Please approve the Town Center ordinance and Central Ave lane reduction

From Jim Hilton <jcarlhilton@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 4:06 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hello, my name is James Hilton, I have been a McKinleyville resident for over 35 years. People are afraid to walk and bike on Central Avenue. Five lanes, 72 feet wide, 35 mile an hour speed limit. It's also very loud with large engines accelerating rapidly and often. This is a car centric Main Street on steroids. It's old-fashioned, but not what I'd call a rural feel. The design of Central Avenue ignores the needs of many, especially people without cars, people with disabilities, the young, the old. In a wheelchair or not, anyone walking or rolling on active transportation is in danger. Central Avenue divides our community in two. It is dangerous. This could change. Now is the time to plan for our future.

A 72 foot roadway has great potential. Plenty of room for everyone.

Research has shown that more foot traffic equals more business. Well designed streets attract investment, are safer for everyone, and having a pleasant walk to the store or park helps create community and is a healthy alternative to driving. Calmer traffic patterns reduce noise, pollution, particulates, CO2.

In the long run, I suggest it is best to plan for roundabouts in McKinleyville so traffic can flow, reducing congestion. That change will take planning and time. To start please move rapidly to separate the flow of cars and trucks from foot and bike traffic and shorten pedestrian crossings. We need to be able to walk, Bike, roll and drive in both directions, and need space and or some vertical barrier between the larger vehicles and the rest of us. Traffic calming is needed.

Thank you,

James Hilton
95519

Sent from my iPhone

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Susie Nunez
Signature

Phone #

SUSIE NUNEZ
Name

Susiecnunez@gmail.com
Email address

10/11/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Bgr -

Signature

1945

Phone #

Paul Warner

Name

Email address

10/12/25

Date _____

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

JAMES R. BLACK

Name

10-12-25

Date



Phone #

N/A

Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Christina Stewart

Signature

Phone #

Christina Stewart

Name

Email address

10/12/25

Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature



Phone #



Name



Email address




Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Phone #

RICH MCLAUGHLIN
Name

RTM7884 @AOL.COM
Email address

10/12/25
Date

October 12, 2025

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly oppose moving forward with the proposed Road Diet on Central Avenue in McKinleyville. Our community has serious concerns that this project has not been adequately studied and will create more problems than it solves—particularly related to safety, congestion, and neighborhood traffic impacts.

First, there has not been a comprehensive, independent traffic and safety analysis addressing key issues for residents, businesses, schools, and emergency responders. Before any lane reductions are considered, the County must complete a full transportation study evaluating peak-hour operations, school pick-up and drop-off periods, seasonal tourism, evacuation routes, freight and delivery access, emergency response times, and business access and parking. This study should include measurable performance metrics such as delay, queue lengths, intersection levels of service, collision patterns, and projected diversion to residential streets.

Second, reducing travel lanes will inevitably increase congestion and force drivers onto side streets that were never designed for higher traffic volumes. These streets lack adequate width, sidewalks, lighting, and traffic-calming features—placing pedestrians, cyclists, and children at greater risk. If the County advances any configuration changes, it must also adopt a neighborhood traffic management plan that funds appropriate mitigation measures such as speed cushions, curb extensions, signage, turn restrictions during peak hours, and post-implementation monitoring with a public report-back.

Third, safety for all roadway users must be proven, not presumed. If the goal is to improve crossings and bike access, the County should first explore targeted, evidence-based solutions such as leading pedestrian intervals, signal timing improvements, high-visibility crosswalks, median refuge islands, protected intersections, daylighting at corners, school zone beacons, and continuous sidewalks and bikeways where right-of-way allows. These improvements should be prioritized where collision data and near-miss reports indicate the greatest need.

Fourth, the public process should include a meaningful pilot project using temporary, removable materials with clear success criteria before any permanent roadway modifications are made. A time-limited pilot would allow real-world data collection, performance measurement, and community feedback prior to long-term implementation.

For these reasons, I respectfully urge the Board to cease any further action toward adopting or implementing the proposed Road Diet on Central Avenue. The County should not move forward until a comprehensive transportation and safety study is completed, viable alternatives are evaluated, and the community has been fully informed and engaged in the process.

This project, as currently proposed, will create more harm than good for McKinleyville.

Thank you for your time, attention, and continued service to our community.


Sincerely,


Karrie Gard

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature

Phone #

Jonas Savage
Name

JHSavage2@gmail.com
Email address

10/13/2025
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Kat Holtman

Signature

530-251-1111

Phone #

Kat Holtman

Name

kat.holtman@humboldtcounty.org

Email address


10-13-25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature




Name



Date



Phone #



Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Nikhil D. Rayer
Signature

Phone # _____

Mitchell D. Ragan
Name

Mragainragain18@gmail.com
Email address

10/13/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Marcy Razgan
Signature

Phone #

Marcy Razgan
Name

dolly0613@gmail.com
Email address

10/13/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Teresa M. Hicks
Signature

707-221-7211
Phone #

Teresa Hicks
Name

terehicks@gmail.com
Email address

10/13/2025
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Phone #

MARTIN MYKIER

Name

BERNARD@GMAIL.COM

Email address

10/13/25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Carla Cardona

Signature

707-441-1111

Phone #

CARLA CARDONA

Name

CARLA CARDONA 839-ATG

Email address

mar1234

10-13-25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Lenora F. McConnell
Signature

Phone #

LENORA F. McCONNELL
Name

mema5isalive@sbglobal
Email address

10/13/2025
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Joseph Martin
Signature

Phone #

Joseph Martin
Name

Email address

10-13-25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

JW Whittington
Signature

Phone #

Jennifer Whittington
Name


jenniferwhittington24@
Email address gmail.com


10-13-25
Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature


Name


Date



Phone #


Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Phone #

Rebecca Alofaituli

Name

beccamcknight@gmail.com

Email address


10/14/25

Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature

Phone #


Name


Email address


Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Janet Rose Butler Ward
Signature

Phone #

Janet Rose Butler Ward
Name

rosylee2006a@yahoo.com
Email address

Oct. 14, 2005
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Steven Edmiston

Signature

707

Phone #

Steven Edmiston

Name

sedmiston683@gmail.com

Email address


10/14/2025


Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature


Name


Date


Phone #


Email address



Outlook

Central Ave Road Diet

From Cindy San Filippo <cbksanfilippo@sbcglobal.net>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 10:50 AM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

I am not in favor of scaling down lanes on Central Ave in McKinleyville. It would be absurd with the growth that this town is experiencing! There will most likely be more traffic on Murray Road, McKinleyville and Washington Ave which already has its issues with cars driving way to fast in a 25mph zone. My husband and I are avid bike riders and I would feel LESS safe biking down Central Ave with single lane traffic. Also, I don't know that the traffic study that will be conducted will take in consideration of the developments of Humboldt Life Plan and the Pierson project behind Safeway, which has a potential of thousands of people and cars onto the streets I previously mentioned.

Concerned Citizen

Sent from my iPad

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Cecelia Krueger-Morak

Signature

707 779

Phone #

Cecelia Krueger-Morak

Name

ccncody@yahoo.com

Email address

10/14/2025

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Rita McAtee
Signature

Phone #

707-[REDACTED]

Dale McAtee
Name

Email address

mcatee,rita@yahoo.com

Oct 13 2025
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am opposed to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Riley Meagher

Signature

Phone #

Riley Meagher

Name

Email address

rmeagher55(a)Icloud.com


10-12-25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am opposed to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Phone # () _____

Athens Miller

Name

Email address

10/12/25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

TC Stanca
Signature

Phone #

Tennille Stanca
Name

wheresthecaptain@
Email address yahoo.com

10/13/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Carrie Hurt

Signature

Phone #

Carrie Hurt

Name

Email address

10-14-25

Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature




Name



Date

Phone #



Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.

Zachary Martin
SIGNATURE

Zachary Martin
NAME

[Signature]
PHONE #

Zach.M49871@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

dated: 10/13/25

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already begun expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.

Holly A. Martin
SIGNATURE

Holly A. Martin
NAME

PHONE #

sac-hornet@hotmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

Dated: 10/13/25

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.

Kyla Rose

SIGNATURE

Kyla Rose

NAME

PHONE #

Rkyla68@gmail.com

EMAIL ADDRESS

Dated: 10/13/25

MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes~ more attached letters and signatures

From Holly Martin <holly.a.martin700@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/15/2025 8:43 AM

To sac_hornet@hotmail.com <sac_hornet@hotmail.com>; COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Arroyo, Natalie <narroyo@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 1 attachment (845 KB)

CENTRAL_AVE_NOTOROADDIET.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

Along with other letters and signatures emailed, here are my signatures I collected. I oppose the idea of a "road diet" plan for Central Avenue, and so do my friends and family,

Sincerely,


Holly A Martin
McKinleyville Resident
1093 Sun Road
McKinleyville, CA 95519


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,


I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE


NAME
885 Miller Way
McKinleyville, CA 95519


PHONE # 1


EMAIL ADDRESS

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature




Name



Date



Phone #



Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:


1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE

NAME

PHONE #

EMAIL ADDRESS


Dharla Curry


msdharla20@gmail.com

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:


1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE

NAME

PHONE #

EMAIL ADDRESS


Stan Dickey


Dickey.S@sbcbglobal.net
Dickey.S@sbcbglobal.net

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Joe Walund

Signature

Phone #

Joe Walund

Name

joewalund@gmail.com

Email address

10/13/25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "ROAD DIET" plan

As community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan for Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Glenda Nikolauson

Signature

(...)

Phone #

1150 Silverado Ave.
McKinleyville

Glenda Nikolauson

Name

gnikolauson@yahoo.com

Email address

10-13-25

Date

cob@co.humboldt.ca.us

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM OPPOSED TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE

Judith G Davis
SIGNATURE

PHONE #

Judith G Davis
NAME

judy@jainsurance.com
EMAIL ADDRESS


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature




Name



Date

Phone #



Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Tinoviel Steenblock Smith

Name

707 442-1111

Phone #

tinheart01@gmail.com

Email address

10/13/2025


Date

Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature

Phone #

BRYAN HUBBARD
Name

Email address

10-13-25
Date


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature




Name



Date



Phone #




Email address


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "ROAD DIET" plan


As community member, I am opposed to the "Road Diet" plan for Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature




Phone #



Name



Email address



Date

cob@co.humboldt.ca.us

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature




Phone #



Name



Email address



Date

NO ROAD DIET IN MCKINLEYVILLE

From Georgianna, Jennifer <jennifer.georgianna@fnf.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 1:46 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Cc jennwaggoner@aol.com <jennwaggoner@aol.com>

 1 attachment (2 MB)

SKM_658e25101413410.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

83 signed letters for the NO ROAD DIET

Jennifer Georgianna

Escrow Assistant

Humboldt Land Title, a division of FNTCCA
930 Sixth Street Suite 200, Eureka, CA 95501

Fax (708) 444-1111



FOR YOUR PROTECTION, PLEASE NOTE:

CHANGES TO WIRING INSTRUCTIONS WILL NEVER BE ACCEPTED OR REQUESTED VIA EMAIL.

ALWAYS CALL YOUR ESCROW OFFICER TO VERIFY

From: FNT-HLTKonica@fnf.com <FNT-HLTKonica@fnf.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2025 1:42 PM

To: Georgianna, Jennifer <jennifer.georgianna@fnf.com>


Subject: Message from KM_658e

NOTICE: The information contained in this message is proprietary and/or confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified to: (i) delete the message and all copies; (ii) do not disclose, distribute or use the message in any manner; and (iii) notify the sender immediately.

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan


As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature




Phone #



Name



Email Address



Date



Outlook

Central Avenue in Mckinleyville "road diet plan"

From Laura Capra <lauracapra@sbcglobal.net>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 4:10 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Cc Laura Capra <klcapra52@gmail.com>

 1 attachment (227 KB)

Regarding Central Ave, McKinleyville.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Tracy D'amico-Clerk of the Board

I am submitting the attached letter to the Board of Supervisors for the meeting on October 20th @ 9:00am. I own a house in McKinleyville and I unable to attend the meeting in person, but will listen virtually.

Thank you for assistance. If you should have any questions you can contact me at Lauracapra@sbcglobal.net or Klcapra52@gmail.com

Sincerely

Laura Capra



Outlook

Central Avenue in Mckinleyville "road diet plan"

From Laura Capra <lauracapra@sbcglobal.net>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 4:10 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Cc Laura Capra <klcapra52@gmail.com>

 1 attachment (227 KB)

Regarding Central Ave, McKinleyville.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hi Tracy D'amico-Clerk of the Board

I am submitting the attached letter to the Board of Supervisors for the meeting on October 20th @ 9:00am. I own a house in McKinleyville and I unable to attend the meeting in person, but will listen virtually.

Thank you for assistance. If you should have any questions you can contact me at Lauracapra@sbcglobal.net or Klcapra52@gmail.com


Sincerely

Laura Capra

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.




Signature


Phone #



Name



Email Address



Date



Outlook

RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Ave driving lanes from 4 to 2

From Me <fillevilain@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 4:48 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Arroyo, Natalie <narroyo@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 1 attachment (545 KB)

IMG_0001.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached statement as a community member that I am opposed to having Central Ave. reduce driving lanes from 4 to 2. This will not benefit anyone and could cost someone their life, as emergency crews have a hard enough time getting down Central Ave with the lanes we have.

This community has grown a lot over the years and we need to be able to travel down this main road in a safe manner. Reducing the lanes will cause more traffic accidents and will make accessing Central Ave businesses more difficult.

I commute 5 days a week from Valley West to McKinleyville for work, as someone who has lived in major cities, this place has some of the worst drivers and reducing lanes will not help them be better. Ever since they reduced the lane on Central where Rite Aid is, there have been some accidents because people are trying to use the turning lane as a merging lane.

Thank you,

Kayla Hackett


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.

SIGNATURE



NAME

Kayla Hackett

PHONE #

EMAIL ADDRESS


lillevilain@gmail.com

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM OPPOSED TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE



SIGNATURE

PHONE #

Nayla Hackett
NAME

fillexilain@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS



Outlook

McKinleyville Center

From Marlene Mendes Birnie <mskaruk@yahoo.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 4:50 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

My husband was born and raised in McKinleyville. He still lives on the same property. We have both seen changes in McKinleyville; some good, some bad. One of the things we love about the area is the small town feel. We do not see the reasoning nor the purpose of reducing the lanes on Central Avenue nor of an overpopulated town center. Sometimes people think change means progress; it does not. Sometimes people think progress is a good thing; it does not.

Leave McKinleyville alone!

Marlene Mendes Birnie

McKinleyville Road Diet - Additional Opposition Letters

From Assistant <assistant.bkrcpa@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 4:51 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Arroyo, Natalie <narroyo@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 1 attachment (976 KB)

McKinleyville Residents - Road Diet Opposition Letters.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Hello,

Please see attached for additional opposition letters to the McKinleyville Road Diet.

Thank you,
Michelle Tuel

--
--

Brenda Rosdahl, CPA
1585 Heartwood Drive, Suite A
McKinleyville, CA 95519

This message (and any associated files) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this message, or files associated with this message, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Messages sent to and from us may be monitored.

Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this message, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company.

CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE NOTICE:

I am required by IRS circular 230 to inform you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal


tax advice contained in the communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another tax party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.




SIGNATURE



NAME


PHONE #



EMAIL ADDRESS

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Glenna Urton

Signature

"

Phone #

Glenna Urton

Name

mamaurt@yahoo.com

Email address

10-14-25

Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.



Signature

Phone #

JAMES M. URTON

Name

JURTON5000@YHAO.COM

Email address

10/13/25

Date

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM **OPPOSED** TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE

Shel M. Barsanti
SIGNATURE

PHONE #

SHEL M. BARSANTI
NAME

Smbhma2023@yahoo.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.

Deanna M. Wright
SIGNATURE

PHONE #

Deanna M. Wright
NAME

deannawright1408@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS


RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM **OPPOSED** TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE


SIGNATURE


PHONE #


NAME


EMAIL ADDRESS

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM OPPOSED TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE

Darby Durlin
SIGNATURE

PHONE #

Darby Durlin
NAME

darbyedurlin@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM OPPOSED TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE

Cheryl Johnson
SIGNATURE

PHONE # _____

Cheryl Johnson
NAME
1389 School Rd
McKinleyville, CA 95519


games2c@yahoo.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM OPPOSED TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE


SIGNATURE


PHONE #

Dora Dutra
NAME

doradutra1921@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS



Outlook

Opposition to the Central Avenue Road Diet Plan

From Darby Durbin <darbyedutra@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 7:44 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bohn, Rex <RBohn@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Bushnell, Michelle <mbushnell@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Wilson, Mike <Mike.Wilson@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Arroyo, Natalie <narroyo@co.humboldt.ca.us>; Madrone, Steve <smadrone@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 1 attachment (1 MB)

2025-10-14_193238.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Members of the Board,

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed Central Avenue road diet plan. Attached are three letters from myself and two other individuals in our community who also oppose this plan.

I respectfully ask that you take these perspectives into consideration as you review and make decisions regarding this proposal. Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Darby Durbin



Outlook

Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "road diet" plan

From debbie burr <debbiedolittle54@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 8:04 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Sent from my iPhone

To the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

As Community members, Dana and Deborah Burr are opposed to the "road diet" plan on Central Avenue in Mckinleyville.

From Dana and Deborah Burr

Central Ave - Road Diet

From Cydney Mitchell <cydneyjh@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 8:52 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to express my disagreement regarding the proposal to reduce Central Avenue in McKinleyville to two lanes of traffic. Having moved here from a small town in Southern California, I have seen firsthand how rapid growth can transform a community. McKinleyville is experiencing a similar expansion, and with it, a significant increase in demand for infrastructure and transportation capacity.

It is my belief that the current proposal to reduce lanes on Central Avenue is not in line with the needs of our growing community. As someone who lives on the south end of town and drives to the north end daily, I am all too familiar with the current traffic congestion, and it is already a challenge during peak hours. With more people moving into McKinleyville. It is vital that we maintain the number of lanes to ensure efficient and safe traffic flow.

Furthermore, I understand the goal of making Central Avenue more pedestrian and bicycle-friendly, but I believe this objective has already been addressed with the existing sidewalks and bike lanes along the road. The focus should remain on ensuring that traffic can move smoothly, especially as the population of McKinleyville continues to grow.

I urge you and the Board to reconsider this decision and take into account the long-term needs of our town, as well as the practical realities of daily commuting. Reducing the number of lanes would have a significant negative impact on the flow of traffic, which would only worsen with future growth.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my concerns. I look forward to hearing from you on this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheers,
Cydney Mitchell




Outlook

NO "ROAD DIET"

From Karen Sheehy <ksheehyduck@gmail.com>

Date Tue 10/14/2025 11:30 PM

To COB <COB@co.humboldt.ca.us>

 2 attachments (2 MB)

IMG_20251014_0002.pdf; IMG_20251014_0001.pdf;

Caution: This email was sent from an EXTERNAL source. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Please see the attached forms concerning the new plan for McKinleyville Central Avenue which we are opposed to. Thank you!

Kind regards,

Karen Sheehy

1805 Aspen Ct, McKinleyville, CA 95519

"We must admit that we spend more of our time concentrating and fretting over the things that can't be changed (the weather, the wind, people's action & criticisms, who won or lost the game)--than we do giving attention to the one that we can change, our choice of attitude."

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Donella Huey

Signature

Danielle Sheehy
Name

Name

10/14/25

Date _____

Phone #

danielle.m.sheehy@gmail.com

Email address

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Donna Drickey
Signature

Phone #

Donna Drickey
Name

DonnaDDrickey@sbcglobal.net
Email address

10/14/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Karen Sheehy
Signature

101
Phone #

Karen Sheehy
Name


ksheehyduck@gmail.com
Email address

10/13/25
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors


Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.


Signature

Leslie Drickey
Name

10/14/2025
Date


Phone #


leslie.Drickey@sbcglobal
Email address .net


To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE
Leslie Drickey
NAME


PHONE #
Leslie.Drickey@sbcglobal.net
EMAIL ADDRESS

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

Re: Central Avenue, McKinleyville, "Road Diet" plan

As a community member, I am **opposed** to the "Road Diet" plan on Central Avenue in McKinleyville.

Lori Lawson

Signature

Phone #

Lori Lawson

Name

819lawson@gmail.com
Email address

10/11/2005
Date

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:


1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE

NAME

PHONE #

EMAIL ADDRESS


Lori Lawson


1880 Heartwood Dr
McKinleyville
CA

TO: HUMBOLDT COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RE: CENTRAL AVENUE, MCKINLEYVILLE PROPOSED "ROAD DIET"

DATE: October 13, 2025

AS A COMMUNITY MEMBER, I AM **OPPOSED** TO THE "ROAD DIET" PLAN ON CENTRAL AVENUE IN
MCKINLEYVILLE

G. Lackey
SIGNATURE

1111 1111 1111 1111
PHONE #

Garret Lackey
NAME


bearhunter5112@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS

To: Humboldt County Board of Supervisors
From: Concerned Citizens of McKinleyville
RE: MMAC's intent to reduce Central Avenue driving lanes from 4 lanes to 2 lanes
Date: October 13, 2025

Dear Humboldt County Board of Supervisors,

I am vehemently against the idea of reducing lanes on Central Avenue in McKinleyville for many reasons. Some of the reasons we have discussed are the following:

1. With one lane south and one lane north, as well as a turn lane, emergency vehicles will be unable to get through our town to aid those in need. Our fire department and sheriff's department are both in the middle of the intended Central Avenue "Road Diet" area.
2. Oftentimes it is difficult, once you get into the middle lane, to turn into businesses along Central Avenue when traffic is heavy. If the lanes are reduced, it will create constant bumper to bumper traffic, which will impede the ability of people in turn lanes to get across the remaining lane of traffic. This is already the case at certain times of the day, when you are at the south end of town trying to exit the Open Door Clinic or Tri-Counties Bank parking lots. Traffic backed up at that stoplight makes it difficult to get across to the middle turn lane to go north.
3. At certain times of day it is already difficult turning onto Sutter Road, as the center lane fills up and traffic trying to turn onto Sutter ends up in the southbound lane. If we are reduced to only one southbound lane, this will stop traffic trying to get out of McKinleyville.
4. With the intent of adding about 6,000 residents to the town center area, the traffic will become a problem even without the reduction of lanes on Central Avenue.
5. CalTrans did a traffic study a few years ago, and the "Road Diet" option was not the recommended option. In the traffic study, there was a summary of respondents' answers to various questions asked by MMAC at that time. Out of 100%, only 7% of respondents wanted to see any changes to Central Avenue. From the responses I am seeing on public posts, those were likely concerns about overgrown plants and brush making it difficult for some to pass, especially those who are wheelchair bound. Most respondents are very worried about other areas such as Sutter Road, Azalea Avenue, and McKinleyville Avenue, which are in really bad shape.
6. If you take away our lanes, most people will avoid this area, especially during the day, and take other routes like Azalea Avenue, Sutter Road, McKinleyville Avenue, Railroad Avenue, etc. to get around the mess of traffic. Those road options are already concerning for a variety of reasons.
7. We want our residents to be able to shop in McKinleyville. It will take a great deal more time to get to our shopping center and town center. People have already began expressing their option to shop closer to where they work or live, rather than having to deal with what we all anticipate will be a traffic nightmare in McKinleyville if the reduction of lanes happens without proper planning and a comprehensive traffic study.
8. As a resident of McKinleyville or someone who often shops or works in McKinleyville, I am against this option until an independent, comprehensive traffic study has been conducted.


SIGNATURE

Ashley Washburn
NAME

PHONE #

ashleywashburn10@gmail.com
EMAIL ADDRESS