HUMBOLDT COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ROAD EVALUATION REPORT

| Applicant Na | me: Barrett Farms | APN:208-341-034 |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Planning & | Building Department C | /File No.: CUP16-585 / APP# 11547 |
| Road Name | Cobb Rd | (complete a separate form for each road) |
| From Road | (Cross street): Rattl | nake Brid Rd |
| To Road (Ca | ross street): | |
| Length of ro | ad segment: 0.31 | miles Date Inspected: 9/27/2017 |
| Road is main | | Other Private |
| Check one of | the following: | te, Forest Service, National Park, State Park, BLM, Private, Tribal, etc. |
| Box 1 🗌 | The entire road segme checked, then the road | s developed to Category 4 road standards (20 feet wide) or better. If adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant. |
| Box 2 X | The entire road segment is developed to the equivalent of a road category 4 standard. If checked then the road is adequate for the proposed use without further review by the applicant. | |
| | width, but has pinch pe one-lane bridges, trees visibility where a drive | ory 4 standard is defined as a roadway that is generally 20 feet in is which narrow the road. Pinch points include, but are not limited to, arge rock outcroppings, culverts, etc. Pinch points must provide an see oncoming vehicles through the pinch point which allows the and wait in a 20 foot wide section of the road for the other vehicle to |
| Box 3 | The entire road segment is not developed to the equivalent of road category 4 or better. The road may or may not be able to accommodate the proposed use and further evaluation is necessary. Part B is to be completed by a Civil Engineer licensed by the State of California. | |
| The statements measuring the | | orrect and have been made by me after personally inspecting and |
| Clinn | MI | 9/30/2017 |
| Signature | 1 0 | Date |
| Dashiell I | Miller | |
| Name Printed | | |

Engineer licensed by the State of California. Complete a separate form for each road. Date Inspected: Road Name: Planning & Building (Post Mile _____) From Road: Department Case/File No.: (Post Mile) To Road: 1. What is the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of the road (including other known cannabis projects)? Number of other known cannabis projects included in ADT calculations: (Contact the Planning & Building Department for information on other nearby projects.) Date(s) measured: Method used to measure ADT: Counters Estimated using ITE Trip Generation Book Is the ADT of the road less than 400? Yes No If YES, then the road is considered very low volume and shall comply with the design standards outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400). Complete sections 2 and 3 below. If NO, then the road shall be reviewed per the applicable policies for the design of local roads and streets presented in AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, commonly known as the "Green Book". Complete section 3 below, 2. Identify site specific safety problems with the road that include, but are not limited to: (Refer to Chapter 3 in AASHTO Guidelines for Geometric Design of Very Low-Volume Local Roads (ADT ≤400) for guidance.) A. Pattern of curve related crashes. Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for Post Mile (PM) locations. B. Physical evidence of curve problems such as skid marks, scarred trees, or scarred utility poles Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. Check one: No. C. Substantial edge rutting or encroachment. Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. D. History of complaints from residents or law enforcement. Check one: No. Yes (check if written documentation is attached) E. Measured or known speed substantially higher than the design speed of the road (20+ MPH higher) Check one: No. Yes. F. Need for turn-outs. Check one: No. Yes, see attached sheet for PM locations. 3. Conclusions/Recommendations per AASHTO. Check one: The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above. The roadway can accommodate the cumulative increased traffic from this project and all known cannabis projects identified above, if the recommendations on the attached report are done. (check if a Neighborhood Traffic Management Plan is also required and is attached.) The roadway cannot accommodate increased traffic from the proposed use. It is not possible to address increased traffic. A map showing the location and limits of the road being evaluated in PART B is attached. The statements in PART B are true and correct and have been made by me after personally evaluating the road. ISLALD Signature of Civil Engineer Date Important: Read the instructions before using this form. If you have questions, please call the Dept. of Public Works Land Use Division at 767,445,7205.

PART B: Only complete Part B if Box 3 is checked in Part A. Part B is to be completed by a Civil

& Cobb Rd - 0.31 miles Legend APN:208-341-034 / APP#11547 Google Earth Cobb Rd